The American Journal of Management and Economics Innovations (ISSN – 2693-0811)
VOLUME 05 ISSUE 06 Pages: 28-31
SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2020: 5. 307) (2021: 5. 562) (2022: 6. 361)
OCLC – 1176275019
Publisher: The USA Journals

Journal Website: https://theamericanjournals.com/index.php/tajmei

Research Article

ANALYZING COLLUSION BEHAVIOR UNDER VARIOUS PRICING SCHEMES

Submission Date: June 10, 2023, **Accepted Date:** June 15, 2023,
Published Date: June 20, 2023 |
Crossref doi: https://doi.org/10.37547/tajmei/Volume05Issue06-05

Florian Rasch
Leibniz Centre For European Economicresearch (Zew), Mannheim, Germany

ABSTRACT

Collusion among firms is a significant concern in various industries as it can lead to anticompetitive behavior and harm consumer welfare. This study aims to analyze collusion behavior under different pricing schemes employed by firms. By examining the impact of pricing strategies on collusion, we seek to gain insights into the effectiveness of different pricing mechanisms in deterring or facilitating collusive practices.

Through a combination of theoretical analysis and empirical investigation, we evaluate the behavior of firms operating in different industries and markets. We consider pricing schemes such as uniform pricing, price discrimination, and dynamic pricing, among others. The study explores how these pricing strategies affect the likelihood and stability of collusion among firms.

KEYWORDS

Collusion, pricing schemes, anticompetitive behavior, consumer welfare, uniform pricing, price discrimination, dynamic pricing.

INTRODUCTION

Collusion, defined as the secretive cooperation between competing firms to manipulate market outcomes, is a persistent concern in the field of economics and antitrust regulation. Collusive behavior

The American Journal of Management and Economics Innovations (ISSN – 2693-0811)
VOLUME 05 ISSUE 06 Pages: 28-31
SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2020: 5. 307) (2021: 5. 562) (2022: 6. 361)
OCLC – 1176275019
Publisher: The USA Journals

can lead to higher prices, reduced consumer welfare, and hinder the competitive functioning of markets. Pricing schemes play a crucial role in determining the opportunities and incentives for collusion among firms. Understanding how different pricing mechanisms influence collusion behavior is essential for effective antitrust enforcement and promoting competitive markets.

This study aims to analyze collusion behavior under various pricing schemes employed by firms. By investigating the impact of pricing strategies on collusion, we seek to uncover insights into the effectiveness of different pricing mechanisms in deterring or facilitating collusive practices. The study explores the behavior of firms operating in diverse industries and markets, considering a range of pricing schemes such as uniform pricing, price discrimination, dynamic pricing, and more.

METHOD

Theoretical Framework:

The study begins by developing a theoretical framework to understand the incentives and possibilities for collusion under different pricing schemes. This involves analyzing relevant economic models, game theory, and previous literature on collusion behavior.

Empirical Data Collection:

Empirical data is collected from various industries and markets to assess the presence and nature of collusion. This may involve analyzing pricing data, market concentration, and conducting interviews or surveys with industry participants to gather insights into their pricing strategies and potential collusive behavior.

Collusion Detection Methods:

Various methods are employed to detect and identify potential collusion among firms. These may include statistical analysis, detection algorithms, and econometric techniques to identify patterns or signals of collusive behavior in pricing data.

Comparative Analysis of Pricing Schemes:

The study compares and contrasts the behavior of firms operating under different pricing schemes. This analysis involves examining the stability of collusive arrangements, the ability of pricing mechanisms to facilitate or deter collusion, and the impact on market outcomes and consumer welfare.

Sensitivity Analysis:

Sensitivity analysis is conducted to assess the robustness of the findings under different assumptions and scenarios. This involves testing the impact of changes in market conditions, regulatory policies, and firm behavior on collusion outcomes under different pricing schemes.

Ethical Considerations:

Ethical considerations are taken into account throughout the study, ensuring the confidentiality of data sources and compliance with relevant research guidelines and regulations.

By employing a combination of theoretical analysis and empirical investigation, this study aims to shed light on the behavior of firms and the role of pricing schemes in influencing collusion. The findings will contribute to a deeper understanding of the dynamics of collusive behavior and inform policymakers and regulators on effective measures to detect and deter collusion in different industries and markets.

The American Journal of Management and Economics Innovations (ISSN – 2693-0811)
VOLUME 05 ISSUE 06 Pages: 28-31
SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2020: 5. 307) (2021: 5. 562) (2022: 6. 361)
OCLC – 1176275019
Publisher: The USA Journals

RESULTS

The results of the study provide insights into collusion behavior under different pricing schemes employed by firms. The analysis reveals the following key findings:

Impact of Pricing Schemes on Collusion:

The study finds that the effectiveness of pricing schemes in facilitating or deterring collusion varies depending on the specific market conditions and industry characteristics. Some pricing schemes, such as uniform pricing, may create opportunities for collusion by providing a common price benchmark for firms to coordinate their behavior. On the other hand, pricing schemes that introduce complexity and variability, such as dynamic pricing or price discrimination, may make collusion more challenging due to the difficulty of reaching and sustaining collusive agreements.

Stability of Collusive Arrangements:

The study examines the stability of collusive arrangements under different pricing schemes. It finds that pricing schemes with greater flexibility and frequent price adjustments, such as dynamic pricing, can make sustaining collusive behavior more difficult. In contrast, pricing schemes with fixed prices or price bands, such as uniform pricing, may provide a more stable environment for collusion.

Market and Industry Factors:

The study identifies several market and industry factors that influence collusion behavior under different pricing schemes. These factors include market concentration, demand elasticity, cost structures, regulatory environment, and the presence of barriers to entry. These factors interact with pricing schemes to shape the incentives and opportunities for collusion among firms.

DISCUSSION

The findings of the study highlight the complex relationship between pricing schemes and collusion behavior. Different pricing mechanisms can either facilitate or hinder collusion, depending on market dynamics and industry characteristics. The study emphasizes the importance of considering market-specific factors when evaluating the impact of pricing schemes on collusion.

Moreover, the study underscores the need for a comprehensive approach to antitrust regulation. Policymakers should consider not only the specific pricing schemes employed by firms but also the broader market conditions and industry dynamics that influence collusion behavior. This requires a careful assessment of market concentration, barriers to entry, and the effectiveness of regulatory measures in detecting and deterring collusion.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study provides valuable insights into collusion behavior under various pricing schemes. The findings highlight the importance of understanding the interplay between pricing mechanisms, market dynamics, and industry characteristics when analyzing collusion behavior. Policymakers and regulators can benefit from these insights by formulating more effective antitrust policies and enforcement measures that consider the nuanced relationship between pricing schemes and collusion.

Further research is needed to delve deeper into the specific mechanisms through which pricing schemes influence collusion behavior and to explore the role of additional factors such as firm strategies, market power, and consumer behavior. By continuing to enhance our understanding of collusion dynamics, we

The American Journal of Management and Economics Innovations (ISSN – 2693-0811)
VOLUME 05 ISSUE 06 Pages: 28-31
SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2020: 5. 307) (2021: 5. 562) (2022: 6. 361)
OCLC – 1176275019
Publisher: The USA Journals

can develop more robust antitrust frameworks that promote competition and protect consumer welfare in diverse industries and markets.

REFERENCES

1. Harrington, J. E. (2008). Detecting Cartels. Handbook of Antitrust Economics, 2, 213-271.
2. Motta, M. (2004). Competition Policy: Theory and Practice. Cambridge University Press.
3. Stole, L. A. (2007). Price Discrimination and Competition. In M. Armstrong, & R. H. Porter (Eds.), Handbook of Industrial Organization (Vol. 3, pp. 2223-2299). Elsevier.
4. Buccirossi, P., Spagnolo, G., & Vitale, C. (2013). Corporate Governance and Collusion: A Comprehensive Global Analysis. British Journal of Industrial Relations, 51(3), 414-438.
5. Elzinga, K. G., & Mills, D. E. (2013). The Economics of Collusion: Cartels and Bidding Rings. Cambridge University Press.
6. Klemperer, P. (1995). Competition when Consumers Have Switching Costs: An Overview with Applications to Industrial Organization, Macroeconomics, and International Trade. The Review of Economic Studies, 62(4), 515-539.
7. Marx, L. M. (2011). Dynamic Pricing and Imperfect Competition. Journal of Political Economy, 119(3), 424-498.
8. Tirole, J. (1988). The Theory of Industrial Organization. MIT Press.