A Multidimensional Analysis of ESG Integration and Corporate Financial Performance: Evidence from ASEAN Firms in the Nikkei Asia 300 Index (2018–2022)

Tariq Mahmood
School of Business, University of Lahore, Lahore, Pakistan

Received: 26 Jan 2026 | Received Revised Version: 18 Feb 2026 | Accepted: 21 Mar 2026 | Published: 01 May 2026

Volume 08 Issue 05 2026 |

Abstract

The integration of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors into corporate strategies has emerged as a critical determinant of firm performance in global financial markets. This study investigates the multidimensional relationship between ESG practices and corporate financial performance among ASEAN firms listed in the Nikkei Asia 300 Index over the period 2018–2022. Drawing on stakeholder theory and corporate governance frameworks, the research employs a structured analytical approach to examine how ESG disclosures influence profitability, market valuation, and financial stability. The findings indicate that ESG integration positively correlates with financial performance, particularly in firms operating within environmentally sensitive sectors, although variations exist across governance structures and disclosure intensities. The study contributes to the growing body of ESG literature by providing region-specific empirical insights and highlights the importance of institutional and regulatory environments in shaping ESG outcomes. Limitations related to data heterogeneity and measurement inconsistencies are acknowledged, with recommendations for future longitudinal and sector-specific studies.

Keywords: ESG integration, corporate governance, financial performance, ASEAN firms, sustainability disclosure, stakeholder theory, emerging markets, Nikkei Asia 300, ESG metrics, empirical analysis

Cite This Article: Tariq Mahmood. (2026). A Multidimensional Analysis of ESG Integration and Corporate Financial Performance: Evidence from ASEAN Firms in the Nikkei Asia 300 Index (2018–2022). The American Journal of Management and Economics Innovations, 8(05), 1–5. Retrieved from https://theamericanjournals.com/index.php/tajmei/article/view/7841

1. Introduction

The growing prominence of ESG considerations in corporate decision-making reflects a paradigm shift in how organizations balance financial performance with sustainability objectives. Traditionally, financial performance metrics such as return on assets (ROA), return on equity (ROE), and market capitalization have dominated corporate evaluation frameworks. However, increasing environmental concerns, social accountability demands, and governance transparency requirements have compelled firms to adopt ESG-oriented strategies (Freeman, 1984).

The ASEAN region represents a dynamic economic landscape characterized by rapid industrialization, diverse regulatory environments, and increasing integration into global financial systems. Firms listed in the Nikkei Asia 300 Index are particularly relevant for examining ESG dynamics, as they reflect high-performing corporations across multiple sectors. The relevance of ESG in this context is further amplified by regional initiatives such as green bond standards and sustainability reporting frameworks (ASEAN Capital Market Forum, 2018).

The central problem addressed in this study is the lack of comprehensive empirical analysis that integrates ESG dimensions with financial performance across ASEAN firms. While prior research has explored ESG-performance relationships in developed markets, emerging economies present unique institutional challenges, including regulatory fragmentation and varying levels of disclosure transparency (Naeem, Cankaya, & Bildik, 2022).

The primary objectives of this research are threefold: First, to examine the relationship between ESG integration and corporate financial performance. Second, to analyze the differential impact of environmental, social, and governance dimensions. Third, to evaluate how institutional and sectoral factors influence ESG effectiveness.

The study is significant in advancing academic discourse on ESG by offering a multidimensional analytical framework tailored to ASEAN markets. It also provides practical insights for policymakers, investors, and corporate managers seeking to optimize sustainability strategies.

2. Literature Review

The relationship between ESG practices and financial performance has been extensively examined through various theoretical and empirical lenses. Stakeholder theory provides a foundational framework, emphasizing that firms must address the interests of multiple stakeholders to achieve long-term value creation (Freeman, 1984; Freeman, Wicks, & Parmar, 2004). This perspective aligns with ESG principles, which prioritize environmental stewardship, social responsibility, and governance accountability.

Empirical studies present mixed findings regarding the ESG-performance nexus. Research by Alareeni and Hamdan (2020) demonstrates a positive association between ESG practices and financial performance in US firms, suggesting that sustainability initiatives enhance investor confidence and operational efficiency. Similarly, Al Amosh et al. (2022) find that ESG factors significantly influence firm performance in the Levant region, particularly through improved governance mechanisms.

Conversely, some studies highlight contextual variations. Naeem, Cankaya, and Bildik (2022) reveal that ESG performance impacts financial outcomes differently across emerging and developed markets, with stronger effects observed in environmentally sensitive industries. This finding underscores the importance of sectoral characteristics and regulatory environments in shaping ESG outcomes.

Corporate governance emerges as a critical component within ESG frameworks. Studies by Al-Ahdal et al. (2020) and Klapper and Love (2004) emphasize that effective governance structures enhance transparency and reduce agency costs, thereby improving financial performance. These insights are supported by classical theories of ownership and control (Fama & Jensen, 1983), which highlight the role of governance in aligning managerial and shareholder interests.

Environmental and social disclosures also play a significant role in influencing market perceptions. Oncioiu et al. (2020) argue that ESG disclosure enhances financial transparency, leading to improved investor trust. Similarly, Nekhili et al. (2017) find that corporate social responsibility disclosures positively affect market value, particularly in non-family firms.

However, gaps remain in the literature. Many studies focus on single-country analyses or specific industries, limiting generalizability. Additionally, inconsistencies in ESG measurement methodologies hinder comparative analysis (Goldstein & Yang, 2017). The ASEAN context, characterized by diverse economic structures and regulatory frameworks, remains underexplored.

This study addresses these gaps by providing a comprehensive, multidimensional analysis of ESG integration across ASEAN firms, incorporating environmental, social, and governance dimensions within a unified framework.

3. Methodology

This study adopts an empirical research design grounded in quantitative analysis to examine the relationship between ESG integration and corporate financial performance. The methodological framework is structured around three core components: data collection, variable operationalization, and analytical modeling.

3.1 Research Design and Framework

The study employs a panel data approach, analyzing firms listed in the Nikkei Asia 300 Index from 2018 to 2022. This longitudinal design enables the assessment of temporal variations in ESG performance and financial outcomes. The conceptual framework integrates stakeholder theory and corporate governance principles, linking ESG dimensions to financial performance indicators.

3.2 Data Collection and Sample Selection

The sample consists of ASEAN firms across multiple sectors, ensuring representation of diverse industries including manufacturing, finance, and energy. ESG data is derived from corporate sustainability reports and standardized ESG rating systems, while financial data is obtained from publicly available financial statements. Macroeconomic indicators such as GDP growth are incorporated to control for external economic influences (International Monetary Fund, 2021).

3.3 Variable Measurement

The dependent variable, financial performance, is measured using key indicators such as ROA, ROE, and Tobin's Q. These metrics capture both accounting-based and market-based performance dimensions.

Independent variables include ESG scores, disaggregated into environmental, social, and governance components. Environmental factors encompass emissions reduction and resource efficiency, social factors include labor practices and community engagement, while governance factors involve board structure and transparency.

Control variables include firm size, leverage, industry classification, and macroeconomic conditions. These variables account for external influences that may affect financial performance.

3.4 Analytical Model

The study employs multiple regression analysis to estimate the relationship between ESG variables and financial performance. The model is specified as follows:

Financial Performance = $\beta 0 + \beta 1$(ESG Score) + $\beta 2$(Control Variables) + ε

Advanced econometric techniques, including fixed-effects and random-effects models, are used to address heterogeneity across firms. Multicollinearity and heteroscedasticity tests are conducted to ensure robustness.

3.5 Theoretical Integration

The methodology integrates theoretical insights from stakeholder theory and agency theory. Stakeholder theory explains how ESG practices enhance firm legitimacy and stakeholder trust, while agency theory highlights the role of governance in mitigating conflicts between managers and shareholders (Fama & Jensen, 1983).

3.6 Practical Implementation

To illustrate the framework, consider a manufacturing firm implementing environmental sustainability initiatives such as energy efficiency improvements. These initiatives reduce operational costs and enhance brand reputation, leading to improved financial performance. Similarly, governance reforms such as independent board structures increase transparency and investor confidence.

3.7 Limitations of Methodology

The methodology faces limitations related to data availability and ESG measurement inconsistencies. Differences in reporting standards across ASEAN countries may introduce bias. Additionally, the reliance on secondary data limits the ability to capture qualitative aspects of ESG performance.

4. Results

The empirical analysis reveals a statistically significant positive relationship between ESG integration and corporate financial performance across ASEAN firms. Firms with higher ESG scores demonstrate improved profitability and market valuation, indicating that sustainability practices contribute to long-term value creation.

Among the ESG dimensions, governance exhibits the strongest impact on financial performance, followed by environmental and social factors. This finding aligns with prior research emphasizing the importance of governance structures in enhancing transparency and reducing agency costs (Al-Ahdal et al., 2020).

Sectoral analysis indicates that ESG effects are more pronounced in environmentally sensitive industries such as energy and manufacturing. This supports the findings of Naeem, Cankaya, and Bildik (2022), who highlight the differential impact of ESG across industries.

Temporal analysis shows a gradual increase in ESG adoption over the study period, reflecting growing regulatory and market pressures. However, variations in ESG disclosure quality suggest inconsistencies in reporting standards across ASEAN countries.

5. Discussion

The findings underscore the strategic importance of ESG integration in enhancing corporate financial performance. The strong impact of governance factors suggests that institutional frameworks play a critical role in shaping ESG outcomes. Effective governance mechanisms reduce information asymmetry and improve investor confidence, thereby driving financial performance.

The positive relationship between environmental and social factors and financial performance highlights the growing relevance of sustainability in corporate strategy. Firms that invest in environmental initiatives and social responsibility programs benefit from enhanced reputation and stakeholder trust.

However, the variability in ESG impacts across sectors and countries indicates that contextual factors must be considered. Differences in regulatory environments and market maturity influence the effectiveness of ESG practices. This aligns with the findings of Naeem, Cankaya, and Bildik (2022), which emphasize the importance of industry-specific dynamics.

The study also highlights trade-offs associated with ESG integration. While sustainability initiatives may involve short-term costs, they generate long-term benefits through improved efficiency and risk management.

Limitations include data inconsistencies and the inability to capture qualitative aspects of ESG performance. Future research should explore standardized ESG metrics and incorporate qualitative analyses to provide a more comprehensive understanding.

6. Conclusion

This study provides a comprehensive analysis of the relationship between ESG integration and corporate financial performance in ASEAN firms. The findings confirm that ESG practices positively influence financial outcomes, with governance playing a particularly significant role.

The research contributes to the literature by offering region-specific insights and highlighting the importance of institutional and sectoral factors. It also provides practical implications for policymakers and corporate managers seeking to enhance sustainability strategies.

Future research should focus on longitudinal analyses and sector-specific studies to further explore the dynamics of ESG integration. Additionally, the development of standardized ESG metrics would improve comparability and reliability.

References

1. Africa Morocco. Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies,2015:5(1):51-69.
2. Al Amosh H, Khatib S, Ananzeh H. Environmental, Social and Governance Impact on Financial Performance: Evidence from the Levant Countries. Corporate Governance,2022:23(3):493-513.
3. Al-Ahdal WM, Alsamhi MH, Tabash MI, Farhan NHS. The Impact of Corporate Governance on Financial Performance of Indian and GCC Listed Firms: An Empirical Investigation. Research in International Business and Finance,2020:51:1-13.
4. Alareeni BA, Hamdan A. ESG Impact on Performance of US S&P 500-Listed Firms. Corporate Governance,2020:20(7):1409-1428.
5. ASEAN Capital Market Forum. ASEAN Green Bond Standards, Kuala Lumpur, 2018.
6. Bauer R, Eichholtz P, Kok N. Corporate Governance and Performance: The REIT Effect, Real Estate Economics. Real Estate Economics,2010:38(1):1-29.
7. Caesaria AF, B Basuki. The Study of Sustainability Report Disclosure Aspects and Their Impact on the Companies' Performance. SHS Web of Conferences,2017.
8. Deegan C, Blomquist C. Stakeholder Influence on Corporate Reporting: An Exploration of The Interaction Between WWF-Australia and the Australian Minerals Industry. Accounting, Organizations and Society,2006:31(4-5):343-372.
9. Fama EF, Jensen MC. Separation of Ownership and Control. The Journal of Law and Economics,1983:26(2):301-325.
10. Fisher-Vanden K, Thorburn KS. Voluntary Corporate Environmental Initiatives and Shareholder Wealth. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management,2011:62(3):430-445.
11. Freeman RE. Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach, Boston, MA: Pitman, 1984.
12. Freeman RE, Wicks AC, Parmar B. Stakeholder Theory and The Corporate Objective Revisited. Organization Science,2004,15:364-369.
13. Ghozali ID Ratmono. Analisis Multivariat dan Ekonometrika - Teori, Konsep, dan Aplikasi dengan EViews 10. 2020, Semarang, Badan Penerbit - Undip.
14. Goldstein I Yang, L. Information Disclosure in Financial Markets. Annual Review of Financial Economics:2017,9(1):101-125.
15. Hussein A Kamardin, A-RH Corporate. Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society Article Information. The International Journal of Business in Society,16(2):1-40,2016.
16. International Monetary Fund. Real GDP Growth. 2021.
17. Kalia D, Aggarwal D. Examining Impact of ESG Score on Financial Performance of Healthcare Companies. Journal of Global Responsibility,2023,14(1):155-176.
18. Khlif H, Guidara A, Souissi M. Corporate Social and Environmental Disclosure and Corporate Performance: Evidence from South.
19. Klapper LF, Love I. Corporate Governance, Investor Protection, and Performance in Emerging Markets. Journal of Corporate Finance,2004:10(5):703-728.

20. Naeem N, Cankaya S, Bildik R. Does ESG Performance Affect the Financial Performance of Environmentally Sensitive Industries? A Comparison Between Emerging and Developed Markets. Borsa Istanbul Review,2022:22(S2):128-140.

21. Napitupulu et al. Penelitian Bisnis – Teknik dan Analisis Data dengan SPSS – STATA – EVIEWS., Bekasi, Madenatera, 2021.

22. Nekhili M, Nagati H, Chtioui T, Rebolledo C. Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure and Market Value: Family Versus Nonfamily Firms. Journal of Business Research,2017:77:41-52.

23. Nugroho NA, Haryanto AM. Analisis Pengaruh Environmental, Social, Governance (ESG) Disclosure Terhadap Kinerja Keuangan Perusahaan (Studi Kasus pada Perusahaan Manufaktur di Indonesia pada Tahun]2016-2021). Doctoral Dissertation, 2023, UNDIP: Fakultas Ekonomika dan Bisnis.

24. Nugroho, NA, Hersugondo. Analisis Pengaruh Environmental, Social, Governance (ESG) Disclosure terhadap Kinerja Keuangan Perusahaan. Jurnal Ilmiah Ekonomi dan Bisnis,2022:15(2):233-243.

25. Oncioiu I, Popescu DM, Aviana AE, Serban A, Rotaru F, Petrescu M, Pantelescu AM. The Role of Environmental, Social, Governance Disclosure in Financial Transparency. Sustainability,2020:12(6757):1-16.

26. Pan F. ESG Disclosure, Performance in Southeast Asia. 2021. Fiori, G., Di Donato, F., and Izzo, M.F. Corporate Social Responsibility and Firms Performance – An Analysis on Italian Listed Companies. SSRN Electronic Journal,2011,1-14.

27. Rao A Dagar, V, Sohag K, Dagher L, Tanin TI. Good for the Planet, Good for the Wallet: The ESG Impact on Financial Performance in India. Finance Research Letters,2023,56:1-7.

28. Sandberg H, Alnoor A, Tiberius V. Environmental, Social, and Governance Ratings and Financial Performance: Evidence from the European Food Industry. Business Strategy and the Environment,2022:32:2471-2489.

29. Sustain Analytics. ESG Disclosure and Performance in Southeast Asia. 2021.