The American Journal of Social Science and Education Innovations
ISSN 2689-100X Volume 08 - 2026

Enhancing Grade V Mathematics Achievement in Plane Area Concepts Through Collaborative Small-Group Learning

Nguyen Minh Anh
Department of Social Sciences, Vietnam National University, Hanoi, Vietnam

Received: 01 Oct 2026 | Received Revised Version: 09 Oct 2026 | Accepted: 22 Oct 2026 | Published: 01 Nov 2026

Volume 08 Issue 11 2026 | DOI: 10.37547/tajssei/Volume08Issue11-01

Abstract

Mathematics achievement at the primary education level depends significantly on students' conceptual understanding, active participation, and opportunities to apply mathematical knowledge to practical problems. However, learning geometric concepts such as plane area can be challenging for Grade V students because these concepts require spatial visualization, measurement skills, mathematical reasoning, and the ability to connect numerical operations with geometric representations. Conventional teacher-centered instruction may provide insufficient opportunities for students to discuss mathematical ideas, exchange problem-solving strategies, and develop independent understanding. This study examines the potential of collaborative small-group learning to improve Grade V students' achievement in plane area concepts through structured peer interaction, cooperative problem-solving, and teacher-guided mathematical activities. The research adopts a literature-based analytical approach supported by a proposed classroom intervention framework. The reviewed literature emphasizes cooperative learning, small-group discussion, peer tutoring, collaborative instruction, and instructional competencies as relevant foundations for improving learning outcomes. The proposed framework integrates heterogeneous group formation, conceptual exploration, collaborative measurement activities, guided problem-solving, peer explanation, and formative assessment. The analysis suggests that collaborative small-group learning can support conceptual understanding, mathematical communication, learner engagement, and the identification of individual learning difficulties. Nevertheless, its effectiveness depends on appropriate group organization, meaningful instructional tasks, equitable participation, and continuous teacher supervision. The study provides a structured pedagogical approach for strengthening elementary mathematics instruction and offers recommendations for evaluating collaborative learning interventions through future classroom-based empirical research.

Keywords: Collaborative Learning, Small-Group Instruction, Mathematics Achievement, Plane Area, Grade V Students, Cooperative Learning, Peer Tutoring, Elementary Mathematics, Conceptual Understanding.

© **2026** Anh, N. M. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). The authors retain copyright and allow others to share, adapt, or redistribute the work with proper attribution.

Cite This Article: Anh, N. M. (2026). Enhancing Grade V Mathematics Achievement in Plane Area Concepts Through Collaborative Small-Group Learning. The American Journal of Social Science and Education Innovations, 8(11), 01–11. Retrieved from https://theamericanjournals.com/index.php/tajssei/article/view/8554

1. Introduction

The Mathematics is a fundamental component of primary education because it develops logical reasoning, analytical thinking, quantitative understanding, and problem-solving abilities. At the elementary level, students gradually develop mathematical competencies through the interaction of concrete experiences, visual representations, and abstract numerical operations. Geometry and measurement constitute important areas of this development because they help learners understand spatial relationships and apply mathematical principles to everyday situations.

The concept of plane area is particularly important in Grade V mathematics. Students are expected to recognize two-dimensional shapes, understand the relationship between length and width, identify appropriate measurement units, and calculate the area of geometric figures. Although the formulas for calculating the areas of rectangles and squares are relatively straightforward, students may experience difficulties understanding why these formulas work. Memorizing mathematical procedures without understanding the underlying geometric relationships can

result in errors when students encounter unfamiliar problems.

A major instructional challenge is therefore to move students from procedural memorization toward meaningful conceptual understanding. This requires instructional approaches that encourage learners to explore mathematical relationships, communicate their reasoning, and participate actively in solving problems. Cooperative learning provides one possible approach because it creates opportunities for students to develop knowledge through interaction and shared responsibility.

Dinda (2023) emphasizes cooperative script-based learning as an approach for increasing elementary students' learning activity. This perspective is relevant to plane area instruction because students can explain mathematical procedures to their peers, discuss alternative solutions, and identify misunderstandings through structured communication. Similarly, Harefa et al. (2020) examine cooperative script implementation in mathematics learning, highlighting the relevance of cooperative instructional structures to mathematical achievement.

Collaborative small-group learning extends these principles by organizing students into manageable learning groups in which members work toward shared academic objectives. Rather than depending exclusively on teacher explanations, students participate in activities involving measurement, geometric construction, mathematical discussion, and collaborative problem-solving.

The instructional value of small-group learning is also associated with peer support. Fu'adah (2022) discusses peer tutoring as a method for supporting learning achievement and motivation, while Nurhasanah and Gumiandari (2021) examine the implementation of peer tutoring in relation to student learning outcomes. These perspectives suggest that students can benefit from opportunities to explain mathematical concepts using language and examples that are accessible to their classmates.

The relevance of collaborative instruction is further supported by Permana et al. (2020), who discuss collaborative learning in relation to mathematics achievement. Miasari (2019) also examines small-group discussion as a strategy for improving mathematics learning achievement. Collectively, these studies provide a foundation for investigating how structured peer interaction can contribute to elementary mathematics instruction.

1.1 Problem Statement

Students may experience difficulties understanding plane area because mathematical instruction sometimes emphasizes formula application rather than conceptual exploration. Limited participation, insufficient peer interaction, and differences in individual learning readiness may further restrict opportunities for meaningful learning.

The central problem addressed in this paper is how collaborative small-group learning can be structured to strengthen Grade V students' understanding and achievement in plane area concepts.

1.2 Research Objectives

The study aims to examine the pedagogical foundations of collaborative small-group learning, develop an instructional framework for teaching plane area concepts, identify potential improvements in student engagement and mathematical understanding, and establish appropriate assessment indicators for evaluating learning achievement.

1.3 Research Significance

The proposed approach contributes to elementary mathematics pedagogy by connecting cooperative learning principles with practical geometric activities. It also provides teachers with an instructional structure that accommodates differences in student learning abilities while encouraging communication, participation, and shared mathematical responsibility.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Cooperative Learning and Elementary Mathematics

Cooperative learning is an instructional approach in which students work together to accomplish shared learning objectives while remaining responsible for their individual contributions. Its educational significance lies in creating opportunities for students to exchange knowledge, discuss problems, and develop understanding through interaction.

Dinda (2023) discusses cooperative script-based learning as a means of improving elementary students' learning activity. The approach provides a useful theoretical perspective for structuring peer explanation and reciprocal discussion. In plane area instruction, students can alternate between explaining mathematical concepts and listening to their partners' reasoning.

Harefa et al. (2020) examine cooperative script implementation in mathematics learning. Their work provides a subject-specific foundation for considering

cooperative instructional methods in mathematical achievement development.

Maryam et al. (2022) investigate Team Assisted Individualization in Grade V mathematics learning. This instructional perspective is particularly relevant because it combines collaborative support with individual learning responsibilities. In a plane area classroom, students with stronger understanding can assist classmates while each learner remains responsible for completing mathematical exercises.

2.2 Small-Group Discussion and Peer Interaction

Small-group discussion provides students with opportunities to express mathematical ideas, compare solutions, and develop confidence in explaining their reasoning. Unlike whole-class instruction, smaller groups may provide more opportunities for individual participation.

Miasari (2019) examines the use of small-group discussion to improve mathematics learning achievement. This provides a direct pedagogical connection to the proposed instructional framework.

Mesra et al. (2023) discuss teaching skills for small groups and individual learners at an elementary school. Their work highlights the instructional importance of organizing and managing learning activities according to students' needs.

Sudiyono (2020) addresses group discussion methods and their application in teaching. The discussion-oriented approach is relevant to classroom activities in which students must communicate mathematical reasoning rather than simply reproduce answers.

Rinaldi (2016) also examines the relationship between group discussion methods, learning activities, and mathematics outcomes. Although the educational context differs from Grade V, the work provides an additional perspective on collaborative mathematical instruction.

2.3 Peer Tutoring and Collaborative Learning Support

Peer tutoring is a learning arrangement in which students assist one another through explanation, demonstration, and guided practice. It can be useful when students demonstrate different levels of mathematical readiness.

Fu'adah (2022) discusses peer tutoring in relation to learning achievement and motivation. Nurhasanah and Gumiandari (2021) similarly examine the implementation of peer tutoring in relation to student learning outcomes. These approaches are relevant to plane area instruction because students who understand the relationship between dimensions and area can demonstrate measurement procedures to classmates who require additional support.

2.4 Instructional Competencies and Learning Resources

Successful collaborative instruction requires appropriate classroom management, clear explanations, effective questioning, and continuous monitoring.

Irawati (2020) examines basic teaching skills among prospective biology teachers, while Putri et al. (2020) discuss teachers' pedagogical competencies in implementing basic teaching skills. Although these studies address different instructional contexts, they provide relevant perspectives on the professional competencies necessary for organizing effective learning activities.

Ovan (2022) discusses mathematics teaching and learning strategies, providing a broader pedagogical foundation for selecting instructional approaches. Sunaengsih and Suryana (2018) address microteaching, which is relevant to developing teachers' instructional communication and classroom management competencies.

Sholeha (2023) examines animation-based learning media. Although the subject matter differs, the study provides a relevant perspective on the use of visual learning resources. Visual representations can support students' understanding of geometric shapes and spatial relationships.

Sriaryaningsyih (2024) discusses the jigsaw learning method in mathematics instruction, demonstrating another cooperative structure that can inform collaborative classroom organization. Suganda et al. (2022) examine the influence of instructional methods and motor abilities on learning outcomes in physical education. Their subject differs from mathematics, but their work provides a broader perspective on instructional-method selection.

2.5 Research Gap and Theoretical Positioning

The reviewed references establish the relevance of cooperative learning, peer tutoring, small-group discussion, and pedagogical competencies. However, the available literature does not collectively establish a detailed instructional framework specifically integrating these approaches for teaching Grade V plane area concepts.

The present study addresses this conceptual gap by connecting collaborative learning structures with geometric

measurement, visual representation, peer explanation, and individual assessment.

Its theoretical position is that mathematics achievement can be supported when students actively construct understanding through guided interaction while maintaining individual responsibility for learning.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a literature-based analytical research and review design. It examines the instructional relevance of collaborative small-group learning for Grade V plane area education and develops a structured pedagogical framework from the supplied references.

The study does not claim to have conducted a classroom experiment or collected primary student achievement data. Instead, it synthesizes the educational perspectives represented in the supplied literature and translates them into a proposed instructional model suitable for subsequent empirical evaluation.

The methodology consists of three interconnected stages: literature analysis, instructional framework development, and the identification of assessment indicators.

3.2 Literature Selection and Analytical Approach

The analysis uses the 17 references supplied for this study. The references were examined according to their relevance to cooperative learning, mathematics instruction, small-group discussion, peer tutoring, teaching competencies, and learning resources.

The literature was organized into four principal analytical categories:

1. Cooperative and collaborative instructional strategies.

2. Small-group discussion and peer-assisted learning.

3. Mathematics achievement and learning motivation.

4. Teacher competencies and instructional resource management.

The analysis identifies relationships between these categories and the specific learning requirements associated with plane area concepts.

3.3 Proposed Collaborative Small-Group Learning Framework

The proposed framework integrates cooperative learning, peer assistance, teacher guidance, and individual mathematical assessment.

The instructional process follows six interconnected stages.

Stage 1: Initial Assessment and Group Formation

The teacher begins by assessing students' existing understanding of geometric shapes, multiplication, measurement units, and basic mathematical operations.

Students are then organized into heterogeneous groups containing approximately four to five members. Group composition should consider differences in mathematical readiness and participation patterns.

The purpose of heterogeneous grouping is to encourage knowledge exchange and provide opportunities for peer assistance. However, students should not be permanently categorized according to ability.

Stage 2: Conceptual Introduction

The teacher introduces plane area using visual and concrete representations. Students observe rectangles and squares, identify their dimensions, and investigate how the number of unit squares changes when dimensions are modified.

For example, a rectangle measuring five units in length and three units in width can be represented using fifteen equal square units.

Students are encouraged to recognize that area represents the amount of surface covered by a two-dimensional shape.

The mathematical relationship is introduced through:

Area of a rectangle = Length × Width

Area of a square = Side × Side

The teacher explains the meaning of each variable and demonstrates how measurement units are expressed in square units.

Stage 3: Collaborative Exploration

Each group receives geometric worksheets, grid paper, rulers, and measurement-based exercises.

Students work together to construct rectangular and square figures, count unit squares, compare different dimensions,

and identify relationships between shape dimensions and total area.

Group members may perform different responsibilities, including measurement, calculation, recording, explanation, and verification.

Responsibilities should rotate to ensure that all students experience different mathematical tasks.

Stage 4: Peer Explanation and Problem-Solving

Students explain their solutions to other group members. A student who understands the calculation procedure may demonstrate how to determine the area of a rectangle, while another student verifies the measurement and calculation.

This stage incorporates cooperative script principles discussed by Dinda (2023). Reciprocal explanation helps students communicate mathematical reasoning and identify conceptual misunderstandings.

The teacher observes discussions and intervenes when mathematical errors or misconceptions persist.

Stage 5: Collaborative Application

Students solve contextual problems related to everyday environments.

For example, a group may calculate the area of a classroom floor measuring eight meters by six meters. Another group may compare the areas of two rectangular garden plots.

Such tasks connect abstract mathematical procedures with practical measurement situations.

Stage 6: Individual Assessment and Reflection

Although learning activities are collaborative, achievement must also be assessed individually.

Each student completes an independent assessment containing conceptual questions, measurement exercises, and mathematical applications.

The teacher also evaluates students' explanations, participation, and ability to verify solutions.

3.4 Assessment Framework

The proposed assessment framework incorporates four dimensions.

Assessment dimension	Indicators
Conceptual understanding	Identifying shapes and explaining the meaning of area
Procedural accuracy	Selecting and applying appropriate area formulas
Mathematical application	Solving contextual measurement problems
Collaborative participation	Explaining solutions, listening, and supporting group members

Individual achievement can be evaluated through a combination of written assessments and teacher observations.

For an empirical classroom investigation, a pre-test and post-test design could be used to examine changes in mathematical achievement.

The percentage improvement in average achievement can be calculated as:

[

\text{Improvement} =

\frac{\text{Post-test Mean}-\text{Pre-test Mean}}

{\text{Pre-test Mean}}\times100

]

This indicator should be interpreted alongside assessment reliability, student participation, and the difficulty of the assessment instruments.

3.5 Implementation and Quality Control

The teacher plays a central role in maintaining instructional quality. Group activities should be supported by clear instructions, appropriate time allocation, and accessible learning materials.

Assessment should distinguish between individual mathematical competence and collective group performance. A group may produce a correct answer even when some members have not understood the underlying concept.

Therefore, independent exercises are necessary to establish whether collaborative participation has translated into individual learning.

The proposed framework also requires consistent instructional implementation. Teachers should record participation patterns, identify frequently occurring misconceptions, and adjust subsequent activities according to observed learning needs.

4. Results

4.1 Findings from the Literature-Based Analysis

The analytical review identifies several instructional dimensions through which collaborative small-group learning may support Grade V mathematics achievement.

The first finding concerns the importance of active participation. Conventional teacher-led explanations can communicate mathematical procedures efficiently, but they may not provide sufficient opportunities for every student to articulate their understanding. Cooperative learning creates additional opportunities for students to explain, question, and verify mathematical ideas. Dinda (2023) provides a relevant foundation by examining cooperative script-based learning in relation to elementary students' learning activity.

In plane area instruction, active participation becomes particularly important because students must understand the relationship between geometric dimensions and the amount of surface covered. When students construct shapes using grid paper, count unit squares, and explain their calculations, they encounter the mathematical concept through several connected representations.

The second finding concerns peer-assisted conceptual development. The literature on peer tutoring indicates the relevance of student-to-student assistance as an instructional strategy. Fu'adah (2022) and Nurhasanah and Gumiandari (2021) provide perspectives on peer tutoring in relation to achievement and learning outcomes.

Within the proposed framework, peer tutoring can help students who have difficulty distinguishing perimeter from area. A classmate can demonstrate that perimeter concerns the boundary of a shape, whereas area concerns the surface enclosed by that boundary. This distinction is important because students may otherwise apply perimeter-related procedures when solving area problems.

The third finding relates to mathematical achievement and cooperative instructional organization. Harefa et al. (2020) examine cooperative script methods in mathematics learning, while Maryam et al. (2022) investigate Team Assisted Individualization in Grade V mathematics education. These studies provide relevant foundations for organizing peer assistance without eliminating individual learning responsibilities.

Collaborative groups can distribute mathematical activities among students, but individual assessment remains essential. A correct group response cannot independently demonstrate that every student has acquired the required mathematical knowledge.

The fourth finding concerns the educational value of small-group discussion. Miasari (2019) examines small-group discussion in relation to mathematics achievement, while Mesra et al. (2023) address instructional skills for small groups and individual students.

These perspectives support the proposed use of structured mathematical discussion. Students can compare different rectangular arrangements that produce the same area. For instance, rectangles measuring 2×6 and 3×4 both contain twelve square units, although their dimensions differ. Such comparisons encourage students to recognize that equal areas do not necessarily imply identical shapes or dimensions.

The fifth finding concerns the importance of instructional competencies. Irawati (2020) and Putri et al. (2020) provide perspectives on teaching skills and pedagogical competence. Their relevance lies in the teacher's ability to formulate clear questions, provide appropriate explanations, monitor participation, and respond to misconceptions.

Without effective supervision, small-group activities may become unstructured conversations in which only a few students perform the mathematical work. Therefore, instructional management is a necessary condition for achieving the intended educational benefits.

4.2 Findings Related to Conceptual Understanding

The proposed framework identifies visual and practical exploration as important components of plane area instruction.

Students may initially understand area through counting individual squares before progressing toward multiplication-based procedures. This progression connects concrete observation with mathematical abstraction.

For example, students who construct a rectangle containing five rows and four columns can identify twenty unit squares. They can then recognize that repeated addition of equal rows can be expressed as multiplication.

The relationship between counting and multiplication provides a conceptual foundation for understanding the rectangle area formula.

Collaborative discussion can strengthen this process because students are required to communicate how they obtained an answer rather than simply presenting the final numerical result.

The framework also emphasizes the distinction between area and perimeter. Students can investigate shapes with identical perimeters but different areas, or equal areas with different perimeters. These comparisons can reveal whether learners understand the independent meanings of the two concepts.

4.3 Findings Related to Student Engagement

The literature-based analysis suggests that structured group activities can provide opportunities for increased participation and mathematical communication.

Students who are reluctant to answer questions in front of the entire class may find smaller discussion settings more accessible. Peer explanation can also provide immediate opportunities to clarify uncertainties before students complete independent assessments.

However, increased participation should not automatically be interpreted as improved mathematical achievement. Students may actively participate without developing accurate conceptual understanding.

For this reason, engagement indicators should be considered alongside individual achievement measures.

4.4 Findings Related to Assessment and Instructional Effectiveness

The proposed assessment framework indicates that mathematical achievement should be evaluated through multiple dimensions rather than a single numerical test score.

Conceptual understanding, procedural accuracy, mathematical application, and independent problem-solving should be assessed separately.

Collaborative performance should also be monitored to identify whether group members contribute meaningfully to shared activities.

The findings therefore support an instructional model that combines cooperative learning with individual accountability.

4.5 Overall Analytical Findings

The central analytical finding is that collaborative small-group learning offers a coherent instructional structure for teaching plane area because it connects mathematical exploration, peer explanation, guided practice, and individual assessment.

Nevertheless, the expected educational benefits remain propositions requiring empirical verification. The supplied references do not establish a measured effect size for this particular Grade V plane area intervention.

Consequently, the framework should be regarded as a theoretically informed instructional model rather than evidence of experimentally demonstrated improvement.

5. Discussion

5.1 Collaborative Learning as a Foundation for Mathematical Achievement

The proposed framework positions collaborative small-group learning as an approach for connecting mathematical knowledge with active classroom participation.

Its importance is not simply that students work together, but that their interaction is organized around meaningful mathematical objectives.

Plane area requires students to coordinate visual recognition, measurement, numerical calculation, and conceptual interpretation. These competencies can be difficult to develop through memorization alone.

When students explain why a rectangle's area is determined by multiplying its length by its width, they must connect the dimensions with the number of unit squares contained within the figure.

This explanatory process creates opportunities for deeper mathematical reasoning.

Dinda (2023) provides a relevant foundation for this instructional arrangement through the discussion of cooperative script-based learning and elementary student activity. However, the application to plane area requires subject-specific activities that connect discussion with geometric representations and measurement.

The proposed framework therefore extends cooperative interaction into a structured mathematical learning process.

5.2 The Relationship Between Peer Learning and Conceptual Understanding

Peer learning is potentially valuable because students may explain mathematical concepts using familiar language and accessible examples.

A student who understands area calculation can demonstrate the relationship between rows, columns, and total unit squares to a classmate who has difficulty understanding the formula.

This form of assistance can make mathematical procedures easier to follow.

Fu'adah (2022) and Nurhasanah and Gumiandari (2021) provide relevant perspectives on peer tutoring and learning outcomes. Their work supports the inclusion of peer-assisted activities within the proposed instructional framework.

However, peer tutoring also presents limitations. Students may communicate incorrect procedures, misunderstand mathematical terminology, or reinforce misconceptions when explanations are not verified.

Teacher supervision is therefore essential. The teacher must evaluate the accuracy of explanations and provide corrective guidance whenever necessary.

Peer assistance should complement professional instruction rather than replace it.

5.3 Small-Group Discussion and Individual Accountability

Small-group discussion can increase opportunities for students to communicate their reasoning.

Miasari (2019) provides a relevant reference concerning the use of small-group discussion in mathematics achievement. Mesra et al. (2023) further emphasize the importance of instructional skills in managing small-group and individual learning.

Nevertheless, group performance does not always represent individual achievement.

For example, a group may successfully calculate the area of several rectangles because one mathematically advanced student completes the calculations. Other members may simply copy the answers without understanding the procedure.

This situation demonstrates why collaborative activities must include individual responsibilities.

The proposed framework addresses this problem through rotating group roles, individual worksheets, independent exercises, and separate achievement assessments.

Such arrangements create a balance between collective participation and individual mathematical development.

5.4 Instructional Strategies for Plane Area Concepts

The effectiveness of collaborative learning depends substantially on the quality of the learning activities.

Plane area should not be introduced exclusively through formula memorization. Students should first explore the concept through concrete and visual representations.

Grid-based exercises are particularly useful because they allow students to count unit squares and observe how the area changes when dimensions increase or decrease.

After this exploration, students can compare their observations with multiplication-based calculations.

For example, students can construct two rectangles with different dimensions but identical areas. They may then explain why the resulting areas are equal even though the shapes have different proportions.

This activity supports mathematical reasoning and encourages students to move beyond mechanical formula application.

The relevance of instructional media is consistent with the broader discussion of visual learning resources by Sholeha (2023). Although that study concerns animated learning media in science education, visual representation remains relevant as a pedagogical consideration for geometric instruction.

The teacher can combine physical grid paper, geometric cards, measurement tools, and simple visual presentations to support conceptual exploration.

5.5 Teacher Competencies and Classroom Management

Collaborative learning requires more instructional preparation than simply dividing students into groups.

Teachers must establish clear objectives, select appropriate tasks, monitor group interaction, and assess individual understanding.

Irawati (2020) and Putri et al. (2020) provide relevant perspectives on teaching skills and pedagogical competencies. These competencies are important because classroom discussions require effective questioning, explanation, observation, and feedback.

Teachers should avoid allowing group activities to become competitive exercises in which speed and answer production take priority over conceptual understanding.

Instead, mathematical discussion should focus on the reasoning used to reach a solution.

Sunaengsih and Suryana (2018) provide a broader foundation concerning instructional preparation through microteaching. This perspective is relevant to the preparation of teachers who must practice explaining concepts and managing different classroom situations.

5.6 Theoretical and Practical Implications

Theoretically, the proposed framework connects cooperative learning, peer tutoring, small-group discussion, and mathematics achievement within a specific elementary geometry context.

It emphasizes that mathematical understanding can be developed through the interaction of individual cognitive effort and structured social participation.

Practically, the framework provides teachers with a sequence of activities that begins with initial assessment and progresses through conceptual exploration, collaborative problem-solving, peer explanation, and independent evaluation.

Maryam et al. (2022) provide a relevant perspective on cooperative learning arrangements that maintain attention to individual learning needs.

This principle is particularly important in Grade V classrooms, where students may demonstrate substantial differences in multiplication proficiency, spatial reasoning, and measurement understanding.

The proposed framework can therefore be adapted according to student readiness and available instructional resources.

5.7 Limitations and Methodological Considerations

Several limitations must be acknowledged.

First, the present paper uses a literature-based analytical design rather than primary classroom experimentation. It therefore cannot establish a statistically measured improvement in Grade V students' plane area achievement.

Second, the supplied references address different subjects, educational levels, and instructional settings. Their findings and pedagogical implications should not automatically be treated as directly transferable to the specific classroom context examined here.

Third, the effectiveness of collaborative learning may depend on group size, classroom conditions, teacher experience, instructional materials, and student participation patterns.

Fourth, peer interaction may create unequal participation if responsibilities are not distributed appropriately.

Finally, the proposed assessment framework requires further validation through classroom implementation and systematic data collection.

Future empirical studies should use clearly defined participant characteristics, reliable assessment instruments, baseline measurements, post-intervention evaluation, and appropriate comparison procedures.

A classroom-based investigation could also examine whether improvements in mathematical achievement are accompanied by changes in conceptual understanding, confidence, and participation.

5.8 Future Research Directions

Future research should investigate the effectiveness of collaborative small-group learning through classroom-based experimental or quasi-experimental designs.

Researchers could compare conventional teacher-centered instruction with structured collaborative learning while maintaining comparable instructional time and learning objectives.

Additional studies could investigate the relationship between group composition and individual achievement, the role of peer tutoring in addressing mathematical misconceptions, and the effectiveness of visual geometric materials.

Such investigations would help determine which components of collaborative instruction contribute most substantially to improved mathematics learning.

6. Conclusion

Collaborative small-group learning offers a theoretically grounded and practically adaptable approach for strengthening Grade V students' understanding of plane area concepts. By combining cooperative discussion, peer tutoring, geometric exploration, guided problem-solving, and individual assessment, the proposed framework addresses several instructional challenges associated with elementary mathematics education.

The literature reviewed in this study highlights the relevance of cooperative learning, small-group discussion, peer-assisted instruction, and teacher pedagogical

competencies. These perspectives provide a foundation for developing classroom activities that encourage students to communicate mathematical ideas, explore geometric relationships, and apply area formulas meaningfully.

The proposed framework emphasizes six instructional stages: initial assessment and group formation, conceptual introduction, collaborative exploration, peer explanation, contextual problem-solving, and individual evaluation. This sequence provides a structured connection between student participation and measurable learning objectives.

An important contribution of the study is its emphasis on balancing collaborative participation with individual accountability. Group activities can support mathematical communication, but independent assessment remains necessary to determine whether each student has developed conceptual and procedural competence.

The paper also recognizes that instructional effectiveness depends on appropriate classroom management, equitable participation, suitable learning materials, and continuous teacher guidance.

Because the study is literature-based, its proposed instructional benefits should not be interpreted as experimentally verified improvements. Future research should implement the framework in Grade V classrooms and evaluate its effectiveness through pre-test and post-test assessments, classroom observations, and individual mathematical performance.

Overall, collaborative small-group learning represents a promising pedagogical framework for making plane area instruction more interactive, conceptually meaningful, and responsive to differences in student learning needs.

References

1. P. Dinda, "Model Pembelajaran Kooperatif Berbasis Script Untuk Meningkatkan Keaktifan Belajar Siswa Sekolah Dasar," BIP, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 190-199, 2023.
2. A. Fu'adah, Pembelajaran Metode Tutor Sebaya Untuk Meningkatkan Prestasi Dan Motivasi Belajar Anak. Penerbit P4I, 2022
3. D. Harefa et al., "Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Cooperative Script Untuk Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Matematika," JKPM (Jurnal Kajian Pendidikan Matematika), vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 13-22, 2020.
4. H. Irawati, "Analisis Keterampilan Dasar Mengajar Mahasiswa Calon Guru Biologi Di Pendidikan Biologi FKIP UAD," Inkuiri: Jurnal Pendidikan IPA, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 34-40, 2020.
5. M. Maryam, Z. Zaid, and B. Sari, "Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Kooperatif Tipe Team Assisted Individualization untuk Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Matematika Siswa Kelas V UPTD SD Negeri 26 Parepare," J-HEST, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 17-26, 2022.
6. R. Mesra, R. T. Paulus, M. Yenni, W. Atri, and K. Leonita, "Teaching Skills For Small Groups and Individuals at Inpres Roong Elementary School," Journal on Education, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 17552-17559, 2023.
7. N. Miasari, "Peningkatan Prestasi Belajar Matematika Dengan Penggunaan Metode Diskusi Kelompok Kecil Pada Siswa Kelas VI Semester II SD Negeri 2 Peguyangan Tahun Pelajaran 2016/2017," Adi Widya: Jurnal Pendidikan Dasar, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 59-66, 2019.
8. L. Nurhasanah and S. Gumiandari, "Implementasi Metode Pembelajaran Tutor Sebaya Terhadap Hasil Belajar Siswa," Pedagogik Jurnal Pendidikan, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 62-68, 2021.
9. Ovan, Strategi Belajar Mengajar Matematika. Kencana, 2022.
10. K. B. A. Permana, N. T. Renda, and I. G. Margunayasa, "Model Pembelajaran Kolaboratif Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Matematika Siswa," Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 223-232, 2020.
11. B. Putri, M. Mudzanatun, and A. Putri, "Analisis Kompetensi Pedagogik Guru Dalam Pelaksanaan Keterampilan Dasar Mengajar Pada Pembelajaran Tematik," Indonesian Journal of Educational Research and Review, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 136-143, 2020.
12. R. Rinaldi, "Peningkatan Aktivitas Dan Hasil Belajar Matematika Standar Kompetensi Menerapkan Konsep Barisan Dan Deret Dalam Pemecahan Masalah Melalui Metode Pembelajaran Diskusi Kelompok Di Kelas XI AP 3 Semester Ganjil SMKN 1 Payakumbuh Tahun Ajaran 2015/2016," Jurnal Konseling Dan Pendidikan, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 107-117, 2016.
13. S. Sholeha, "Pengembangan Media Pembelajaran Berbasis Video Animasi Pada Materi Tata Surya Untuk Siswa SMP," Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan Sains (JIPS), vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 81-89, 2023.
14. S. Sriaryaningsyih, "Penerapan Metode Pembelajaran Jigsaw Dalam Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Matematika Siswa Pada Materi Persamaan Kuadrat Kelas XI Multimedia SMK Negeri 3 Kota Bima," Jagomipa: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Dan IPA, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 79-87, 2024.

15. Sudiyono, Metode Diskusi Kelompok dan Penerapannya dalam Pembelajaran Bahasa Indonesia di SMP. Penerbit Adab, 2020.

16. S. Suganda, M. Rifki, A. Alnedral, and N. Ikhsan, "Pengaruh Metode Pembelajaran Dan Kemampuan Motorik Terhadap Hasil Belajar Pendidikan Jasmani Olahraga Dan Kesehatan Siswa," Jurnal Stamina, vol. 5, no. 7, pp. 295-309, 2022.

17. C. Sunaengsih and D. T. Suryana, Pembelajaran Mikro. UPI Sumedang Press, 2018.