Contemporary Approaches to Organizational Governance and Fiscal Development for Sustainable Institutional Performance

Song Yu Mina
Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea

Received: 27 June 2026 | Received Revised Version: 05 July 2026 | Accepted: 22 July 2026 | Published: 01 Aug 2026

Volume 08 Issue 08 2026

Abstract

Contemporary organizations operate within an increasingly complex institutional environment characterized by rapid digital transformation, evolving governance expectations, fiscal uncertainty, sustainability imperatives, and changing stakeholder demands. These developments have substantially altered traditional approaches to organizational governance and fiscal management, requiring institutions to adopt integrated governance structures capable of balancing economic performance, social responsibility, technological innovation, and long-term sustainability. This paper examines contemporary approaches to organizational governance and fiscal development and evaluates their contribution to sustainable institutional performance. Drawing exclusively upon the selected literature, the study synthesizes theoretical and practical perspectives concerning public financial management, digital governance, fiscal stabilization, monetary policy, innovation ecosystems, sustainable development strategies, and organizational transformation. The research adopts a qualitative review-based analytical methodology that critically compares existing theoretical arguments and practical evidence to develop a comprehensive conceptual framework connecting governance quality, fiscal resilience, institutional adaptability, and sustainable organizational outcomes.

The analysis demonstrates that sustainable institutional performance depends not only upon effective fiscal policy but also upon transparent governance systems, digital transformation, innovation-oriented leadership, collaborative institutional arrangements, and evidence-based decision-making. Digital government initiatives, agile organizational models, fintech innovations, and strategic partnerships have emerged as important mechanisms for strengthening governance capacity while improving organizational efficiency and accountability. Simultaneously, fiscal development strategies involving social investment, monetary stabilization, and adaptive policy frameworks contribute significantly to institutional resilience during periods of economic uncertainty and structural transformation. The review further identifies research gaps regarding the integration of governance modernization, fiscal innovation, and sustainability within a unified institutional framework. The findings emphasize that future organizational success depends upon balancing financial stability with governance innovation, technological advancement, and sustainable policy implementation. The proposed conceptual perspective contributes to the growing body of governance literature by integrating organizational governance, fiscal development, and sustainability into a comprehensive analytical framework suitable for both public and private institutions.

Keywords: Organizational Governance; Fiscal Development; Sustainable Institutional Performance; Digital Transformation; Public Financial Management; Institutional Sustainability; Innovation Management; Fiscal Policy.

Cite This Article: Hassan, M., Obohwemu, K. O., Yakpir, G. M., Kachitsa, C. L., Nchindia, C. A., Kadiri-Eneh, N. P., … Dania, P. F. I. (2026). Employee Perceptions of High-Performance Work Practices in UK Higher Education: Insights from Academic and Professional Staff. The American Journal of Management and Economics Innovations, 8(07), 13–26. https://doi.org/10.37547/tajmei/Volume08Issue07-01

I. Introduction

The twenty-first century has fundamentally transformed the governance environment within which organizations operate. Governments, corporations, educational institutions, financial organizations, and nonprofit entities increasingly confront interconnected economic, technological, environmental, and social challenges that cannot be addressed through conventional governance mechanisms alone. Globalization, digitalization, financial volatility, demographic transitions, climate-related risks, and post-pandemic economic recovery have collectively expanded the responsibilities of organizational leadership beyond financial performance toward broader institutional sustainability (Pan et al., 2021; Blanchard, Philippon & Pisani-Ferry, 2020).

Traditional governance models primarily emphasized administrative control, regulatory compliance, and financial accountability. Contemporary governance, however, requires institutions to simultaneously manage strategic innovation, digital transformation, stakeholder engagement, environmental responsibility, organizational resilience, and long-term fiscal sustainability. Consequently, governance has evolved from a compliance-oriented function into a multidimensional strategic capability influencing organizational competitiveness and institutional legitimacy (OECD, 2024; United Nations Development Programme, n.d.).

Fiscal development represents another essential dimension of sustainable institutional performance. Sound fiscal systems enable organizations and governments to allocate resources efficiently, maintain macroeconomic stability, invest in innovation, support social development, and respond effectively to economic crises. The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted the necessity of adaptive fiscal frameworks capable of supporting economic recovery while preserving long-term financial sustainability (Pan et al., 2021; Kurbanova, Zhumadilova & Annakuliyeva, 2021). Fiscal resilience therefore extends beyond budgetary discipline and encompasses institutional flexibility, strategic investment, transparent resource allocation, and evidence-based financial governance.

Digital transformation has become one of the most influential drivers of governance modernization. Emerging technologies—including data analytics, cloud computing, artificial intelligence, digital platforms, and integrated information systems—have significantly reshaped organizational decision-making processes. Digital government initiatives promote transparency, accountability, public participation, and administrative efficiency while strengthening institutional performance through improved service delivery (OECD, 2024). Similarly, organizational digital maturity enhances operational effectiveness by integrating information systems with governance mechanisms and strategic planning (DeMattia & McKnight, 2017).

Innovation-oriented governance has also gained considerable importance within both public and private organizations. Agile management approaches encourage adaptive leadership, collaborative decision-making, rapid learning, and continuous organizational improvement. These governance characteristics strengthen institutional capacity to respond effectively to uncertain economic environments and technological disruptions (Markopoulos, Umar & Vanharanta). Strategic alliances, collaborative innovation, and entrepreneurial ecosystems further contribute to sustainable organizational performance by facilitating knowledge sharing, resource optimization, and institutional learning (Cacciolatti et al., 2020).

The relationship between governance and fiscal development has become increasingly interdependent. Fiscal policy influences institutional investment capacity, while governance quality determines the effectiveness of financial resource utilization. Monetary policies, fiscal stabilization mechanisms, and public investment strategies directly affect organizational sustainability by shaping financial environments within which institutions operate (Jonathan et al., 2020; Kiseľáková et al., 2020). Consequently, governance reform cannot be separated from fiscal modernization.

Social investment has emerged as another important governance principle supporting sustainable development. Investments in education, healthcare, digital infrastructure, innovation, and human capital generate long-term institutional benefits extending beyond immediate economic returns. Such investments strengthen organizational capabilities while promoting inclusive growth and social resilience (Bouget et al., 2015). Likewise, crowdfunding mechanisms and alternative financing instruments demonstrate how innovative fiscal approaches expand organizational access to financial resources while encouraging broader stakeholder participation (Gernego, 2015).

Contemporary institutional performance is therefore increasingly evaluated through multidimensional indicators incorporating governance quality, financial sustainability, innovation capability, digital maturity, stakeholder trust, environmental responsibility, and organizational adaptability. Traditional financial performance indicators alone no longer adequately capture institutional effectiveness within complex governance environments.

Despite significant advances in governance literature, considerable fragmentation remains across research concerning organizational governance, fiscal development, sustainability, digital transformation, and innovation management. Many studies examine these variables independently rather than investigating their integrated relationships. This fragmentation limits the development of comprehensive governance frameworks capable of supporting sustainable institutional performance across diverse organizational contexts.

Accordingly, this paper seeks to synthesize existing knowledge into an integrated conceptual framework linking governance modernization, fiscal development, digital transformation, and sustainable institutional performance. By examining these dimensions collectively, the study contributes to a broader understanding of institutional resilience and long-term organizational sustainability.

The primary objectives of this study are to analyze contemporary governance approaches, evaluate the contribution of fiscal development to institutional sustainability, examine the role of digital transformation and innovation in governance modernization, identify research gaps within existing literature, and propose an integrated conceptual framework supporting sustainable institutional performance.

II. Literature Review

Existing literature increasingly recognizes governance as a multidimensional institutional capability extending beyond administrative regulation toward strategic coordination, accountability, innovation management, and sustainable development. Rather than emphasizing hierarchical control alone, contemporary governance incorporates stakeholder engagement, adaptive leadership, digital technologies, evidence-based policy formulation, and integrated financial management.

Bouget et al. (2015) argue that social investment constitutes an essential pillar of sustainable governance because long-term institutional competitiveness depends upon investments in education, healthcare, employment, and human capital. Their work suggests that governance effectiveness should be evaluated according to the capacity of institutions to generate sustainable social outcomes alongside economic performance. This perspective broadens traditional financial governance by incorporating inclusive development objectives.

Fiscal governance literature similarly emphasizes macroeconomic stability as a prerequisite for sustainable institutional performance. Efymenko (2017) explains that fiscal space enables governments and institutions to respond effectively to economic shocks while maintaining public confidence and financial sustainability. Complementing this perspective, Kiseľáková et al. (2020) demonstrate that monetary policy significantly influences sustainable economic development within European countries by supporting investment, financial stability, and institutional resilience.

The COVID-19 pandemic generated substantial scholarly attention regarding fiscal policy adaptation. Pan et al. (2021) highlight the importance of coordinated financial policies supporting green transition and post-pandemic recovery. Their analysis indicates that recovery policies should simultaneously stimulate economic growth while promoting environmental sustainability and structural modernization. Similarly, Blanchard, Philippon and Pisani-Ferry (2020) argue that governments require innovative policy toolkits capable of balancing emergency intervention with long-term fiscal responsibility.

Kurbanova, Zhumadilova and Annakuliyeva (2021) further emphasize taxation as a strategic policy instrument supporting sustainable development during periods of economic disruption. Their findings suggest that adaptive fiscal mechanisms strengthen institutional resilience by providing governments with greater flexibility in responding to changing economic conditions.

Digital transformation represents another dominant theme throughout recent governance research. The OECD Digital Government Index (2024) identifies digital maturity as a central determinant of governance quality. Digital technologies improve administrative transparency, citizen participation, interagency coordination, evidence-based policymaking, and service delivery efficiency. These findings align closely with the United Nations Development Programme's Global Digital Compact submission, which advocates inclusive digital governance as a foundation for sustainable institutional development.

Organizational digital maturity receives additional attention within the work of DeMattia and McKnight (2017), who propose a maturity model describing progressive organizational transformation through automation, digital integration, and data-driven decision-making. Their framework demonstrates that governance modernization increasingly depends upon technological capability rather than administrative restructuring alone.

Innovation management literature complements these governance perspectives. Markopoulos, Umar and Vanharanta argue that agile organizational planning enhances innovation capability by encouraging continuous adaptation, collaborative learning, and flexible management practices. Agile governance enables organizations to respond effectively to technological disruption while maintaining strategic coherence.

Similarly, Cacciolatti et al. (2020) demonstrate that strategic alliances contribute positively to organizational performance through knowledge exchange, innovation collaboration, and resource sharing. Their findings indicate that collaborative governance structures improve organizational resilience within dynamic business environments.

Alternative financing mechanisms also contribute to governance modernization. Gernego (2015) examines crowdfunding as an innovative financial instrument expanding access to capital while strengthening stakeholder participation. Such financing mechanisms illustrate broader trends toward decentralized governance and participatory financial systems.

Maciaszczyk, Dyba and Gernego (2019) extend this discussion by examining human development strategies within digitally transforming economies. Their work emphasizes that institutional sustainability depends upon balancing technological modernization with human capital development and inclusive governance.

The World Bank's Digital Economy for Africa Initiative similarly illustrates how digital infrastructure, institutional capacity, regulatory modernization, and innovation ecosystems collectively support sustainable economic transformation. Ukraine 2030 reinforces these principles by emphasizing integrated national development strategies connecting governance reform, economic modernization, environmental sustainability, and institutional resilience.

Although the reviewed literature addresses governance, fiscal policy, innovation, digital transformation, and sustainability individually, relatively few studies integrate these dimensions into a unified analytical framework explaining sustainable institutional performance. Existing scholarship often concentrates upon specific sectors, policy instruments, or technological innovations while overlooking their broader institutional interactions.

This review therefore identifies an important research gap: the need for a comprehensive governance model integrating fiscal development, digital transformation, innovation management, social investment, and institutional sustainability. Addressing this gap forms the central contribution of the present study and provides the conceptual foundation for the subsequent methodological framework.

III. Methodology

Research Design

Use a qualitative, review-based research design to examine the relationship between organizational governance, fiscal development, and sustainable institutional performance. State that the study synthesizes the concepts presented in the selected literature and develops an integrated conceptual framework rather than collecting primary empirical data.

Research Approach

Explain that the study follows an interpretivist and analytical approach. The objective is to compare and synthesize previous findings to identify common governance dimensions, fiscal mechanisms, and sustainability factors.

Data Source

Specify that the analysis is based exclusively on the twenty references provided for the study. No external literature is incorporated.

Document Analysis

Describe the document analysis process, for example:

• Identification of governance-related concepts.

• Identification of fiscal development mechanisms.

• Comparison of institutional sustainability indicators.

• Examination of digital transformation and innovation themes.

• Cross-comparison to identify convergent and divergent perspectives.

Conceptual Variables

Present the variables in a simple conceptual model.

Independent Variables Mediating Variables Dependent Variable

Organizational governance Digital transformation Sustainable institutional performance

Fiscal development Innovation capability Organizational resilience

Social investment Strategic collaboration Long-term sustainability

Explain that governance and fiscal development directly influence institutional performance, while digital transformation and innovation strengthen these relationships.

Analytical Framework

Organize the analysis into four dimensions:

1. Governance effectiveness

2. Fiscal development capacity

3. Digital and innovation readiness

4. Sustainable institutional outcomes

For each dimension, compare the arguments presented across the selected references and identify recurring themes.

Reliability and Validity

State that reliability is enhanced through systematic comparison of all selected sources, while validity is supported by consistent interpretation of concepts across multiple studies addressing governance, fiscal policy, sustainability, and organizational development.

Ethical Considerations

Mention that the study relies solely on publicly available published literature, does not involve human participants or confidential data, and attributes all ideas through appropriate in-text citations.

Limitations

Acknowledge that the review is limited to the supplied references, does not include primary data collection, and therefore develops a conceptual rather than empirically validated framework. Suggest that future research could test the framework using surveys, interviews, or organizational case studies.

This provides a solid methodology structure that you can expand and tailor to accurately reflect your own research and analysis.

IV. Results

The analysis of the selected literature reveals that sustainable institutional performance is strongly influenced by the interaction between governance quality, fiscal development capacity, digital transformation, and innovation-oriented organizational practices. The findings indicate that contemporary governance has moved beyond traditional administrative control toward integrated systems emphasizing adaptability, transparency, strategic resource management, and long-term sustainability.

The first major finding is that effective organizational governance functions as a foundation for institutional resilience. The reviewed studies demonstrate that institutions with stronger governance mechanisms are better positioned to manage uncertainty, allocate resources efficiently, and respond to economic disruptions. Governance effectiveness is increasingly associated with accountability structures, evidence-based decision-making, stakeholder participation, and strategic coordination. The literature on social investment highlights that sustainable governance requires investment in institutional capabilities and human development rather than focusing only on short-term financial outcomes (Bouget et al., 2015).

The second finding concerns the relationship between fiscal development and institutional sustainability. The reviewed references indicate that fiscal stability provides organizations and governments with the capacity to support innovation, manage crises, and maintain operational continuity. Monetary and fiscal policy frameworks influence institutional performance by shaping investment opportunities, financial stability, and resource allocation mechanisms (Kiseľáková et al., 2020; Jonathan et al., 2020). The COVID-19 period further demonstrated that flexible fiscal instruments and adaptive policy responses are essential for maintaining economic and institutional stability (Pan et al., 2021; Kurbanova, Zhumadilova & Annakuliyeva, 2021).

A third important finding is the strategic role of digital transformation in improving governance performance. Digital maturity enables institutions to strengthen transparency, automate processes, improve information management, and enhance decision-making efficiency. The OECD Digital Government Index emphasizes that digital capabilities have become a critical indicator of modern governance effectiveness (OECD, 2024). Similarly, organizational transformation models suggest that data-driven management systems support operational efficiency and institutional adaptability (DeMattia & McKnight, 2017).

The analysis also identifies innovation capability as a significant contributor to sustainable institutional performance. Agile management approaches, strategic alliances, and collaborative innovation models enable organizations to respond more effectively to changing environments. Research on startups and innovation ecosystems indicates that flexible organizational structures and knowledge-sharing networks improve performance outcomes (Markopoulos, Umar & Vanharanta; Cacciolatti et al., 2020).

Finally, the findings reveal that sustainable institutional performance requires integration rather than isolated improvement of individual factors. Governance reforms, fiscal modernization, digital development, and innovation strategies operate as interconnected components of institutional sustainability. The literature suggests that organizations achieving alignment among these dimensions demonstrate stronger resilience, adaptability, and long-term developmental capacity.

V. Discussion

The findings demonstrate that contemporary organizational performance cannot be explained through financial efficiency alone. Sustainable institutional success increasingly depends upon the ability of organizations to combine effective governance, fiscal responsibility, technological capability, and innovation capacity. This supports the broader argument that institutions must transition from traditional management approaches toward adaptive governance systems capable of addressing complex economic and social challenges.

The relationship between governance and sustainability identified in this study reflects a shift from control-based administration toward strategic institutional management. Effective governance provides the structural foundation through which organizations establish accountability, coordinate resources, and maintain stakeholder confidence. However, governance effectiveness depends on the ability of institutions to integrate technological and financial transformation. Digital governance initiatives demonstrate that technology is not merely an operational tool but a mechanism for improving transparency, participation, and institutional intelligence (OECD, 2024).

The role of fiscal development presents both opportunities and challenges. Strong fiscal frameworks enable institutions to invest in modernization, innovation, and human development. However, excessive dependence on financial mechanisms without governance reform may limit sustainable outcomes. The literature indicates that fiscal stability must be combined with strategic resource allocation and institutional capacity-building to produce long-term benefits (Efymenko, 2017). This highlights the importance of balancing immediate financial priorities with future-oriented sustainability objectives.

The findings also reveal a significant connection between innovation and organizational adaptability. Agile management approaches provide institutions with flexibility, allowing them to respond to technological disruption and changing stakeholder expectations. Strategic partnerships and collaborative ecosystems further strengthen institutional capabilities by expanding access to knowledge and resources (Cacciolatti et al., 2020). However, innovation-driven governance requires supportive organizational cultures, leadership commitment, and continuous learning mechanisms.

A critical implication of the study is that digital transformation should be considered a governance strategy rather than a purely technological investment. Institutions that successfully integrate digital systems with decision-making processes are better positioned to achieve operational efficiency and policy effectiveness. Nevertheless, digital transformation also creates challenges related to organizational readiness, resource availability, and unequal access to technological infrastructure.

The discussion further highlights the importance of integrated governance frameworks. Existing literature frequently examines fiscal policy, digital transformation, innovation, and sustainability separately. The present analysis suggests that these elements should be viewed as interconnected components of a broader institutional development model. Sustainable performance emerges when governance structures enable effective financial management, technological adoption, and innovation-oriented organizational behavior.

Despite these contributions, several limitations remain. Since the study is based on literature analysis, the proposed relationships require empirical validation through organizational surveys, comparative case studies, or quantitative modeling. Future research should examine how different institutional environments influence the effectiveness of governance and fiscal development strategies.

Overall, the findings support the conclusion that sustainable institutional performance is achieved through the alignment of governance modernization, fiscal resilience, digital capability, and innovation management. Institutions that successfully integrate these dimensions are more capable of achieving long-term sustainability and responding effectively to future economic and organizational challenges.

VI. Conclusion

Contemporary organizational environments require a transformation in the way institutions understand governance, fiscal development, and sustainable performance. This study highlights that sustainable institutional performance is no longer determined only by financial efficiency or administrative effectiveness; rather, it emerges from the interaction of governance quality, fiscal resilience, digital transformation, innovation capability, and strategic adaptability. The analysis of the selected literature demonstrates that modern institutions must develop integrated approaches that balance economic objectives with social responsibility, technological advancement, and long-term sustainability.

The findings indicate that effective organizational governance serves as the foundation for institutional stability and development. Transparent decision-making, accountability mechanisms, stakeholder engagement, and adaptive leadership contribute to stronger organizational capacity and resilience. Governance systems that incorporate innovation and digital technologies are better equipped to manage uncertainty and respond to changing economic and social conditions. Digital transformation has therefore become a critical component of governance modernization by improving information management, operational efficiency, and institutional responsiveness.

Fiscal development represents another essential dimension of sustainable institutional performance. The reviewed literature demonstrates that fiscal stability, effective resource allocation, and adaptive financial strategies enable institutions to maintain continuity during periods of economic disruption. However, sustainable fiscal development requires more than financial control; it depends on strategic investment in innovation, human capital, infrastructure, and institutional capabilities. The integration of fiscal policy with governance reform creates stronger foundations for sustainable growth and organizational resilience.

The study further emphasizes the importance of innovation-oriented management approaches. Agile organizational structures, strategic alliances, and collaborative ecosystems enhance institutional flexibility and support continuous improvement. In rapidly changing environments, organizations that encourage learning, experimentation, and knowledge exchange are more capable of maintaining competitiveness and achieving sustainable outcomes.

A significant contribution of this research is the conceptual integration of governance, fiscal development, digital transformation, and innovation within a unified framework for understanding institutional sustainability. Rather than treating these factors as independent elements, the study demonstrates that their combined influence determines the ability of institutions to adapt, perform, and create long-term value.

Despite these insights, further empirical research is required to validate the proposed relationships across different organizational contexts. Future studies may investigate the practical implementation of integrated governance models through comparative case studies, quantitative analysis, and sector-specific evaluations. Such research can provide deeper understanding of how institutions can effectively combine financial sustainability, technological capability, and governance innovation.

In conclusion, sustainable institutional performance depends on the capacity of organizations to evolve beyond traditional governance approaches and adopt integrated, adaptive, and innovation-driven strategies. Institutions that successfully align governance modernization with fiscal responsibility and digital transformation will be better positioned to achieve resilience, efficiency, and sustainable development in an increasingly complex global environment.

VII. References

1. D. Bouget, H. Frazer, R. Marlier, S. Sabato, B. Vanherke, “Social Investment in Europe,” A study of national policies. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 2015. 48 p.

2. D. Kiseľáková, P. Filip, E. Onuferová, T. Valentiny, “The Impact of Monetary Policies on the Sustainable Economic and Financial Development in the Euro Area Countries,” Sustainability, 2020, 12, no. 22 : 9367. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12229367

3. D. Pan., Ch. Chen, M. Grubb., Y. Wang. “Financial Policy, Green Transition and Recovery after the COVID-19,” Social Science Research Network, 2021. doi: 10.2139/SSRN. 3719695.

4. E. Markopoulos, O. Umar, H. Vanharanta, “Agile Start-up Business Planning and Lean Implementation Management on Democratic Innovation and Creativity,” In International Conference on Human Systems Engineering and Design: Future Trends and Applications. — Cham : Springer, 885–895.

5. G. Jonathan, E. Klee, E. Simpson Prescott, and P. Wood, “Monetary Policy Strategies and Tools: Financial Stability Considerations,” Finance and Economics Discussion Series, 2020, 2020-074. Washington: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, https://doi.org/10.17016/FEDS.2020.074

6. Iu. Gernego, “Crowdfunding: Worldwide conditions and prospects for use in Ukraine,” Actual Problems of Economics, 2015, 174 (12), p. p. 111–117.

7. K. Kurbanova., T. Zhumadilova., G. Annakuliyeva. “Economic Growth for Sustainable Development: The COVID-19 Pandemic and Tax Instruments,” Proceedings of the International Scientific and Practical Conference on Sustainable Development of Regional Infrastructure, 2021. p p. 130–137 doi: 10.5220/0010587201300137.

8. L. Cacciolatti, A. Rosli, J. L. Ruiz-Alba, J. Chang, “Strategic alliances and firm performance in startups with a social mission,” Journal of Business Research, 2020, 106. 106–117.

9. O. Blanchard, T. Philippon and J. Pisani-Ferry, “A new policy toolkit is needed as countries exit COVID-19 lockdowns,” Bruegel, 2020 12. https://www.bruegel.org/sites/default/files/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/PC-12-2020-220620.pdf

10. OECD. Digital Government Index 2023. OECD Publishing, Paris. 2024. URL: https://cdn.www.gob.pe/uploads/document/file/5831287/5172485-digital-government-index-oecd-2023.pdf

11. P. Maciaszczyk, M. Dyba, Iu. Gernego, “Strategies of Human Development in the Context of Global Digital Change,” Economic Studies journal, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences-Economic Research Institute, 2019. issue 5, p. p. 10–24. https://ideas.repec.org/a/bas/econst/y2019i5p.10–24.html

12. Press release. DELL EMC. 2017. UR: https://www.emc.com/about/news/press/2017/20170412-01.htm

13. R. W. Fairlie, K. Couch, H. Xu, "The Impacts of COVID-19 on Minority Unemployment: First Evidence from April 2020 CPS Microdata," NBER Working Papers, 2020, 27246, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc.

14. S. Kotelban. "Essence, methods and tools of state regulation of innovation activity," Ekonomika ta derzhava. 2017. № 4. 115–118.

15. Submission to the Global Digital Compact. United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). URL: https://www.un.org/techenvoy/sites / www.un.org.techenvoy/files/GD C-submission UNDP.pdf

16. T. Dapp. "Fintech reloaded-Traditional banks as digital ecosystems," Digital economy and structural change. URL: https://www.deutschebank.nl/nl/docs/Fintech_reloaded_Traditional_ banks_as_digital_ecosystems.pdf

17. T. Efymenko, "Fiscal space and stabilization of public finances," Finansy Ukrainy. 2017.№ 9. p. 7–28.

18. The Digital Economy for Africa Initiative. The World Bank. URL: https://www.worldbank.org/en/programs/all-africa-digitaltransformation/ambition

19. Ukraine 2030: The doctrine of sustainable development. Lviv: Kalvaria, 2017. 168 p.

20. A. DeMattia, J. A McKnight, "Data-driven Maturity Model for Modernized, Automated, and Transformed IT," Research Insights Paper. Dell EMC. Boston: The Enterprise Strategy Group. 2017. URL: http://www.dell.com/learn/ua/ru/uacorpl/press-releases/2017-04-25-esg-study-it-transformation-maturity-curve