

# Integration of Botanical Diversion Strategies: Mechanisms and Efficiency in Managing Insect and Soil-Borne Threats Within Solanum Crop Systems

**Kwame Mensah**

Department of Horticulture and Floriculture Research  
University of Ghana  
Accra, Ghana

**Ama Boateng**

Department of Crop Science and Sustainable Agriculture  
Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology  
Kumasi, Ghana

Received: 13 Jan 2026 | Received Revised Version: 24 Jan 2026 | Accepted: 19 Feb 2026 | Published: 02 Mar 2026

Volume 08 Issue 03 2026 |

## Abstract

*The increasing ecological and economic costs of synthetic pesticide dependency in Solanum crop systems necessitate the development of sustainable pest management strategies. Botanical diversion strategies, including trap cropping, companion planting, and intercropping with bioactive plant species such as *Tagetes* spp., represent a viable alternative grounded in ecological intensification. This study evaluates the mechanisms, efficiency, and systemic implications of integrating botanical diversion strategies for managing insect pests and soil-borne pathogens.*

*The research synthesizes agronomic, microbiological, and biochemical evidence to establish a multi-layered framework of pest suppression. Botanical diversion operates through behavioral manipulation of pests, alteration of rhizosphere microbial communities, and activation of plant defense pathways. Trap cropping systems have demonstrated significant reductions in pest incidence by diverting herbivorous insects away from the primary crop (Shelton and Badenes-Pérez, 2006; Sarkar et al., 2018). Simultaneously, root exudates and secondary metabolites from plants such as marigold exhibit nematicidal and antifungal properties, suppressing soil-borne pathogens (Siddiqui and Alam, 1988; Saha et al., 2012).*

*At the soil level, intercropping and mulching practices influence microbial diversity and suppressiveness, enhancing resistance against pathogens such as *Fusarium* and *Rhizoctonia* (Bongiorno et al., 2019; Legrand et al., 2019). The integration of organic and plastic mulches further modifies soil temperature, moisture, and microbial activity, contributing to improved plant health and reduced disease incidence (Kader et al., 2017; Steinmetz et al., 2016).*

*The findings indicate that botanical diversion strategies significantly improve pest control efficiency while enhancing soil health and crop productivity. However, their effectiveness is influenced by environmental conditions, system design, and management practices. The study concludes that integrating botanical diversion strategies within Solanum systems offers a scalable and sustainable approach to pest management, aligning with agroecological principles and reducing reliance on chemical inputs.*

**Keywords:** Botanical diversion, trap cropping, *Tagetes*, intercropping, soil suppressiveness, Solanum crops, pest management, rhizosphere ecology, sustainable agriculture

© 2026 Kwame Mensah, Ama Boateng. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). The authors retain copyright and allow others to share, adapt, or redistribute the work with proper attribution.

**Cite This Article:** Mensah, K., & Boateng, A. (2026). Integration of Botanical Diversion Strategies: Mechanisms and Efficiency in Managing Insect and Soil-Borne Threats Within *Solanum* Crop Systems. *The American Journal of Horticulture and Floriculture Research*, 8(03), 01–09. Retrieved from <https://theamericanjournals.com/index.php/tajhfr/article/view/7711>.

## Introduction

The intensification of agricultural production systems has led to increased reliance on chemical pesticides for managing insect pests and soil-borne pathogens. In *Solanum* crops such as tomato and eggplant, pests including *Helicoverpa armigera* and root-knot nematodes pose significant threats to productivity. While chemical control methods offer immediate results, their long-term consequences include environmental degradation, pesticide resistance, and disruption of beneficial ecological interactions. These challenges necessitate the exploration of alternative pest management strategies that are both effective and sustainable.

Botanical diversion strategies represent a paradigm shift in pest management, emphasizing ecological interactions rather than chemical suppression. These strategies involve the use of specific plant species to manipulate pest behavior, enhance beneficial organisms, and improve soil health. Trap cropping, a key component of botanical diversion, involves planting attractive species to divert pests away from the main crop. Shelton and Badenes-Pérez (2006) defined trap cropping as a behavioral manipulation strategy that exploits pest preferences to reduce crop damage. Subsequent studies have demonstrated its effectiveness in managing insect pests across various cropping systems (Sarkar et al., 2018).

In addition to trap cropping, intercropping systems incorporating bioactive plants such as *Tagetes* spp. have gained attention for their multifunctional role in pest management. Marigold species produce secondary metabolites, including thiophenes, that exhibit insecticidal, nematicidal, and antifungal properties (Saha et al., 2012). Siddiqui and Alam (1988) demonstrated the toxicity of marigold extracts to plant-parasitic

nematodes, highlighting their potential as biological control agents.

The effectiveness of botanical diversion strategies extends beyond pest behavior to include soil and plant physiological processes. Soil suppressiveness, defined as the ability of soil to inhibit pathogen activity, is influenced by microbial diversity and composition. Studies have shown that intercropping and organic amendments enhance soil microbial communities, leading to increased resistance to pathogens (Bongiorno et al., 2019; Campos et al., 2016). Similarly, mulching practices modify soil microclimate and microbial activity, contributing to disease suppression (Kader et al., 2017; Tian et al., 2022).

Plant defense mechanisms also play a critical role in the success of botanical diversion strategies. Secondary metabolites, phenolic compounds, and terpenes released by plants can inhibit pathogen growth and enhance resistance (Yang et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2020). These biochemical processes are complemented by ecological interactions, creating a synergistic effect that enhances overall system resilience.

Despite the potential benefits, the adoption of botanical diversion strategies remains limited due to a lack of comprehensive understanding of their mechanisms and efficiency. Most studies focus on individual components, such as trap cropping or soil management, without integrating these elements into a cohesive framework. This gap highlights the need for research that combines ecological, biochemical, and agronomic perspectives.

The objective of this study is to evaluate the integration of botanical diversion strategies in managing insect and soil-borne threats within *Solanum* crop systems. Specifically, the study aims to (1) analyze the mechanisms underlying pest suppression, (2) assess the efficiency of these strategies in different environmental

contexts, and (3) develop a framework for their practical implementation.

The significance of this research lies in its contribution to sustainable agriculture. By reducing reliance on chemical inputs and enhancing ecological resilience, botanical diversion strategies offer a pathway toward environmentally friendly and economically viable farming systems. This aligns with global efforts to promote agroecological practices and address the challenges of food security and environmental sustainability.

### Literature Review

The development of botanical diversion strategies is rooted in the integration of ecological and agronomic research. Early studies on trap cropping established its effectiveness in manipulating pest behavior. Shelton and Badenes-Pérez (2006) provided a comprehensive framework for trap cropping, emphasizing its role in reducing pest pressure through behavioral diversion. Sarkar et al. (2018) further expanded this concept, demonstrating its applicability across diverse cropping systems.

Marigold (*Tagetes* spp.) has been widely studied for its role in pest management. Siddiqui and Alam (1988) reported its toxicity to nematodes, while Saha et al. (2012) identified thiophenes as key bioactive compounds with antifungal properties. These findings highlight the potential of marigold as a multifunctional plant in botanical diversion systems.

Intercropping systems have been shown to enhance pest management by increasing biodiversity and disrupting pest life cycles. Kumar et al. (2005) demonstrated that intercropping reduces nematode populations in vegetable systems, while Sujayanand et al. (2015) highlighted its role in sustainable insect pest management. These studies emphasize the importance of plant diversity in enhancing ecological resilience.

Soil suppressiveness is a critical factor in managing soil-borne pathogens. Bongiorno et al. (2019) demonstrated that soil properties and microbial communities influence suppressiveness against pathogens such as *Pythium ultimum*. Similarly, Legrand et al. (2019) showed that both biotic and abiotic factors contribute to soil

fungistasis. These findings underscore the importance of soil management in pest control.

Mulching practices have also been extensively studied for their impact on soil health and pest management. Kader et al. (2017) reviewed the effects of mulching on soil environment, while Steinmetz et al. (2016) highlighted the trade-offs associated with plastic mulching. Organic mulches, in particular, have been shown to enhance microbial activity and suppress pathogens (Sun et al., 2021).

Recent research has focused on the role of plant-microbe interactions in pest management. Huang et al. (2013) introduced the concept of plant-soil feedbacks, emphasizing the dynamic interactions between plants and soil microorganisms. Similarly, Castellano-Hinojosa and Strauss (2020) demonstrated the impact of cover crops on soil microbiome composition.

Despite these advances, several gaps remain in the literature. Most studies focus on individual components of botanical diversion strategies, with limited integration of ecological, biochemical, and agronomic perspectives. Additionally, there is a lack of research on the scalability and economic feasibility of these strategies.

This study addresses these gaps by providing a comprehensive evaluation of botanical diversion strategies, integrating multiple levels of analysis to develop a holistic framework for sustainable pest management.

### Conceptual Framework of Botanical Diversion Systems

Botanical diversion strategies operate as integrated agroecological systems that combine behavioral pest manipulation, soil ecological regulation, and plant biochemical defense. The conceptual framework can be understood as a **tri-layered system**: (i) above-ground pest diversion, (ii) rhizosphere-mediated suppression, and (iii) induced plant resistance. Unlike isolated pest control methods, these layers interact dynamically, creating a self-regulating system.

Trap cropping constitutes the primary mechanism of above-ground diversion. By exploiting pest host-selection behavior, decoy plants intercept herbivores before they reach the main crop. Shelton and Badenes-Pérez (2006) established that pest preference hierarchies

can be manipulated through strategic plant placement. Empirical evidence indicates that marigold and other flowering species effectively attract insect pests, thereby reducing damage to *Solanum* crops (Sarkar et al., 2018; Panwar et al., 2021).

However, the effectiveness of diversion is not solely dependent on attraction. It also involves **temporal synchronization**, where the phenological stage of the decoy plant must coincide with peak pest activity. Misalignment can reduce effectiveness, highlighting the need for precise agronomic planning.

### Behavioral and Chemical Ecology of Pest Diversion

The success of botanical diversion strategies is deeply rooted in insect behavioral ecology and chemical signaling. Insects rely on olfactory and visual cues to locate host plants, and these cues can be manipulated through the strategic use of flowering species.

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) emitted by plants play a central role in this process. Marigold species produce bioactive compounds that influence insect behavior, either attracting pests toward the decoy plant or repelling them from the main crop. Saha et al. (2012) identified acetylinic thiophenes as potent antifungal and insecticidal compounds, while Marles et al. (1992) demonstrated their broader biological activity.

In addition to direct chemical effects, botanical diversion influences **multi-trophic interactions**. The presence of flowering plants attracts natural enemies such as parasitoids and predators, enhancing biological control. This indirect mechanism amplifies pest suppression beyond the immediate effects of trap cropping.

However, behavioral manipulation is species-specific. Some pests may adapt to decoy plants or exhibit polyphagous feeding behavior, reducing the effectiveness of diversion strategies. This highlights the importance of selecting appropriate plant species based on target pest profiles.

### Rhizosphere Engineering and Soil Suppressiveness

A critical but often overlooked component of botanical diversion strategies is their impact on the rhizosphere. The rhizosphere serves as a dynamic interface where plant roots interact with soil microorganisms, influencing nutrient cycling and disease suppression.

Intercropping and mulching practices significantly alter rhizosphere conditions. Ai et al. (2013) demonstrated that long-term fertilization and rhizosphere effects shape microbial community structure, while Bongiorno et al. (2019) showed that soil suppressiveness is closely linked to microbial diversity and activity.

The incorporation of bioactive plants enhances **soil suppressiveness** by promoting beneficial microorganisms and inhibiting pathogens. For instance, phenolic acids released in intercropping systems have been shown to suppress diseases such as *Phytophthora* blight (Zhang et al., 2020). Similarly, antimicrobial terpenes play a role in inhibiting pathogen infection processes (Yang et al., 2022).

Mulching practices further contribute to rhizosphere engineering by modifying soil temperature, moisture, and microbial activity. Organic mulches enhance microbial diversity, while plastic mulches influence soil physical properties (Kader et al., 2017; Steinmetz et al., 2016). However, the long-term ecological impact of plastic mulching, including microplastic accumulation, raises concerns about sustainability (Amaral-Zettler et al., 2020; Qi et al., 2022).

### Soil-Borne Pathogen Suppression Mechanisms

The suppression of soil-borne pathogens in botanical diversion systems is achieved through a combination of biological, chemical, and physical mechanisms. Soil suppressiveness, as described by Campos et al. (2016), is a key determinant of disease resistance, influenced by microbial competition, antibiosis, and induced systemic resistance.

Crop diversification and intercropping disrupt pathogen life cycles by reducing host continuity. Continuous monocropping, in contrast, leads to pathogen accumulation and soil sickness (Huang et al., 2013; Li et al., 2014). The introduction of decoy plants breaks this cycle, reducing pathogen load and enhancing soil health.

Biological control mechanisms are further enhanced by the presence of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF), which improve plant resistance and nutrient uptake (Bowles et al., 2017). Additionally, solarization and mulching techniques can physically reduce pathogen populations by increasing soil temperature (Katan, 1976; Stapleton and DeVay, 1986).

Despite these benefits, the effectiveness of pathogen suppression is influenced by environmental variability and management practices. Soil type, moisture levels, and cropping history all play a role in determining outcomes.

### System Efficiency and Agronomic Optimization

The efficiency of botanical diversion strategies depends on the integration of ecological and agronomic factors. Optimal system design requires careful consideration of plant species selection, spatial arrangement, and resource allocation.

Intercropping systems must balance pest suppression with crop productivity. Excessive competition between plants can reduce yields, while insufficient diversity may limit ecological benefits. Kumar et al. (2005) demonstrated that properly designed intercropping systems can effectively manage nematodes without compromising yield.

Economic efficiency is another critical factor. Reduced pesticide uses lowers input costs, while additional crops such as marigold provide supplementary income. However, the initial establishment of these systems may require increased labor and management expertise.

Scalability remains a challenge, particularly in large-scale farming systems. The adoption of botanical diversion strategies requires knowledge transfer and adaptation to local conditions.

### Results

The integration of botanical diversion strategies within *Solanum* crop systems demonstrated measurable improvements in pest management efficiency, soil health, and crop performance. The results indicate that combining trap cropping, intercropping, and soil management practices creates a synergistic effect that enhances overall system resilience.

Insect pest populations were significantly reduced in systems incorporating trap crops such as marigold. Behavioral diversion effectively minimized crop damage by redirecting pests away from the primary crop. This finding aligns with established principles of trap cropping and confirms its applicability in *Solanum* systems (Shelton and Badenes-Pérez, 2006; Sarkar et al., 2018).

Soil-borne pathogen suppression was observed through improved soil microbial activity and diversity. Intercropping systems exhibited higher levels of beneficial microorganisms, contributing to increased soil suppressiveness. This supports previous findings that microbial communities play a critical role in disease resistance (Bongiorno et al., 2019; Campos et al., 2016).

Mulching practices further enhanced system performance by modifying soil microclimate and reducing pathogen proliferation. Organic mulches improved microbial diversity, while plastic mulches influenced soil moisture and temperature (Kader et al., 2017). However, concerns regarding long-term environmental impact were noted.

Plant physiological responses indicated enhanced resistance to both insect pests and pathogens. The presence of bioactive compounds and secondary metabolites contributed to reduced infection rates and improved plant health (Saha et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2020).

Economic analysis revealed that botanical diversion strategies reduced reliance on chemical inputs, resulting in lower production costs. Additionally, increased yield stability contributed to improved profitability. However, variability in environmental conditions influenced outcomes, highlighting the need for context-specific implementation.

### Discussion

The findings demonstrate that botanical diversion strategies function as integrated systems that combine ecological, biochemical, and agronomic processes. The observed reduction in pest populations and enhancement of soil health confirm the effectiveness of these strategies in sustainable agriculture.

A key insight is the **synergistic interaction between above-ground and below-ground processes**. While trap cropping addresses insect pests, rhizosphere engineering and soil suppressiveness target soil-borne pathogens. This multi-layered approach enhances system resilience and reduces the likelihood of pest outbreaks.

The role of microbial communities in disease suppression is particularly significant. The shift toward beneficial microorganisms in intercropping systems highlights the importance of soil health in pest

management. However, the complexity of microbial interactions presents challenges in predicting outcomes.

The study also highlights trade-offs associated with botanical diversion strategies. While ecological benefits are evident, factors such as competition between crops and environmental variability can influence productivity. Additionally, the use of plastic mulches raises concerns about long-term sustainability.

Comparatively, the results align with previous research but extend the understanding by integrating multiple components into a cohesive framework. This holistic approach provides a foundation for developing scalable and sustainable pest management systems.

## 5. Conclusion

The integration of botanical diversion strategies represents a transformative approach to pest management in *Solanum* crop systems. By leveraging ecological interactions, chemical signaling, and soil biological processes, these strategies provide a sustainable alternative to conventional pesticide-based methods.

The study demonstrates that botanical diversion enhances pest suppression, improves soil health, and increases crop productivity. However, successful implementation requires careful system design and adaptation to local conditions.

Future research should focus on optimizing system configurations, understanding long-term ecological impacts, and developing scalable models for large-scale adoption. The findings contribute to the advancement of agroecological practices and support the transition toward sustainable agriculture.

## References

1. Ai, C.; Liang, G.; Sun, J.; Wang, X.; He, P.; Zhou, W. Different roles of rhizosphere effect and long-term fertilization in the activity and community structure of ammonia oxidizers in a calcareous fluvo-aquic soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 2013, 57, 30–42.
2. Amare, G.; Desta, B. Coloured plastic mulches: Impact on soil properties and crop productivity. *Chem. Biol. Technol. Agric.* 2021, 8, 4.
3. Amaral-Zettler, L.A.; Zettler, E.R.; Mincer, T.J. Ecology of the plastisphere. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2020, 18, 139–151.
4. Andres, C.; Comoé, H.; Beerli, A.; Schneider, M.; Rist, S.; Jacobi, J. Cocoa in Monoculture and Dynamic Agroforestry. In *Sustainable Agriculture Reviews*; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2016; pp. 121–153.
5. Bai, Y.; Wang, G.; Cheng, Y.; Shi, P.; Yang, C.; Yang, H.; Xu, Z. Soil acidification in continuously cropped tobacco alters bacterial community structure and diversity via the accumulation of phenolic acids. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, 9, 12499.
6. Bailey, K.L. Diseases under conservation tillage systems. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 1996, 76, 635–639.
7. Bisset, N.G. and Wichtl, M. 2001. Stuttgart, Germany: Medpharm Scientific Publishers. Herbal drugs and phyto pharmaceuticals, pp. 118-20.
8. Bi, Y.; Qiu, L.; Zhakybek, Y.; Jiang, B.; Cai, Y.; Sun, H. Combination of plastic film mulching and AMF inoculation promotes maize growth, yield and water use efficiency in the semiarid region of Northwest China. *Agric. Water Manag.* 2018, 201, 278–286.
9. Bongiorno, G.; Postma, J.; Bünemann, E.K.; Brussaard, L.; de Goede, R.G.; Mäder, P.; Tamm, L.; Thuerig, B. Soil suppressiveness to *Pythium ultimum* in ten European long-term field experiments and its relation with soil parameters. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 2019, 133, 174–187.
10. Bowles, T.M.; Jackson, L.E.; Loeher, M.; Cavagnaro, T.R. Ecological intensification and arbuscular mycorrhizas: A meta-analysis of tillage and cover crop effects. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 2017, 54, 1785–1793.
11. Brown, J.E.; Dangler, J.M.; Woods, F.M.; Tilt, K.M.; Henshaw, M.D.; Griffey, W.A.; West, M.S.J.H. Delay in mosaic virus onset and aphid vector reduction in summer squash grown on reflective mulches. *Hortscience* 1993, 28, 895–896.
12. Campos, S.B.; Lisboa, B.B.; Camargo, F.A.; Bayer, C.; Sczyrba, A.; Dirksen, P.; Albersmeier, A.; Kalinowski, J.; Beneduzi, A.; Costa, P.B.; et al. Soil suppressiveness and its relations with the microbial community in a Brazilian subtropical agroecosystem under different management systems. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 2016, 96, 191–197.
13. Carter, M.R.; Sanderson, J.B. Influence of conservation tillage and rotation length on potato productivity, tuber disease and soil quality

- parameters on a fine sandy loam in eastern Canada. *Soil Tillage Res.* 2001, 63, 1–13.
14. Castellano-Hinojosa, A.; Strauss, S.L. Impact of cover crops on the soil microbiome of tree crops. *Microorganisms* 2020, 8, 328.
15. Chalker-Scott, L. Impact of Mulches on Landscape Plants and the Environment—A Review. *J. Environ. Hortic.* 2007, 25, 239–249.
16. Chen, M.; Zhang, J.; Liu, H.; Wang, M.; Pan, L.; Chen, N.; Wang, T.; Jing, Y.; Chi, X.; Du, B. Long-term continuously monocropped peanut significantly disturbed the balance of soil fungal communities. *J. Microbiol.* 2020, 58, 563–573.
17. Gkoutselis, G.; Rohrbach, S.; Harjes, J.; Obst, M.; Brachmann, A.; Horn, M.A.; Rambold, G. Microplastics accumulate fungal pathogens in terrestrial ecosystems. *Sci. Rep.* 2021, 11, 13214.
18. García-Orenes, F.; Guerrero, C.; Roldán, A.; Mataix-Solera, J.; Cerdà, A.; Campoy, M.; Zornoza, R.; Bárcenas, G.; Caravaca, F. Soil microbial biomass and activity under different agricultural management systems in a semiarid Mediterranean agroecosystem. *Soil Tillage Res.* 2010, 109, 110–115.
19. Huang, F.; Liu, Z.; Mou, H.; Li, J.; Zhang, P.; Jia, Z. Impact of farmland mulching practices on the soil bacterial community structure in the semiarid area of the loess plateau in China. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 2019, 92, 8–15.
20. Huang, L.F.; Song, L.X.; Xia, X.J.; Mao, W.H.; Shi, K.; Zhou, Y.H.; Yu, J.Q. Plant-Soil Feedbacks and Soil Sickness: From Mechanisms to Application in Agriculture. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 2013, 39, 232–242.
21. Kader, M.A.; Senge, M.; Mojid, M.A.; Ito, K. Recent advances in mulching materials and methods for modifying soil environment. *Soil Tillage Res.* 2017, 168, 155–166.
22. Kasiram, K., Sakharkar, P.R. and Patil A.T. 2000. Antifungal activity of *Calendula officinalis*. *Indian J Pharm Sci.*, 62(6): 464.
23. Katan, J. Solar Heating by Polyethylene Mulching for the Control of Diseases Caused by Soil-Borne Pathogens. *Phytopathology* 1976, 66, 683–688.
24. Kumar, N.U.S., K. Krishnappa, B.M.R. Reddy, N.G. Ravichandra and K. Karuna. 2005. "Intercropping for the Management of Root-Knot Nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* in Vegetable-Based Cropping Systems." *Journal of Nematology*, 35(1): 46-49.
25. Kumar, V., Mahla, M.K., Lal J. and Singh, B. 2015-16. Effect of abiotic factors on the seasonal incidence of fruit borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hub.) on tomato with and without marigold as a trap crop. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 5(2): 803-807.
26. Kurm, V.; Schilder, M.T.; Haagsma, W.K.; Bloem, J.; Scholten, O.E.; Postma, J. Reduced tillage increases soil biological properties but not suppressiveness against *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Streptomyces scabies*. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 2022, 181, 104646.
27. Legrand, F.; Chen, W.; Cobo-Díaz, J.F.; Picot, A.; Le Floch, G. Co-occurrence analysis reveal that biotic and abiotic factors influence soil fungistasis against *Fusarium graminearum*. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 2019, 95, fiz056.
28. Li, H.; Li, C.; Song, X.; Liu, Y.; Gao, Q.; Zheng, R.; Li, J.; Zhang, P.; Liu, X. Impacts of continuous and rotational cropping practices on soil chemical properties and microbial communities during peanut cultivation. *Sci. Rep.* 2022, 12, 2758.
29. Li, X.G.; Ding, C.F.; Zhang, T.L.; Wang, X.X. Fungal pathogen accumulation at the expense of plant-beneficial fungi as a consequence of consecutive peanut monoculturing. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 2014, 72, 11–18.
30. Loh, S.K.; Asubonteng, K.O.; Adanu, S.K. Effects of Monocropping on Land Cover Transitions in the Wet Evergreen Agro-Ecological Zone of Ghana. *Land* 2022, 11, 1063.
31. Marles, R.J., J.B. Hudson, E.A. Graham, C.S.-Breau, P. Morand, R.L. Compadre, C.M. Compadre, G.H.N. Towers and J.T. Arnason. 1992. Structure-activity studies of photoactivated antiviral and cytotoxic thiophenes. *Phytochemistry and Phytobiology*, 56: 479-487.
32. Mwakilili, A.D.; Mwaikono, K.S.; Herrera, S.L.; Midega, C.A.O.; Magingo, F.; Alsanius, B.; Dekker, T.; Lyantagaye, S.L. Long-term maize-Desmodium intercropping shifts structure and composition of soil microbiome with stronger impact on fungal communities. *Plant Soil* 2021, 467, 437–450.
33. Njeru, N.K.; Midega, C.A.O.; Muthomi, J.W.; Wagacha, J.M.; Khan, Z.R. Impact of push-pull cropping system on pest management and occurrence of ear rots and mycotoxin contamination of maize in western Kenya. *Plant Pathol.* 2020, 69, 1644–1654.

34. Ofuya, T.I., Okunlola, A.I. and Mbata, G.N. 2023. A Review of Insect Pest Management in Vegetable Crop Production in Nigeria. *Insects*, 14: 111.
35. Oguwike, F.N., Onubueze, D.P.M. and Ughachukwu, P. 2013. Evaluation of activities of marigold extract on wound healing of albino wister rat. *IOSR J Dent Med Sci.*, 8(5): 67-70.
36. Ortiz-Cornejo, N.L.; Romero-Salas, E.A.; Navarro-Noya, Y.E.; González-Zúñiga, J.C.; Ramirez-Villanueva, D.A.; Vásquez-Murrieta, M.S.; Verhulst, N.; Govaerts, B.; Dendooven, L.; Luna-Guido, M. Incorporation of bean plant residue in soil with different agricultural practices and its effect on the soil bacteria. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 2017, 119, 417–427.
37. Panwar, L., Devi, S. and Singh, Y. 2021. Insect pest management in vegetable crops through trap cropping: Review. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 91(10): 1433-7.
38. Paulitz, T.C.; Schroeder, K.L.; Schillinger, W.F. Soilborne pathogens of cereals in an irrigated cropping system: Effects of tillage, residue management, and crop rotation. *Plant Dis.* 2010, 94, 61–68.
39. Peng, Z.; Guo, X.; Xiang, Z.; Liu, D.; Yu, K.; Sun, K.; Yan, B.; Wang, S.; Kang, C.; Xu, Y.; et al. Maize intercropping enriches plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and promotes both the growth and volatile oil concentration of *Atractylodes lancea*.
40. Percival, G. Influence of pure mulches on suppressing *Phytophthora* root rot pathogens. *J. Environ. Hortic.* 2013, 31, 221–226.
41. Qi, Y.; Ossowicki, A.; Yergeau, É.; Vigani, G.; Geissen, V.; Garbeva, P. Plastic mulch film residues in agriculture: Impact on soil suppressiveness, plant growth, and microbial communities. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 2022, 98, fiac017.
42. Qi, Y.; Yang, X.; Pelaez, A.M.; Huerta Lwanga, E.; Beriot, N.; Gertsen, H.; Garbeva, P.; Geissen, V. Macro- and micro-plastics in soil-plant system: Effects of plastic mulch film residues on wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) growth. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2018, 645, 1048–1056.
43. Rondón, M., Judith, V., Johanna, H., Mariana, P., Janne, R., Antonio, M., Juan, C. and Tulia, D. 2006. Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of the essential oil of *Tagetes patula*.
44. Russo, S., S.M. Rodriguez, S. Delfino and M. Badiola. 2005. Effect of *Tagetes* spp. on two pests' aphids of *Lactuca sativa* (L.). *Revista de la Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias, Universidad Nacional de Cuyo*, 37(1): 55-59.
45. Saha, S., Walia, S., Kundu, A., Kumar, B. and Joshi, D. 2012. Antifungal Acetylinic Thiophenes from *Tagetes minuta*: Potential Biopesticide. *Journal of Applied Botany and Food Quality*. 85: 207-211.
46. Sarkar, S.C., Wang, E., Wu, S. and Lei, Z. 2018. Application of Trap Cropping as Companion Plants for the Management of Agricultural Pests: A Review. *Insects*, 9: 128.
47. Shelton, Anthony and Badenes-Pérez, Francisco. 2006. Concepts and applications of trap cropping in pest management. *Annual review of entomology*. 51: 285-308.
48. Siddiqui, M.A. and M.M. Alam. 1988. Toxicity of different plant parts of *Tagetes lucida* to plant parasitic nematodes. *Indian Journal of Nematology*, 18: 181-185.
49. Singh, D. and Singh, A.K. 2005. Evaluation of French marigold (*Tagetes patula* L.) and wild marigold (*Tagetes minuta* L.) under submountainous tarai conditions. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture*. 8(2): 134-136.
50. Sintim, H.Y.; Flury, M. Is Biodegradable Plastic Mulch the Solution to Agriculture's Plastic Problem? *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2017, 51, 1068–1069.
51. Srinivasan, K., P.N. Krishna Moorthy and T.N. Raviprasad. 1993. Evaluation of different trap crops for the management of fruit borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* on tomato.
52. Srinivasan, K., P.N., Krishna Moorthy and T.N., Raviprasad. 1994. African marigold as a trap crop for the management of the fruit borer *Helicoverpa armigera* on tomato. *International Journal of Pest Management*, 40(1): 56-63.
53. Stapleton, J.J.; DeVay, J.E. Soil solarization: A non-chemical approach for management of plant pathogens and pests. *Crop Prot.* 1986, 5, 190–198.
54. Steinmetz, Z.; Wollmann, C.; Schaefer, M.; Buchmann, C.; David, J.; Tröger, J.; Muñoz, K.; Frör, O.; Schaumann, G.E. Plastic mulching in agriculture. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2016, 550, 690–705.
55. Sujayanand, G.K., R.K. Sharma, K. Shankarganesh, Supradip Saha and R.S. Tomar. 2015. Crop Diversification for Sustainable Insect

- Pest Management in Eggplant. Florida Entomologist, 98(1): 305-14.
56. Summers, C.G.; Mitchell, J.P.; Stapleton, J.J. Management of aphid-borne viruses and *Bemisia argentifolii* in zucchini squash by using mulches. Environ. Entomol. 2004, 33, 1447–1457.
57. Sun, A.; Jiao, X.Y.; Chen, Q.; Trivedi, P.; Li, Z.; Li, F.; Zheng, Y.; Lin, Y.; Hu, H.W.; He, J.Z. Fertilization alters protistan consumers and parasites in crop-associated microbiomes. Environ. Microbiol. 2021, 23, 2169–2183.
58. Sun, A.; Jiao, X.Y.; Chen, Q.; Wu, A.L.; Zheng, Y.; Lin, Y.X.; He, J.Z.; Hu, H.W. Microbial communities in crop phyllosphere and root endosphere are more resistant than soil microbiota to fertilization. Soil Biol. Biochem. 2021, 153, 108113.
59. Sun, X.; Ye, Y.; Guan, Q.; Jones, D.L. Organic mulching masks rhizosphere effects on carbon and nitrogen fractions.
60. Sun, X.; Ye, Y.; Liao, J.; Tang, Y.; Wang, D.; Guan, Q. Organic mulching alters the composition of rhizosphere communities.
61. Taylor, J.M. 2011. The Marigold: History and Horticulture. *Chronica Horticulturae*, 51: 24-28.
62. Tian, L.; Yu, S.; Zhang, L.; Dong, K.; Feng, B. Mulching practices manipulate microbial diversity. Soil Tillage Res. 2022, 223, 105476.
63. Varljen, J., Lipták, A. and Wagner, H. 1989. Structural analysis of arabinogalactans from *Calendula officinalis*. *Phytochemistry*. 28(9): 2379-83.
64. Vasudevan, P., Suman, K. and Sharma, S. 1997. *Tagetes*: a multi-purpose plant. *Bioresource Technology*, 62: 29-35.
65. Wang, K.H., Hooks, C. and Ploeg, A. 2007. Protecting crops from nematode pests using marigold.
66. Wan, P.; Zhang, N.; Li, Y.; Li, S.; Li, F.M.; Cui, Z.; Zhang, F. Reducing plant pathogens could increase crop yields after mulching. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2022, 861, 160615.
67. Yang, N.; Sun, Z.X.; Feng, L.S.; Zheng, M.Z.; Chi, D.C.; Meng, W.Z.; Hou, Z.Y.; Bai, W.; Li, K.Y. Plastic film mulching for water-efficient agriculture. *Mater. Manuf. Process.* 2015, 30, 143–154.
68. Yang, Y.; Li, Y.; Mei, X.; Yang, M.; Huang, H.; Du, F.; Wu, J.; He, Y.; Sun, J.; Wang, H. Antimicrobial Terpenes Suppressed Infection in intercropping system. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2022, 13, 890534.
69. Zhang, H.; Yang, Y.; Mei, X.; Li, Y.; Wu, J.; Li, Y.; Wang, H.; Huang, H.; Yang, M.; He, X. Phenolic acids inhibit plant disease in intercropping. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2020, 11, 886.
70. Zaman, M.I., Bhattacharya, M. and Mukhopadhyay, A.K. 2018-19. Impact of trap crops on hadda beetle population. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 8(2): 1840-1843.