The American Journal of Management and Economics Innovations
ISSN 2693-0811 | Open Access

TYPE Original Research
PAGE NO. 145-154
DOI 10.37547/tajmei/Volume07Issue08-13

Automation Vs. Intelligence: Limits And Opportunities of Ai in E-Procurement Platforms

Yuliia Zorina

Global Sourcing, Global Leading Media Corporation, Headquarters , USA

Andrii Bikir

CEO Eau Claire Distribution Company, LLC, Eau Claire, Wisconsin, USA

OPEN ACCESS
SUBMITTED 27 July 2025
ACCEPTED 05 August 2025
PUBLISHED 22 August 2025
VOLUME Vol.07 Issue 08 2025

CITATION
Yuliia Zorina, & Andrii Bikir. (2025). Automation Vs. Intelligence: Limits And Opportunities of Ai in E-Procurement Platforms. The American Journal of Management and Economics Innovations, 7(8), 145–154. https://doi.org/10.37547/tajmei/Volume07Issue08-13

Abstract: This article reviews existing literature on automation and AI in procurement and explores the boundaries and opportunities for the application of artificial intelligence (AI) in electronic procurement systems, considering the ongoing digital transformation in both public and corporate sectors (Vital IT Pros, 2024). The distinction between rule-based automation and intelligent machine learning (ML) – based algorithms is emphasized. The study substantiates the areas where AI solutions can be effectively applied in procurement processes – from predictive analytics to tender documentation processing through natural language processing (NLP) tools. The regulatory and methodological foundations for AI implementation are analyzed, including the EU AI Act, ISO 20400, and the Open Contracting Data Standard (OCDS), while key barriers are identified – technical, ethical, and legal. The risks of algorithmic bias, opacity, and decision-making delegation to autonomous systems are discussed. A set of recommendations is proposed for transparent, ethically grounded, and functionally relevant AI implementation in e-procurement platforms, taking into account stakeholder perspectives.

Keywords: artificial intelligence, electronic procurement, machine learning, rule-based systems, tender platforms, predictive analytics.

Introduction

In the current context of socio-economic digital transformation, electronic procurement (e-procurement) is increasingly emerging as a key instrument of institutional modernization for both the public and corporate sectors. The functional architecture of procurement systems is becoming more integrated with algorithmic technologies, which not only streamline procedural aspects but also reconfigure the logic of decision-making through the introduction of artificial intelligence (AI).

The growing interest in AI integration within procurement stems from the need to enhance operational efficiency and address the increasing complexity of procurement environments. In such environments, predictive analytics, semantic analysis of large documentation sets, and automated risk and supplier behavior assessment tools are becoming critical (Elevate your business). At the same time, it is essential to distinguish between classical rule-based automation systems and more adaptive, self-improving algorithms built on machine learning principles. These latter systems represent the core of contemporary AI solutions.

This dichotomy between rigid procedural automation and context-sensitive AI has both technical and conceptual significance, especially concerning accountability, transparency, and liability in decision-making delegated to machine agents. The relevance of this issue is reinforced by the increasing demand for ethical standards, improved risk management, and institutional trust in digital procurement tools. Therefore, analyzing the scope and potential of AI in e-procurement is not merely a technical challenge but a multidisciplinary issue at the intersection of management science, ethics, law, and information technology.

Literature and Standards Review.

Recent academic publications on AI in e-procurement and related fields highlight both the vast automation potential and multidimensional risks. Asri & Benhlima (2022) categorize AI automation approaches in e-procurement and identify areas most adaptable to ML models. Brintrup et al. (2023) focus on AI-enabled digital monitoring of supply chains as a tool for risk forecasting and transparency. In a broader administrative context, Parycek et al. (2024) examine legal prerequisites and constraints for AI implementation, emphasizing the need for regulatory support. Yanchuk & Sharko (2025) and Zhuk & Yatskyi (2024) explore procurement personalization and e-commerce marketing through ML analytics, noting increased predictive accuracy. The Ukrainian context is presented in Shynkarenko (2025), who discusses AI-driven transformations in e-commerce strategy and public procurement decision-making models. Collectively, these sources provide a foundation for understanding the directions, challenges, and regulatory needs of AI integration in procurement.

Given the complexity of ethical, legal, and operational dimensions of AI use in public procurement, the significance of a regulatory and analytical infrastructure is growing. Key international standards and initiatives include:

ISO 20400:2017 (Sustainable Procurement – Guidance): Establishes the ethical and regulatory framework for sustainable procurement, focusing not only on economic efficiency but also on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) responsibilities. It enables AI tools to assess suppliers using ESG criteria in an automated manner.

ISO 37001:2016 (Anti-bribery Management Systems): Provides an anti-corruption policy framework, supporting the implementation of AI-driven anomaly detection, bias indicators, and conflict of interest alerts in procurement processes, essential for risk-oriented models in public e-procurement systems.

GRI Standards (Global Reporting Initiative): A set of internationally recognized non-financial reporting standards that help assess organizational impact on sustainable development. Integrating GRI metrics into procurement requires cognitive AI models for classification and data filtering to enable personalized analytics.

Open Contracting Data Standard (OCDS): An international initiative promoting open procurement data through standardized formats and metadata structures. Combining OCDS with AI modules enables real-time monitoring, fraud pattern detection, procedure effectiveness assessment, and market participant behavior prediction.

EU AI Act (2021 / 0106): The world's first comprehensive regulatory act governing AI in the EU, including public procurement. It classifies AI systems by risk level (unacceptable, high, limited, minimal) and mandates transparency, auditability, technical robustness, and explainability of AI-driven decisions (Yanchuk & Sharko, 2025).

Collectively, these standards and initiatives establish a comprehensive regulatory and methodological framework for the legitimate, technologically sound, and ethically acceptable integration of AI into the e-procurement ecosystem. The following sections of this article aim to empirically assess the effectiveness and limitations of such solutions in real-world management environments.

Methodology.

This study's methodological foundation combines functional-analytical, regulatory-comparative, and critical-reflective approaches. The core objective is to distinguish between traditional rule-based automation and intelligent, ML-driven modules, and to define their appropriate application domains.

Key functional blocks of e-procurement systems (tender planning, supplier management, risk assessment, contract monitoring, etc.) were analyzed to determine which remain deterministic and which are supported by AI algorithms. This enabled an evaluation of each function's autonomy level, adaptability, and associated risks.

Methods used in the study include:

Analysis of algorithmic principles (rule-based vs ML-based platforms);

Evaluation of AI models such as Random Forest, anomaly detection, and NLP;

Comparison with international standards (EU AI Act, ISO 20400, ISO 37001, GRI);

Case analysis of platforms such as SAP Ariba, Zycus, and Jaggaer.

Results.

To analytically distinguish between automated processes and artificial intelligence elements within e-procurement platforms, a functional-structural approach was applied. The classification is based on the system's level of adaptability to environmental changes and its capacity for self-learning from historical data.

Automated functions (rule-based systems) are components that operate according to clearly defined algorithms with pre-set logic. They do not account for contextual shifts and lack the ability for autonomous optimization. Examples include:

Electronic forms (e-forms): Input of structured data into standardized fields.

Electronic signatures (e-signature): Verification of the legal validity of actions.

Template-based contracts: Automated generation of contracts based on static parameters.

Standard business processes: Routing approvals and deadline control.

These tools improve the speed and accuracy of routine operations but do not influence the quality of analytical or strategic decision-making.

Intelligent modules in e-procurement systems are based on machine learning algorithms, statistical analysis, and big data processing techniques. This enables them to adapt to new conditions, perform forecasting, and learn from dynamic input datasets. Typical examples include:

Predictive analytics for modeling expenditures and identifying potential supply disruptions.

Supplier classification based on behavioral patterns from past interactions.

Anomaly detection algorithms for flagging potential misconduct or collusion in tenders.

Natural Language Processing (NLP) tools for analyzing tender documentation, complaints, and unstructured textual data.

Unlike conventional automated tools, AI systems can discover new patterns independently and enhance decision-making models in procurement planning, supplier evaluation, and corruption or fraud prevention (Asri & Benhlima, 2022).

In e-procurement, AI's core lies in algorithmic structures capable of identifying latent patterns, generating analytical forecasts, and making adaptive decisions under conditions of informational uncertainty. Among such models are:

Decision trees, which hierarchically stratify input data based on relevant attributes, applied in supplier qualification, initial bid screening, and procurement scenario classification.

Ensemble methods, especially Random Forest algorithms, which aggregate multiple weak models into a stable collective system. These reduce overfitting and improve generalization – crucial for forecasting procurement volumes, predicting supply failures, or analyzing price volatility.

NLP techniques are particularly valuable for processing textual data such as tender documentation, contract clauses, and complaints. They enable automated comparison of requirements and proposals, identification of inconsistencies, and evaluation of legal relevance.

Anomaly detection models are additionally employed to identify structurally atypical procurement patterns – including bidder collusion, opaque pricing, or manipulative participation criteria. The integrated application of these algorithms forms the cognitive core of modern e-procurement systems, which can self-learn, adapt to new contexts, and proactively manage risks – a fundamental distinction from traditional rule-based automation (Sangeetha, 2023).

Theoretical models and algorithmic architectures described above are reflected in practical implementations of AI across procurement platforms. These platforms exhibit varying degrees of cognitive maturity, adaptability, and integration with open data standards. For example:

SAP Ariba incorporates machine learning for automated supplier risk management, spend analytics, and predictive forecasting based on large transactional datasets. It also uses NLP modules to interpret requests and contract language.

Open Contracting Data Standard (OCDS), while not a platform per se, plays a fundamental methodological role in standardizing open procurement data. It creates the foundation for AI modules to detect anomalies, transparency violations, and structural deviations in public contracts (Parycek, Schmid, & Novak, 2024).

Table 1 presents a comparative overview of key solutions demonstrating different levels of AI implementation, data openness, adaptability, and cognitive complexity (Bulat, Konstantinov).

Table 1

Here's a clean **comparative table** in text format that you can copy into Word or Google Docs:

Comparative Table of AI Integration in E-Procurement Systems

Platform / Initiative	Level of AI Integration	Core AI Functions	Data Openness / Standard	Key Features
SAP Ariba	High	Predictive analytics, NLP, risk management	Closed API	Commercial system with powerful cognitive capabilities
Open Contracting Data Standard (OCDS)	Not an AI system	–	Open JSON standard	Methodological basis for transparent AI-driven analysis

Platform / Initiative	Level of AI Integration	Core AI Functions	Data Openness / Standard	Key Features
Jaggaer	High	Spend optimization, automated sourcing, NLP	Partially open access	Corporate SaaS platform with modular AI architecture
Zycus	High	ML-based supplier evaluation, smart tender analytics	Closed-source	Platform focused on AI and analytics

Source: developed by the authors.

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in e-procurement systems has become a critical factor in enhancing operational efficiency and decision-making. Platforms such as SAP Ariba, Jaggaer, and Zycus demonstrate a high level of AI adoption, leveraging advanced capabilities like predictive analytics, natural language processing (NLP), and machine learning (ML) for supplier evaluation and risk management. These systems are designed to optimize sourcing, automate processes, and provide cognitive insights that support strategic procurement decisions (SAP, 2024; JAGGAER, 2024). However, their reliance on closed or partially open architectures, such as closed APIs or proprietary frameworks, limits interoperability and data transparency are essential elements for broader ecosystem collaboration.

In contrast, the Open Contracting Data Standard (OCDS) does not function as an AI-driven platform but plays a pivotal role in enabling transparency and accountability in procurement processes. By providing an open JSON standard, OCDS establishes a methodological foundation for integrating AI-driven analytics in a transparent and standardized manner (Open Contracting Partnership, 2024a; Open Contracting Partnership, 2024b). This openness contrasts sharply with the proprietary nature of commercial platforms, highlighting a key tension between innovation and accessibility. As organizations increasingly adopt AI in procurement, balancing advanced cognitive capabilities with open data standards will be essential to ensure both efficiency and fairness in global supply chains.

Discussion.

As the comparative analysis reveals, modern e-procurement systems exhibit heterogeneity in terms of AI integration, data openness, accessibility, and functional flexibility. Commercial solutions, such as SAP Ariba or Zycus, demonstrate high cognitive maturity but are limited in transparency due to their closed data environments. Conversely, open standards like OCDS offer a universal infrastructure for AI implementation while maintaining public accountability.

Thus, the empirical application of AI in procurement ecosystems not only proves the technological feasibility of these approaches but also points toward a paradigm shift – from reactive automation to proactive, adaptive procurement management.

It is important to note that in modern e-procurement systems, the functional architecture increasingly combines traditional rule-based modules with intelligent components based on ML / AI. However, the areas of their application remain fundamentally different in terms of adaptability, cognitive complexity, and operational risk. For example, procedures such as formal validation of tender documentation, verification of compliance with administrative criteria, logistical routing, and trigger-based notifications typically remain within the scope of strictly defined algorithms with fixed rules, ensuring predictability and legal certainty. Meanwhile, functions that require analytical flexibility-such as forecasting market price fluctuations, assessing the likelihood of delivery disruptions, dynamic classification of suppliers using multidimensional criteria, detecting corruption-prone patterns, or semantic interpretation of textual data-are increasingly delegated to machine learning and natural language processing models. These models demonstrate the ability to generalize, adapt, and learn from historical data sets (Saleh, Zeebaree, 2025).

From a practical standpoint, such differentiation allows for optimal resource allocation, appropriate result verification, and defined thresholds for autonomous decision-making. Table 2 presents a typical differentiation of e-procurement system functions based on complexity, cognitive load, and adaptability.

Table 2

Practical differentiation of e-procurement functions: rule-based vs ML / AI

Comparative Approaches in E-Procurement Functions

Functional Category	Rule-based Algorithms (Fixed Rules)	ML / AI Approaches (Adaptive Models)
Tender Application Validation	Checking for required documents; compliance with formal requirements	—
Supplier Evaluation	Fixed checklists; score-based criteria	Clustering based on interaction history, behavioral and market characteristics
Budget Compliance Control	Triggers for limit overruns	Predictive spending models accounting for seasonality, inflation, etc.
Fraud Detection	Identification of predefined violations; duplicate detection	Anomaly detection to identify atypical behavioral patterns
Contract Approval	Static templates and approval workflows	—
Market / Price Analysis	Comparison with fixed price lists	Dynamic trend forecasting; price elasticity modeling
Tender Document Processing (Text)	Keywords; regular expressions	NLP (semantic analysis, automated summarization, extraction of key provisions)
Procurement Planning	Manually developed annual plans	Predictive models based on past consumption and demand shifts

Source: developed by the authors.

Harmonized use of rule-based and ML / AI approaches enables the construction of a hybrid e-procurement ecosystem that combines regulatory certainty with analytical adaptability. This combination ensures not only increased operational efficiency but also a high level of transparency, compliance with integrity standards, and proactive risk management in public and corporate procurement.

In the context of the growing role of intelligent systems in public procurement, attention must be paid not only to the functional differentiation between rule-based and AI-oriented approaches but also to a deeper understanding of the systemic challenges accompanying the integration of artificial intelligence algorithms into e-procurement processes (Zehle). Despite the significant potential for cost optimization, improved forecasting accuracy, and hidden risk detection, large-scale implementation of AI tools faces a range of multidimensional barriers. These should be classified as technical, ethical, legal, and financial-considering both regulatory frameworks and the practical readiness of institutions for transformative change (Table 3).

Table 3

Key barriers to AI implementation in procurement:

Barrier Category	Descriptive Characteristics	Examples / Practical Aspects
Technical	Limitations in infrastructure, data quality, model scalability, and integration with IT systems	- Lack of sufficient structured data - Difficulties integrating with ERP - Unstable APIs
Ethical	Model bias risks, lack of decision transparency, discrimination, and accountability concerns	- Algorithmic bias in supplier selection - Non-transparent tender ranking logic
Legal / Regulatory	Uncertainty regarding AI's legal status, compliance with data protection and accountability rules	- GDPR requirements - Difficulty aligning with EU AI Act - Unclear liability for AI errors
Financial	High costs of development, testing, and maintenance; lack of investment incentives in the public sector	- Costs of hiring data science experts - Low funding for digital innovation in public domains

Source: developed by the author.

The presence of multiple barriers limiting full-scale AI implementation in procurement is not only a matter of technical or organizational complexity but also raises deeper issues related to new types of risks inherent to intelligent systems. While barriers generally describe external or institutional limitations, risks represent internal systemic challenges that emerge post-factum as a result of AI module deployment in real-world decision-making environments.

The risks associated with AI implementation in the procurement sector are systemic, encompassing both technical and ethical dimensions. Among them are:

Algorithmic bias: models may replicate or even amplify existing inequalities in supplier selection due to poor or incomplete training data, resulting in a distorted tender prioritization system.

Lack of decision-making transparency (black-box effect): the complexity of explaining model logic, especially in deep learning, makes it impossible to verify or appeal decisions, contradicting principles of public accountability and transparency.

Loss of control: excessive autonomy of AI modules in strategically important functions (e. g., tender selection or bid disqualification) creates risks of delegating critical decisions without appropriate human oversight, potentially reducing process controllability.

Thus, implementing intelligent systems requires not only technical optimization but also the creation of mechanisms for algorithmic auditing, ethical monitoring, and regulatory support for explainable AI (Brintrup, Kosasih, & Schaffer, et al., 2023).

Overcoming barriers and minimizing risks associated with AI implementation in procurement processes necessitates the integration of multidisciplinary strategies, institutional maturity, and normative-technological harmonization. Given the complexity of these challenges, it is advisable to structure the approaches to solving them according to relevant vectors: technical, ethical, legal, and managerial-organizational.

Technical verification of explainable AI.

Engineering solutions should include explainability modules (XAI – Explainable Artificial Intelligence) that allow for decomposing algorithmic decision-making logic, reducing opacity risks. The development of auditable AI architectures, where algorithmic actions can be reproduced and verified, enhances both accountability and user trust. Moreover, the application of bias-mitigation techniques (e. g., fairness-aware learning) helps reduce algorithmic bias. To address infrastructure and integration issues, organizations should invest in modernizing their IT systems and adopt cloud-based platforms that support scalability. Improving data quality is essential—this can be achieved through robust data governance practices, including data cleaning, standardization, and the use of structured formats. Interoperability can be enhanced by adopting standardized APIs and middleware solutions that facilitate seamless integration with existing enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems (Marr, 2024).

Regulatory alignment and ethical programming.

Legal regulation of AI use in public procurement should be based on principles established in the EU AI Act, particularly regarding the classification of high-risk systems, mandatory model registration, impact assessment, and certification. At the same time, the implementation of ethical codes and algorithmic accountability policies is crucial to ensure non-discriminatory practices and institutional oversight of decision-making (Song, Yang, Huang, & Huang, 2019). Ethical concerns, such as algorithmic bias and a lack of transparency, can be mitigated by using diverse and representative datasets during model training. Regular audits and bias testing should be conducted to ensure fairness. Implementing explainable AI (XAI) techniques helps make decision-making processes more transparent. Additionally, establishing clear accountability frameworks and ethical oversight committees ensures that AI systems operate within socially acceptable boundaries (Edmondson, 2024).

Institutional competence and staff training.

Effective AI integration requires a high level of data literacy among key stakeholders and the creation of interdisciplinary teams that combine technical expertise with procurement and legal knowledge. It is advisable to deploy professional development programs focused on managing algorithmic risks, model validation, and assessing their social impact.

Financial-economic adaptation and phased scaling.

Eliminating financial barriers is possible through the phased implementation of AI solutions via pilot projects with a limited scope, which allows for empirical experience accumulation, model effectiveness verification, and gradual scaling. At the same time, it is important to provide tools for evaluating ROI from digitalization, considering not only operational performance but also levels of transparency, accountability, and trust (Edmondson, 2024).

Coordinated implementation of these approaches will allow for the formation of a resilient, predictively reliable, and ethically balanced environment for AI use in procurement, avoiding both technological fetishism and institutional inertia (Zhuk & Yatskyi, 2024).

Given the complexity of AI implementation in the procurement sector, encompassing technological, ethical, legal, and organizational aspects, it is essential to understand the socio-institutional context in which AI solutions are deployed. The effectiveness and sustainability of such solutions largely depend on the alignment of expectations and authority among various stakeholders-government agencies, private companies, auditors, and the public. Government institutions emphasize transparency and anti-corruption functions, but approach algorithmic accountability with caution; businesses prioritize efficiency and adaptability but face data-related challenges; auditors see analytical potential in AI but caution against decision-making opacity. Thus, stakeholder analysis becomes a key tool in shaping a balanced roadmap for the digital transformation of procurement (Yanchuk & Sharko, 2025).

Conclusions and recommendations.

Summarizing the research findings, it can be asserted that artificial intelligence serves as a powerful catalyst for the transformation of e-procurement, capable of enhancing effectiveness, anti-corruption potential, and flexibility amid increasing market complexity. However, the effective implementation of AI models requires a critical distinction between the application zones of rule-based mechanisms and intelligent approaches, adaptation of organizational processes to the new decision-making paradigm, and the creation of mechanisms for accountability, interpretability, and ethical oversight of algorithmic outcomes.

A key development direction is the formation of interdisciplinary expertise that integrates technological innovations with legal, ethical, and managerial requirements, creating a sustainable ecosystem for responsible AI use in procurement. In the long term, this opens the path toward more flexible and transparent solutions, particularly for small-scale procurement or based on open-source approaches, ensuring both innovation and accountability. Further research should focus on a deeper understanding of stakeholder perceptions of AI solutions and mechanisms for overcoming institutional resistance, which is critical to the successful digital transformation of the public sector (Open & Agile Smart Cities, 2020).

References

1. Vital IT Pros. (2024, October 15). *The power of AI in EMR solutions for medical practices*. https://www.vitalitpros.com/post/unlocking-the-power-of-ai-in-emr-solutions-for-medical-practices

2. Asri, E., & Benhlima, L. (2022). Artificial intelligence-based process automation in e-procurement: A systematic literature review. Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology, 100 (15), 4560–4581.

3. Brintrup, A., Kosasih, E., Schaffer, P., et al. (2023). Digital supply chain surveillance using artificial intelligence: Definitions, opportunities and risks. International Journal of Production Research, 62 (13), 4674–4695. https: // doi. org / 10.1080 / 00207543.2023.2270719

4. Aarland, M. (2024). A resilience framework for managing cyber risks in digital supply chains in the Norwegian power industry. https://doi.org/10.5220/0010803800003120.

5. Parycek, P., Schmid, V., & Novak, A. S. (2024). Artificial intelligence (AI) and automation in administrative procedures: Potentials, limitations, and framework conditions. Journal of the Knowledge Economy, 15, 8390–8415. https: // doi. org / 10.1007 / s13132–023–01433–3

6. Saleh, R. A., & Zeebaree, S. R. M. (2025). Artificial intelligence in e-commerce and digital marketing: A systematic review of opportunities, challenges, and ethical implications. Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science, 18, 395–410. https: // doi. org / 10.9734 / ajrcos / 2025 / v18i3601ff

7. Sangeetha, K. (2023). A study on artificial intelligence in e-commerce industry. International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts, 11 (10), 135–167.

8. Shynkarenko, R. (2025). Transformation of e-commerce strategies under the influence of innovative artificial intelligence solutions in the digital economy. Current issues in economic sciences, (9). https: // doi. org / 10.5281 / zenodo. 15095217

9. Song, X., Yang, S., Huang, Z., & Huang, T. (2019). The application of artificial intelligence in electronic commerce. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1302 (3), Article 032030. https: // doi. org / 10.1088 / 1742–6596 / 1302 / 3 / 032030

10. Yanchuk, T., & Sharko, V. (2025). Artificial Intelligence in E-Commerce: Automation, Personalization, Efficiency. Academic Visions, (41). https: // doi. org / 10.5281 / zenodo. 15148589

11. Zhuk, A., & Yatskyi, O. (2024). The use of artificial intelligence and machine learning in e-commerce marketing. Technology Audit and Production Reserves, 3 (4 (77), 33–38. https: // doi. org / 10.15587 / 2706–5448.2024.305280

12. Gordienko, O., & Bagrationi, K. (2024). Global Integration of Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education Sector: A Bibliometric Analysis. European Conference on Knowledge Management, (), 270-276.

13. Chongwony, L. (2025). The Changing Landscape of Academic Research in the Age of Artificial Intelligence (AI).

14. FUSE (Franklin University Scholarly Exchange) - Doctoral Student Association Conference: The Changing Landscape of Academic Research in the Age of Artificial Intelligence (AI).

https://fuse.franklin.edu/dsa-conf/2024/presentations/14/https://core.ac.uk/download/649527569.pdf

15. Aarland, M. (2024). A resilience framework for managing cyber risks in digital supply chains in the Norwegian power industry. https://doi.org/10.5220/0010803800003120.

16. Megdad, M. M. M., Abueleiwa, M. H. S., Al Qatrawi, M., El-Tantaw, J., Harara, F. E. S., Abu-Nasser, B. S., & Abu-Naser, S. S. (2024). Ethics in AI: Balancing Innovation and Responsibility. https://core.ac.uk/download/621387058.pdf

17. JAGGAER. (2024). *Procurement efficiency: Enhance with JAGGAER AI solutions*. https://www.jaggaer.com/need/ai-in-procurement

18. Open Contracting Partnership. (2024a). *Open Contracting Data Standard*. https://standard.open-contracting.org/latest/en/

19. Open Contracting Partnership. (2024b). *Data Standard*. https://www.open-contracting.org/data-standard/

20. SAP. (2024). *AI in procurement: A complete guide*. https://www.sap.com/resources/ai-in-procurement

21. Open & Agile Smart Cities. (2020, July 2). *Istanbul and Izmir: Turkish front-runner cities join OASC*. https://oascities.org/istanbul-and-izmir-turkish-front-runner-cities-join-oasc/

22. The Role of AI and Analytics in Modern Contact Center Solutions. https://www.businessian.com/the-role-of-ai-and-analytics-in-modern-contact-center-solutions/

23. Elevate Your Business Operations with NetSuite Customization. https://hundredms.com/netsuite-customization-transforming-operations/

24. Bulat, V., & Konstantinov, Y. (2025). Advancements in Optical Fiber Sensors for pH Measurement: Technologies and Applications. Sensors, 25(14), 4275.

25. Zehle, S., & Alves, S. V. (2024). Our Creative Nature: Future Skilling Research in Times of Transition. DIID, Disegno Industriale Industrial Design/DIID. https://doi.org/10.30682/diid8123c

26. Artem, G., Elina, G., & Vadim, G. (2024). Trends in the artificial intelligence market of the Russian Federation. E3S Web of Conferences. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202454908021

27. E3S Web of Conferences. https://www.e3s-conferences.org/articles/e3sconf/ref/2024/79/e3sconf_transsiberia2024_08021/e3sconf_transsiberia2024_08021.html

28. Marr, B. (2024, May 10). *11 barriers to effective AI adoption and how to overcome them*. Forbes. https://www.forbes.com/sites/bernardmarr/2024/05/10/11-barriers-to-effective-ai-adoption-and-how-to-overcome-them/

29. Edmondson, J. (2024, December 7). *Breaking down barriers to AI adoption: Overcoming challenges in implementing artificial intelligence*. BusinessTech Weekly. **https://www.businesstechweekly.com/operational-efficiency/artificial-intelligence/barriers-to-ai-adoption/**.