



# Gender Dynamics in the Courtroom: The Influence of Prosecutor's Sex on Perceptions of Defendant Culpability

Dr. Hannah McAllister

Centre for Gender Studies, University of Melbourne

Dr. Zoe Nguyen

School of Criminology and Criminal Justice, University of New South Wales (UNSW)

## OPEN ACCESS

SUBMITTED 11 April 2025

ACCEPTED 5 May 2025

PUBLISHED 1 June May 2025

VOLUME Vol.07 Issue06 2025

## CITATION

Dr. Hannah McAllister, & Dr. Zoe Nguyen. (2025). Gender Dynamics in the Courtroom: The Influence of Prosecutor's Sex on Perceptions of Defendant Culpability. The American Journal of Political Science Law and Criminology, 7(06), 01–08. Retrieved from <https://theamericanjournals.com/index.php/tajpslc/article/view/6222>

## COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 License.

**Abstract:** The role of the prosecutor in the criminal justice system is imbued with significant power and discretion, influencing charging decisions, plea bargains, and ultimately, the perception of defendant culpability. While extensive research has explored factors affecting juror and public perceptions of guilt, the impact of the prosecutor's gender remains an underexplored area. This article investigates how the gender of a prosecutor might influence perceptions of a defendant's culpability, drawing upon theories of gender stereotypes and role congruity. Through a comprehensive literature review, we examine the powerful role of prosecutors, the mechanisms of culpability perception, and the broader effects of gender in professional and legal contexts. This review outlines a hypothetical experimental design to empirically test this relationship, discussing potential findings and their implications for fairness, bias, and professional development within the legal system. Understanding these gender dynamics is crucial for fostering a more equitable and just legal process.

**Keywords:** Prosecutor Gender, Defendant Culpability, Perceptions, Criminal Justice, Gender Stereotypes, Role Congruity Theory, Plea Bargaining, Legal Profession.

## INTRODUCTION

The criminal justice system in the United States is a complex and often opaque machinery, with various actors wielding significant influence over the trajectory of legal proceedings and, ultimately, the lives of defendants. Among these actors, the prosecutor holds an exceptionally powerful position. Prosecutors possess vast discretion in deciding whether to bring charges, what charges to pursue, and whether to offer plea bargains, which resolve the vast majority of criminal cases [1, 6, 14]. This immense power shapes the entire criminal justice landscape [11].

Central to the prosecutor's role is the task of convincing judges and juries (or even defendants themselves during plea negotiations) of a defendant's culpability. Perceptions of defendant culpability are multifaceted, influenced by evidence presented, eyewitness statements [5], defense arguments [27], and even demographic variables of the public or jurors [16]. However, an increasingly recognized factor in legal outcomes and perceptions is the demographic characteristics of the legal professionals themselves. While research has examined the impact of defendant gender, race, and socioeconomic status, the influence of the prosecutor's gender on how a defendant's culpability is perceived remains a nascent but critical area of inquiry.

The legal profession, traditionally male-dominated, has seen a gradual increase in female representation, with women now comprising a significant portion of lawyers and judges [2]. Despite this progress, gender stereotypes and role incongruity theories suggest that women in traditionally masculine roles may face unique challenges in terms of perceived authority, credibility, and effectiveness [17, 18, 24]. These theories posit that individuals evaluate leaders and professionals based on their congruence with gender stereotypes associated with their role. If the role (e.g., prosecutor, which demands assertiveness and dominance) conflicts with traditional female stereotypes (e.g., communal, nurturing), it can lead to prejudice and biased evaluations [17, 18, 24, 25].

This article aims to explore the potential influence of prosecutor gender on perceptions of defendant culpability. By synthesizing existing literature on

prosecutorial power, the formation of culpability perceptions, and the impact of gender in professional contexts, we seek to illuminate how a prosecutor's sex might subtly or overtly shape the perception of a defendant's guilt. Understanding these dynamics is vital for identifying potential sources of bias within the legal system and for promoting a more equitable administration of justice.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1. The Expansive Power of the Prosecutor:

The prosecutor in the US criminal justice system holds extraordinary power, often described as the "most powerful actor" [11]. Their discretion extends from the initial charging decision to the final resolution of a case, largely through plea bargaining [1, 11, 14]. Plea bargains account for over 90% of criminal convictions, making the prosecutor's role in shaping outcomes far more significant than that of the trial judge or jury in most cases [14]. This immense power is largely unreviewable, leading to concerns about arbitrary justice [11] and the potential for innocent defendants to plead guilty [13]. Prosecutors are often incentivized by conviction rates [9], which can further influence their decisions. The "war on crime" narrative has also contributed to the expansion of prosecutorial power [4].

### 2.2. Factors Influencing Perceptions of Defendant Culpability:

Perceptions of a defendant's culpability are complex and can be influenced by a myriad of factors beyond the direct evidence presented.

- **Evidence and Testimony:** Eyewitness statements, even if inconsistent, can significantly impact mock jurors' evaluations of credibility and perceptions of culpability [5].
- **Defendant Characteristics:** While not directly related to guilt, factors like a defendant's demeanor, prior record [28], and even physical appearance can subtly influence perceptions.
- **Attorney Presentation Style:** The way an attorney presents a case, including their communication style, can affect juror decisions [20].

- Cognitive Biases: Decision-making in the criminal justice system is susceptible to cognitive biases [12], which can unconsciously shape perceptions of guilt.
- Public Opinion and Attitudes: Broader public perceptions of criminal courts are influenced by demographic and attitudinal variables [16].
- Public Support and Ideology: Gender-stereotyped evaluations can impact support for women candidates in politics [15], and political ideology itself has evolutionary foundations that may intersect with gender perceptions [12].

### 2.3. Gender and Professional Perceptions: Role Congruity Theory:

Research across various professional fields consistently demonstrates that gender can influence perceptions of competence, credibility, and effectiveness.

- Gender Stereotypes: Society holds prescriptive gender stereotypes that dictate how men and women "should" behave [17, 18]. Men are often stereotyped as agentic (e.g., assertive, dominant, decisive), while women are stereotyped as communal (e.g., nurturing, cooperative, empathetic) [17, 18].
- Role Congruity Theory: This theory posits that prejudice against female leaders or professionals arises when their social role (e.g., prosecutor) is perceived as incongruent with traditional gender stereotypes for women [17, 18, 25]. For a prosecutor, traits like assertiveness, aggression, and a focus on winning (agentic traits) are often seen as necessary. When a woman occupies this role, her behavior may be evaluated negatively if it deviates from communal stereotypes, or she may be perceived as less competent if she embodies communal traits that are seen as incongruent with the role [17, 18, 25].
- Credibility and Persuasion: In legal settings, the gender of an attorney can impact their perceived credibility and persuasiveness [21, 23]. Some studies suggest that female attorneys may face challenges in establishing credibility or may be perceived differently than male counterparts [21, 23]. For example, some argue that a female criminal defense lawyer might be advantageous in sex crime cases due to perceived empathy or less aggressive questioning [Blank Law, 2022]. Women in negotiation contexts can also face challenges in claiming authority and may be evaluated differently when requesting higher salaries [3, 7, 22].

### 2.4. Gender in the Legal Profession and Prosecutorial Role:

While women have made strides in the legal profession, their representation in certain roles, like prosecutors, and their perceived effectiveness, may still be influenced by gender dynamics. The American Bar Association's profile of the legal profession shows increasing diversity [2], but challenges persist [2, 19]. Women in leadership roles, including in the judiciary, can still face biases [19]. The immense power of prosecutors [11] means that any gender-based biases in how they are perceived could have significant implications for justice outcomes. The defense lawyer's plea recommendation, for instance, is influenced by perceived guilt and probability of conviction [20], and these perceptions could be subtly shaped by the prosecutor's gender.

Synthesizing this literature, it is plausible that a prosecutor's gender could influence perceptions of defendant culpability. A female prosecutor, operating in a traditionally male-dominated and agentic role, might face role incongruity, potentially affecting her perceived credibility or the perceived strength of her case, which could, in turn, impact how culpable a defendant is seen.

## 3. METHODOLOGY

To investigate the influence of prosecutor gender on perceptions of defendant culpability, a controlled experimental design involving mock jurors would be employed. This methodology allows for the manipulation of the independent variable (prosecutor gender) while controlling for other confounding factors.

### 3.1. Participants:

A sample of approximately 300-400 adult participants would be recruited from the general population (e.g., through online platforms or community advertisements) to serve as mock jurors. Participants would be screened to ensure they have no prior legal experience (e.g., as a lawyer, judge, or law enforcement

officer) and are eligible for jury duty in their jurisdiction. Demographic information (age, gender, education, political ideology, prior jury experience) would be collected for potential covariate analysis.

### 3.2. Experimental Design:

A between-subjects experimental design would be utilized, with prosecutor gender (male vs. female) as the primary independent variable. Participants would be randomly assigned to one of two conditions:

- Condition 1: Male Prosecutor
- Condition 2: Female Prosecutor

### 3.3. Materials:

- **Case Vignette:** A standardized, fictional criminal case vignette would be developed. The case should be ambiguous enough regarding guilt to allow for variation in culpability perceptions, but not so ambiguous as to be nonsensical. It would involve a moderately serious felony (e.g., aggravated assault, grand larceny) to ensure participant engagement. The vignette would include a clear prosecution narrative and a defense narrative, along with key pieces of evidence.
- **Prosecutor Profiles:** Two identical prosecutor profiles would be created, differing only in the name and gender-identifying pronouns. These profiles would include details such as years of experience, educational background, and a brief description of their professional approach (e.g., "known for their meticulous preparation and persuasive arguments").
- **Trial Presentation (Text/Video):** Participants would be presented with the case information and the prosecutor's closing argument. To control for variations in presentation style, the closing argument would be pre-scripted and identical across conditions.
- **Option A (Text-based):** Participants read the case vignette and the prosecutor's closing argument, with the prosecutor's gender indicated by name and pronouns.
- **Option B (Video-based - preferred for realism):** Professional actors (one male, one female) would

deliver the identical pre-scripted closing argument. This allows for control over non-verbal cues (e.g., tone, gestures) while manipulating only the perceived gender.

- **Dependent Measures Questionnaire:** After reviewing the case and the prosecutor's argument, participants would complete a questionnaire assessing:
  - **Perceived Defendant Culpability:** A multi-item scale (e.g., 1-7 Likert scale) asking participants to rate the likelihood of the defendant's guilt, how responsible they believe the defendant is, and whether the defendant should be convicted.
  - **Prosecutor Credibility:** A multi-item scale assessing the perceived trustworthiness, competence, and persuasiveness of the prosecutor.
  - **Sentencing Recommendation:** An open-ended or scaled question asking for a recommended sentence (if applicable).
  - **Demographic Information:** As noted in 3.1.

### 3.4. Procedure:

Participants would be informed they are participating in a study about legal decision-making. After providing informed consent, they would be randomly assigned to one of the two prosecutor gender conditions. They would then review the case vignette and the prosecutor's closing argument. Following this, they would complete the dependent measures questionnaire. The entire process would be conducted online or in a controlled laboratory setting.

### 3.5. Data Analysis:

Quantitative data would be analyzed using statistical software (e.g., SPSS, R).

- **Descriptive Statistics:** Means, standard deviations, and frequencies for all variables.
- **Inferential Statistics:**
  - **Independent Samples t-test:** To compare perceived defendant culpability scores between the male and female prosecutor conditions.

- ANOVA/ANCOVA: To examine the main effect of prosecutor gender and potential interactions with participant gender or other demographic variables (e.g., political ideology) on culpability perceptions.
- Mediation Analysis: To explore whether prosecutor credibility mediates the relationship between prosecutor gender and perceived defendant culpability.

#### 4. RESULTS

*(This section presents hypothetical results based on the proposed methodology and drawing upon the theoretical frameworks discussed in the literature review, particularly role congruity theory and gender stereotypes in professional contexts.)*

The hypothetical experimental study investigating the influence of prosecutor gender on perceptions of defendant culpability would likely yield the following key findings:

##### 4.1. Overall Perceptions of Culpability:

The mean perceived defendant culpability scores would vary across the two prosecutor gender conditions. Specifically, participants exposed to the female prosecutor condition would likely assign a slightly lower mean culpability score to the defendant compared to those in the male prosecutor condition. While the difference might not always be statistically significant in every iteration, a trend would be observed.

##### 4.2. Impact on Prosecutor Credibility:

A more pronounced and statistically significant difference would likely be observed in the perceived credibility of the prosecutor. The female prosecutor might be rated lower on agentic traits (e.g., assertiveness, forcefulness, perceived competence in a "tough" role) compared to the male prosecutor, despite delivering the identical scripted argument. Conversely, the female prosecutor might be rated higher on communal traits (e.g., empathy, approachability), which may be seen as less congruent with the traditional prosecutorial role. This would align with role congruity theory [17, 18].

##### 4.3. Interaction with Participant Gender:

A significant interaction effect between prosecutor gender and participant gender might be observed.

- Female Participants: Female mock jurors might show less of a difference in culpability perceptions between male and female prosecutors, or might even rate female prosecutors as equally or more credible, potentially due to shared gender identity or different evaluative criteria.
- Male Participants: Male mock jurors might exhibit a more pronounced tendency to assign lower culpability to defendants when presented by a female prosecutor, possibly reflecting stronger adherence to traditional gender stereotypes regarding authority and assertiveness in legal roles.

##### 4.4. Mediation by Credibility:

Mediation analysis would likely reveal that prosecutor credibility partially mediates the relationship between prosecutor gender and perceived defendant culpability. That is, the prosecutor's gender influences their perceived credibility (particularly on agentic dimensions), and this perceived credibility, in turn, influences how culpable the mock jurors perceive the defendant to be. A lower perceived agentic credibility for female prosecutors might subtly weaken the perceived strength of the prosecution's case, leading to slightly lower culpability ratings for the defendant.

##### 4.5. Sentencing Recommendations:

Consistent with culpability perceptions, participants in the female prosecutor condition might also recommend slightly lighter sentences for the defendant, though this effect might be less robust than the culpability perception itself.

These hypothetical results suggest that while the direct impact of prosecutor gender on defendant culpability perceptions might be subtle, it is likely mediated by how the prosecutor's credibility and effectiveness are perceived through the lens of gender stereotypes and role congruity.

#### 5. DISCUSSION

The hypothetical findings from this experimental study underscore the subtle yet potentially significant influence of prosecutor gender on perceptions of

defendant culpability. The observed trend of lower culpability ratings for defendants prosecuted by female attorneys, mediated by perceived credibility, aligns strongly with Role Congruity Theory [17, 18, 25]. This theory posits that individuals in roles traditionally associated with one gender (e.g., the assertive, agentic role of a prosecutor often linked to masculinity) may face prejudice or altered perceptions if they are of the incongruent gender. Female prosecutors, despite delivering identical arguments, might be perceived as less "forceful" or "authoritative" (agentic traits) by mock jurors, which could subtly undermine the perceived strength of the prosecution's case and, consequently, reduce the attributed culpability of the defendant.

The interaction effect with participant gender is particularly insightful. If male participants show a stronger tendency to assign lower culpability with female prosecutors, it could suggest a more rigid adherence to traditional gender stereotypes among this demographic, or a greater sensitivity to perceived deviations from expected gender roles in positions of authority. Conversely, if female participants show less bias, it might reflect a greater awareness of gender issues or a different set of evaluative criteria. This highlights the complex interplay of both the actor's gender and the observer's gender in shaping perceptions within the legal arena.

These results have critical implications for the administration of justice. If a prosecutor's gender, rather than solely the evidence, can subtly influence perceptions of guilt, it introduces a potential source of bias into the system. This bias could impact plea bargaining outcomes, as defense attorneys might strategically approach negotiations differently based on the prosecutor's gender, or even influence jury deliberations. The immense power of prosecutors [11] means that even subtle biases in perception could have far-reaching consequences for defendants.

Furthermore, these findings speak to the ongoing challenges faced by women in traditionally male-dominated professions. Despite increasing representation in law [2] and judiciary [19], female legal professionals may still encounter implicit biases that

affect their perceived effectiveness and career progression. This underscores the need for:

- **Awareness and Training:** Educating legal professionals (including judges, jurors, and other attorneys) about implicit gender biases and their potential impact on perceptions.
- **Professional Development:** Supporting female prosecutors in developing strategies to navigate role incongruity and enhance their perceived credibility without compromising their authentic professional style. This might involve focusing on persuasive communication techniques that transcend traditional gender stereotypes.
- **Further Research:** Investigating whether these effects vary by case type (e.g., violent crime vs. white-collar crime), defendant gender, or the specific cultural context of the jurisdiction. Longitudinal studies could also explore the long-term career impacts of these perceptions on female prosecutors.

It is important to acknowledge the limitations of a mock juror study. While experimental control is high, the artificiality of the setting may not fully capture the complexities of a real courtroom. Real jurors are exposed to a broader range of stimuli, including live testimony, cross-examination, and judicial instructions. Future research could extend this inquiry to real-world data, if ethically and practically feasible, or employ more immersive simulation techniques.

In conclusion, the hypothetical findings suggest that prosecutor gender can subtly influence perceptions of defendant culpability, primarily through its impact on perceived credibility. This highlights a nuanced form of gendered injustice that warrants further investigation and proactive measures to ensure that justice is administered fairly, regardless of the gender of those who seek it.

## **6. CONCLUSION**

The US criminal justice system, while striving for impartiality, remains susceptible to subtle biases that can influence perceptions of defendant culpability. This article has explored the compelling, yet under-researched, hypothesis that the gender of a prosecutor

can influence how mock jurors perceive a defendant's guilt. Drawing upon established theories of prosecutorial power, culpability perception, and gender role congruity, we have highlighted the potential for female prosecutors to face unique challenges in terms of perceived credibility, which could, in turn, subtly impact the perceived culpability of the defendants they prosecute.

The hypothetical experimental results suggest that while the direct impact on culpability may be subtle, it is likely mediated by how a prosecutor's credibility is perceived through the lens of gender stereotypes. This underscores a nuanced form of gender dynamics within the courtroom that warrants significant attention. Recognizing and addressing these implicit biases is crucial for fostering a truly equitable legal system. Future research should aim to further validate these findings in diverse contexts and explore strategies to mitigate such biases, ensuring that justice is served based on evidence and law, free from the influence of gendered perceptions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Alschuler, A. W. (1976). The Trial Judge's Role in Plea Bargaining, Part I. *Columbia Law Review*, 76, 1059-1154. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1121673>
- [2] American Bar Association. (2022). ABA profile of the legal profession. <https://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/administrative/news/2022/07/profile-report-2022.pdf>
- [3] Barron, L. A. (2003). Ask and you shall Receive? Gender Differences in Negotiators' Beliefs about Requests for a Higher Salary. *Human Relations* (New York), 56(6), 635-662. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00187267030566001>
- [4] Beckett, K., & Sasson, T. (2000). The war on crime as hegemonic strategy: A neo-Marxian theory of the new punitiveness in US criminal justice policy. In *Of crime & criminality: The use of theory in everyday life* (pp. 61-84). SAGE Publications, Inc.
- [5] Berman, G. L., Narby, D. J., & Cutler, B. L. (1995). Effects of inconsistent eyewitness statements on mock-jurors' evaluations of the eyewitness, perceptions of defendant culpability and verdicts. *Law & Human Behavior*, 19, 79-88. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01499074>
- [6] Bibas, S. (2009). Prosecutorial regulation versus prosecutorial accountability. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 154(4), 959-1016. [https://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/faculty\\_scholarship/244](https://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/faculty_scholarship/244)
- [7] Blank Law. (2022). Why hire a female criminal defense lawyer for sex crime cases. <https://nicoleblankbecker.com/why-hire-a-female-criminal-defense-lawyer-for-sex-crime-cases/#:~:text=Many%20psychological%20studies%20have%20shown,may%20not%20be%20able%20to>
- [8] Bowles, H. R., & McGinn, K. L. (2005). Claiming authority: Negotiating challenges for women leaders. *The psychology of leadership: New perspectives and research*, 191-208. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410611406-16>
- [9] Bresler, K. (1996). "I never lost a trial": When prosecutors keep score of criminal convictions. *Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics*, 9(2), 537-546. <http://hdl.handle.net/10822/898654>
- [10] Brooklyn Defender Services. (2018). In defense of power of prosecutors. <https://indefenseof.us/issues/prosecuted>
- [11] Burke, A. S. (2006). Improving prosecutorial decision making: some lessons of cognitive science. *William and Mary Law Review*, 47(5), 1587-1634. <https://scholarship.law.wm.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1232&context=wmlr>
- [12] Claessens, S., Fischer, K., Chaudhuri, A., Sibley, C. G., & Atkinson, Q. D. (2020). The dual evolutionary foundations of political ideology. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 4(4), 336-345. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-020-0850-9>
- [13] Davis, A. J. (2007). *Arbitrary justice: The power of the American prosecutor*. Oxford University Press.
- [14] Dervan, L. E., and V. A. Edkins. 2013. "The Innocent Defendant's Dilemma: An Innovative Empirical Study of Plea Bargaining's Innocence Problem." *Journal of Criminal Law & Criminology* 103(1): 1-48. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2071397>

- [15] Devers, L. (2011). Plea and charge bargaining: Research summary. United States Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance. <https://bja.ojp.gov/sites/g/files/xyckuh186/files/media/document/pleabargainingresearchsummary.pdf>
- [16] Dolan, K. (2010). The impact of gender stereotyped evaluations on support for women candidates. *Political Behavior*, 32, 69–88. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11109-009-9090-4>
- [17] Eagly, A. H. (2004). Few women at the top: How role incongruity produces prejudice and the glass ceiling. In D. van Knippenberg & M. A. Hogg (Eds.), *Leadership and power: Identity processes in groups and organizations* (pp. 79–93). Sage. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446216170.n7>
- [18] Eagly, A. H., & Karau, S. J. (2002). Role congruity theory of prejudice toward female leaders. *Psychological Review*, 109(3), 573–598. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0033-295X.109.3.573>
- [19] Flanagan, T. J., McGarrell, E. F., & Brown, E. J. (1985). Public perceptions of the criminal courts: The role of demographic and related attitudinal variables. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, 22(1), 66–82. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022427885022001004>
- [20] Fox, B., Moule, R. K., Jaynes, C. M., & Parry, M. M. (2021). Are the Effects of Legitimacy and Its Components Invariant? Operationalization and the Generality of Sunshine and Tyler’s Empowerment Hypothesis. *The Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, 58(1), 3–40. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022427820926228>
- [21] Francis, L. J. (1997). The psychology of gender differences in religion: A review of empirical research. *Religion*, 27(1), 81–96. <https://doi.org/10.1006/reli.1996.0066>
- [22] Frazier, A., and J. E. Gonzales. 2021. “Studying Sequential Processes of Criminal Defendant Decision-Making Using a Choose-Your-Own-Adventure Research Paradigm.” *Psychology, Crime & Law* 28(9): 883–910. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1068316X.2021.1972107>
- [23] Funk, K. D. (2019). If the shoe fits: Gender role congruity and evaluations of public managers. *Journal of Behavioral Public Administration*, 2(1), 1–10. <http://dx.doi.org/10.30636/jbpa.21.48>
- [24] Garcia-Retamero, R., & López-Zafra, E. (2006). Prejudice against women in male-congenial environments: Perceptions of gender role congruity in leadership. *Sex Roles*, 55, 51–61. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-006-9068-1>
- [25] Hahn, P.W., & Clayton, S.D. (1996). The effects of attorney presentation style, attorney gender, and juror gender on juror decisions. *Law and Human Behavior*, 20(5), 533–554. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01499040>
- [26] Hellgren, J., & Kassin, S. M. (2022). The defense lawyer’s plea recommendation: Disentangling the influences of perceived guilt and probability of conviction. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 28(4), 546–559. <https://doi.org/10.1037/law0000365>
- [27] Hodgson, S. & Pryor, B. (1984). Sex discrimination in the courtroom: Attorney’s gender and credibility. *Psychological Reports*, 55(2), 483–486. <https://doi.org/10.2466/pr0.1984.55.2.483>
- [28] Hong, A. P. C. I., & van der Wijst, P. J. (2013). Women in negotiation: Effects of gender and power on negotiation behavior. *Negotiation and Conflict Management Research*, 6(4), 273–284. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ncmr.12022>
- [29] Hurwitz, M. S., & Lanier, D. N. (2008). Diversity in state and federal appellate courts: Change and continuity across 20 years. *Justice System Journal*, 29(1), 47–70. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0098261X.2008.10767870>