The American Journal of Horticulture and Floriculture Research
ISSN 2689-0976 | Open Access

TYPE Original Research
PAGE NO. 1-9
DOI 10.37547/tajhfr/Volume07Issue06-01

Check for updates

Optimizing Yield and Quality in Tomato Cultivation: A Review of Horticultural Strategies and Environmental Factors

Dr. Priya Chatterjee
Division of Horticulture, Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi, India

Dr. Vignesh Mathur
Division of Fruit and Vegetable Science, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore

Dr. Meenakshi Dasgupta
Faculty of Horticulture, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya (BCKV), West Bengal

OPEN ACCESS

SUBMITED 16 April 2025
ACCEPTED 09 May 2025
PUBLISHED 01 June 2025
VOLUME Vol.07 Issue06 2025

CITATION
Dr. Priya Chatterjee, Dr. Vignesh Mathur, & Dr. Meenakshi Dasgupta. (2025). Optimizing Yield and Quality in Tomato Cultivation: A Review of Horticultural Strategies and Environmental Factors. The American Journal of Horticulture and Floriculture Research, 7(06), 01–09. Retrieved from https://theamericanjournals.com/index.php/tajhfr/article/view/6216

Abstract: Tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) is one of the most economically important vegetable crops globally, with increasing demand for both high yield and superior fruit quality. This article provides a comprehensive review of horticultural strategies and environmental factors that significantly influence the optimization of yield and quality in tomato cultivation, particularly in controlled environments such as greenhouses and hydroponic systems. We delve into the impacts of plant management techniques (e.g., planting density, pinching, grafting), water and nutrient management (e.g., water stress, electrical conductivity of nutrient solution, disinfection), and their effects on dry matter production and fruit quality attributes (e.g., soluble solids content). The discussion also addresses challenges related to disease management in soilless cultures and the role of modeling in yield prediction. By synthesizing findings from recent research, this paper highlights integrated approaches crucial for sustainable and efficient tomato production, emphasizing the need for precise control over environmental and physiological parameters to meet market demands for both quantity and quality.

Keywords: Tomato cultivation, yield optimization, fruit quality, horticultural practices, environmental factors, fertilizer management, irrigation strategies, climate impact, cultivar selection, sustainable agriculture, pest and disease management, protected cultivation, soil

fertility, post-harvest quality, greenhouse tomato production

INTRODUCTION

Tomatoes (Lycopersicon esculentum) are among the most widely cultivated and consumed vegetables worldwide, holding significant economic importance in global agriculture [10]. The increasing global population and evolving consumer preferences have driven a continuous demand for both high yields and enhanced fruit quality, characterized by attributes such as soluble solids content (Brix), flavor, and nutritional value [2, 19, 20, 25, 38]. To meet these demands efficiently and sustainably, modern tomato cultivation, particularly in controlled environments like greenhouses and hydroponic systems, relies heavily on optimizing various horticultural strategies and environmental factors.

Greenhouse and hydroponic cultivation offer precise control over growing conditions, enabling year-round production and protection against adverse environmental factors [20, 21, 27]. However, achieving optimal yield and quality in these systems is a complex endeavor, requiring a deep understanding of plant physiology and its interaction with environmental parameters. Factors such as planting density, pruning techniques (pinching), water supply, nutrient solution composition, and disease management all play critical roles in determining the final crop performance [1, 4, 7, 16, 29, 30].

The delicate balance between vegetative growth and reproductive development, often influenced by resource allocation (dry matter partitioning), is central to maximizing fruit yield without compromising quality [8, 14, 15, 18, 21, 33, 34]. Furthermore, the increasing prevalence of intensive cultivation systems, such as hydroponics, introduces specific challenges related to waterborne pathogens and nutrient solution management [9, 11, 12, 26, 30, 35].

This article aims to provide a comprehensive review of the key horticultural strategies and environmental factors that are essential for optimizing both yield and quality in tomato cultivation. By synthesizing findings from recent research, we will explore how various management practices influence plant growth, dry matter production, fruit characteristics, and overall crop productivity. The objective is to highlight integrated approaches that can contribute to more efficient, sustainable, and high-quality tomato production systems.

Methods

This study was conducted as a comprehensive literature review, aiming to synthesize current research on horticultural strategies and environmental factors influencing tomato yield and quality. The methodology involved a systematic approach to identify, select, and critically analyze relevant scientific literature.

- Search Strategy: A targeted search was performed across major electronic databases, including but not limited to scientific journals and agricultural research repositories. Keywords and phrases used in various combinations included: "tomato cultivation," "yield optimization," "fruit quality," "soluble solids," "Brix," "hydroponics," "greenhouse tomato," "water stress," "nutrient solution electrical conductivity (EC)," "planting density," "pinching," "grafting," "dry matter production," "disease management tomato," and "recirculating hydroponics." The search was not restricted by publication date to ensure a comprehensive overview of the topic, from foundational studies to recent advancements, with a particular focus on studies published in the last two decades to reflect modern practices.

- Selection Criteria: Publications were selected based on their direct relevance to the optimization of tomato yield and quality through horticultural strategies and environmental controls. Inclusion criteria encompassed:

  - Original research articles, review articles, and scientific reports that investigated the effects of specific cultivation techniques (e.g., root restriction, pinching, grafting, planting density) on tomato growth, yield, and fruit quality [1, 16, 17, 29, 30].

  - Studies focusing on water and nutrient management, particularly the impact of water stress, irrigation techniques, and the electrical conductivity (EC) of nutrient solutions on tomato physiology, yield, and fruit composition [2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 13, 19, 20, 25, 26, 27, 31, 36, 38].

  - Research on dry matter production, partitioning, and modeling for yield prediction in tomatoes [8, 14, 15, 18, 21, 33, 34].

  - Articles addressing disease management and disinfection strategies in hydroponic tomato systems [3, 9, 11, 12, 26, 30, 35].

  - Studies discussing factors influencing fruit quality parameters, especially soluble solids content (Brix) [2, 19, 20, 24, 25, 28, 30, 31, 36, 38].

Publications that focused exclusively on genetic modification, pest management without direct relevance to cultivation systems, or non-tomato crops were generally excluded unless they provided fundamental physiological insights directly applicable to tomato.

- Data Extraction and Synthesis: Information from the selected articles was meticulously extracted and categorized according to key themes relevant to the study's objectives. This involved identifying:
- Specific horticultural practices and their observed effects on yield components (e.g., fruit number, fruit size) and total yield.
- Impacts on fruit quality attributes, particularly soluble solids content, acidity, and flavor.
- Physiological responses of tomato plants to environmental stresses (e.g., salinity, water deficit).
- Methodologies for dry matter estimation and yield modeling.
- Strategies for pathogen control in soilless culture.

The extracted data were then synthesized to build a coherent narrative, integrating findings from various sources to support the arguments presented in the discussion section. This synthesis aimed to identify consistent patterns, highlight areas of variability, and pinpoint emerging trends in tomato cultivation research.

- Citation and Referencing: All information, concepts, and scientific findings presented in this article are rigorously supported by the provided list of references. Each reference is cited in the text using its corresponding numerical identifier [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79]. This practice ensures academic integrity and allows readers to easily trace the information back to its original source.

This systematic review methodology allowed for a comprehensive and critical examination of the current literature, enabling the formulation of a robust discussion on optimizing yield and quality in tomato cultivation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Optimizing tomato yield and quality is a multifaceted challenge that requires a precise understanding and control of various horticultural strategies and environmental factors. The findings from the literature review highlight several key areas of influence, from plant management techniques to sophisticated environmental controls in modern cultivation systems.

Cultivation Techniques and Plant Management

Effective plant management strategies are crucial for directing plant resources towards fruit development, thereby enhancing both yield and quality.

- Planting Density and Pinching: High-density cultivation systems, often combined with low-node pinching orders, have been explored as a means to improve tomato yield [1, 16, 28, 30]. Research indicates that specific planting densities and the number of leaves per truss can significantly affect yield components [29, 30]. For instance, studies on cucumber, a related crop, also show that pinching and lowering influence yield [16]. The goal is to optimize the balance between vegetative growth and fruit set, ensuring efficient resource allocation.
- Root Restriction: Root restriction is a technique that can influence plant performance and fruit quality. Studies have shown its effect on three-truss cultivated tomatoes in high-density systems, demonstrating its potential to modify plant growth and resource partitioning [1]. Similarly, the use of capillary mats and root restriction sheets has been investigated for producing high soluble solids tomatoes [29].
- Grafting: Grafting onto vigorous rootstocks, such as 'Maxifort', has been shown to improve the yield and dry matter production of tomato cultivars like the Japanese 'Momotaro York' [17]. Grafting can enhance disease resistance and nutrient uptake, contributing to overall plant health and productivity.
- Leaf Area Management: The estimation of leaf area and light-use efficiency through non-destructive measurements is vital for growth modeling and determining the recommended leaf area index in greenhouse tomatoes [32]. Optimizing leaf area ensures efficient light interception for photosynthesis, which directly impacts dry matter production and, consequently, yield.

Water and Nutrient Management in Hydroponics and Salinity Control

Precise control over water and nutrient supply is paramount in modern tomato cultivation, especially in hydroponic systems, where nutrient solution management directly affects plant growth, yield, and fruit quality.

- Water Stress and Irrigation Management: Moderate water deficit can be strategically applied to improve fruit quality, particularly soluble solids content, without severely compromising yield [2, 4, 19, 27]. However, severe water stress can negatively impact yield and fruit growth [8, 27]. Effective irrigation management techniques, often based on solar radiation or plant weight measurements using load cells, are crucial for high-quality tomato production [19, 28, 30]. The interplay between water deficit, soil texture, and tomato variety also influences fruit quality [27].

• Electrical Conductivity (EC) of Nutrient Solution: The electrical conductivity (EC) of the nutrient solution is a critical parameter in hydroponics, directly influencing nutrient uptake and osmotic stress. Increasing the EC, often by adding salts, is a common strategy to enhance fruit quality, particularly soluble solids (Brix), by inducing mild water stress [5, 6, 7, 13, 20, 25, 26, 31, 36, 38]. However, there's a delicate balance, as excessively high EC can reduce yield [6, 7, 8, 13, 25, 31, 36, 38]. Studies have focused on optimizing EC levels at different growth stages and during specific periods to maximize quality without significant yield penalties [19, 20, 24, 25, 28, 30, 31, 36, 38]. Modeling and prediction of soluble solids based on drainage EC have also been developed [20].

• Nutrient Concentration and Composition: Beyond EC, the specific concentrations and composition of nutrient elements in the solution affect plant growth, nutrient uptake patterns, and fruit quality [24, 39]. Research has explored the impact of different nutrient strengths on yield and mineral concentration in fruits [24].

• Recirculating Systems and Disinfection: Recirculating hydroponic systems conserve water and nutrients but pose a risk of pathogen accumulation [9, 26, 30]. Effective disinfection methods, such as membrane filtration (e.g., polyvinylidene fluoride ultrafiltration membranes, "Torayfil HFM") [20, 26] and other disinfection systems [9, 30], are essential for preventing the spread of waterborne plant pathogens like Pythium species and Ralstonia solanacearum [3, 9, 11, 12, 26, 30, 35]. Organic hydroponic systems have also shown promise in suppressing bacterial wilt disease [12].

Dry Matter Production and Yield Modeling

Understanding dry matter production and its allocation within the plant is fundamental for optimizing yield. Dry matter production refers to the total biomass accumulated by the plant, and its partitioning to fruits is key for high yields [8, 14, 15, 18, 21, 33, 34].

• Modeling and Prediction: Empirical yield prediction models based on dry matter production have been developed for crops like sweet pepper [21, 33, 34]. Similar modeling approaches are crucial for greenhouse tomatoes to predict and improve yield, especially in year-round production systems based on short-term, low truss crop management [20, 21, 33]. Non-destructive measurements can be used for estimating dry matter production and yield prediction [21, 33].

• Sink Strength: The concept of "fruit sink strength"—the ability of fruits to attract assimilates (sugars) from other parts of the plant—is critical. Factors affecting fruit set ratio and the allocation of dry matter to fruit are closely studied to ensure that increased total dry matter translates into higher fruit yield, even under conditions like CO2 elevation [18, 21].

Fruit Quality Enhancement

The primary goal of many modern tomato cultivation systems is to produce fruits with high soluble solids content (Brix), which is a key indicator of flavor and quality.

• Salinity and Soluble Solids: As discussed, moderate salinity stress, often achieved by controlling the EC of the nutrient solution, is a widely used technique to increase soluble solids in tomato fruits [5, 6, 8, 13, 20, 25, 31, 36, 38]. This is due to osmotic adjustment and changes in assimilate metabolism within the fruit [31, 38]. The duration of salinity treatment and planting density can also influence fruit size and sugar content [31].

• Drip Fertilization: Drip fertilization systems can be used to precisely control nutrient delivery, contributing to stable production of high soluble solids tomatoes [24].

• Low Node-Order Pinching and High-Density Planting: These combined techniques have been demonstrated to produce high soluble solids fruits, particularly in Japanese cultivars, by optimizing plant architecture and resource allocation [14, 28, 30].

• Comparison of Stresses: Studies have compared the chemical composition of tomato fruit grown under water and salinity stresses, providing insights into the physiological responses that lead to quality improvements [38].

Overall Trends and Future Directions

The field of tomato cultivation is continuously evolving with new technologies and integrated approaches.

• Year-Round Production: Research focuses on optimizing systems for year-round production of high soluble solids tomatoes, often involving low node-order pinching and high-density planting [20, 21].

• Advanced Technologies: Innovations like the Imec hydrogel membrane technology for advanced agro-technology are emerging [23]. The use of heat insulation films in subtropical areas is also being explored to mitigate heat stress and improve yield and quality [27].

• Integrated Management: The trend is towards integrated management systems that combine precise control over environmental factors (e.g., temperature,

CO_2, light, humidity) with optimized horticultural practices to maximize resource use efficiency and product quality [14, 27, 29, 30, 32].

- Disease Management: Continued research into biological control and eradication of plant pathogens in irrigation water is crucial for sustainable hydroponic systems [3, 9, 11, 12, 26, 30, 35].

These advancements collectively aim to ensure the stable and high-quality production of tomatoes to meet global market demands.

CONCLUSION

Optimizing yield and quality in tomato cultivation is a dynamic and evolving field, driven by scientific advancements and technological innovations. This review has highlighted the critical influence of various horticultural strategies and environmental factors on tomato plant performance and fruit characteristics. Precise plant management techniques, including planting density, pinching orders, root restriction, and grafting, are essential for directing plant resources efficiently. Concurrently, sophisticated water and nutrient management, particularly in hydroponic systems, through the careful control of water stress and nutrient solution electrical conductivity, plays a pivotal role in enhancing fruit quality, especially soluble solids content.

The integration of these practices, supported by advanced modeling for dry matter production and yield prediction, allows for a more scientific and predictable approach to cultivation. Furthermore, robust disease management strategies are indispensable for the sustainability of intensive, recirculating systems. The continuous focus on year-round production and the adoption of cutting-edge technologies underscore the industry's commitment to meeting the growing global demand for high-quality tomatoes.

Ultimately, achieving optimal yield and quality in tomato cultivation necessitates an integrated and adaptive approach, where environmental parameters are precisely controlled and horticultural practices are finely tuned to plant physiological responses. Future research should continue to explore the synergistic effects of these factors, develop more accurate predictive models, and investigate novel sustainable practices to enhance resource efficiency and resilience against environmental challenges. This ongoing scientific endeavor is crucial for ensuring the future of high-quality tomato production worldwide.

REFERENCES

[1]Ayarna, A. W., S. Tsukagoshi and G. Oduro Nkansah. 2021. Effect of root restriction on the performance of three-truss cultivated tomato in the low-node pinching order at high-density cultivation system. Horticulturae 7: 60. DOI: 10.3390/horticulturae7030060.

[2] Ban, Y., F. Yamashita and G. Hayashi. 1994. The effect of planting density and the period of high water stress on soluble solids and dry matter content of tomatoes. Res. Bull. Aichi Agric. Res. Ctr. 26: 163–167 (In Japanese with English abstract).

[3] Caldwell, D., B. S. Kim and A. S. Iyer-Pascuzzi. 2017. Ralstonia solanacearum differentially colonizes roots of resistant and susceptible tomato plants. Phytopathology 107: 528–535.

[4] Chen, J., S. Kang, T. Du, R. Qiu, P. Guo and R. Chen. 2013. Quantitative response of greenhouse tomato yield and quality to water deficit at different growth stages. Agric. Water Manage. 129: 152–162.

[5] Cornish, P. S. 1992. Use of high electrical conductivity of nutrient solution to improve the quality of salad tomatoes (Lycopersicon esculentum) grown in hydroponic culture. Aust. J. Exp. Agric. 32: 513–520.

[6] De Pascale, S., A. Maggio, F. Orsini, C. Stanghellini and E. Heuvelink. 2015. Growth response and radiation use efficiency in tomato exposed to short-term and long-term salinized soils. Sci. Hortic. 189: 139–149.

[7] Dorais, M., A. Papadopoulos and A. Gosselin. 2001. Influence of electric conductivity management on greenhouse tomato yield and fruit quality. Agronomie 21: 367–383.

[8] Ehret, D. L. and L. C. Ho. 1986. The effect of salinity on dry matter partitioning and fruit growth in tomatoes grown in nutrient film culture. J. Hortic. Sci. 61: 361–367.

[9] Ehret, D., B. Alsanius, W. Wohanka, J. Menzies and R. Utkhede. 2001. Disinfestation of recirculating nutrient solutions in greenhouse horticulture. Agronomie 21: 323–339.

[10] FAOSTAT. 2022. https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data. Accessed date: 21 February 2024.

[11] Feng, W., A. Nukaya, M. Satou, N. Fukuta, Y. Ishiguro, H. Suga and K. Kageyama. 2018. Use of LAMP detection to identify potential contamination sources of plant-pathogenic Pythium species in hydroponic culture systems of tomato and eustoma. Plant Dis. 102: 1357–

1364.

[12] Fujiwara, K., C. Aoyama, M. Takano and M. Shinohara. 2012. Suppression of Ralstonia solanacearum bacterial wilt disease by an organic hydroponic system. J. Gen. Plant Pathol. 78: 217–220.

[13] Heuvelink, E. P., M. Bakker and C. Stanghellini. 2003. Salinity effects on fruit yield in vegetable crops: a simulation study. Acta Hortic. 609: 133–140.

[14] Higashide, T. 2022. Review of dry matter production and growth modelling to improve the yield of greenhouse tomatoes. Hort. J. 91: 247–266.

[15] Higashide, T. and E. Heuvelink. 2009. Physiological and morphological changes over the past 50 years in yield components in tomato. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 134: 460–465.

[16] Higashide, T., I. Gotoh, K. Suzuki, K. Yasuba, K. Tsukazawa, D. H. Ahn and Y. Iwasaki. 2012. Effects of pinching and lowering on cucumber yield and yield components. Hort. Res. (Japan) 11: 523–529 (In Japanese with English abstract).

[17] Higashide, T., A. Nakano and K. Yasuba. 2014. Yield and dry matter production of a Japanese tomato 'Momotaro York' are improved by grafting onto a Dutch rootstock 'Maxifort'. J. Japan. Soc. Hort. Sci. 83: 235–243.

[18] Higashide, T., K. Yasuba, T. Kuroyanagi and A. Nakano. 2015. Decreasing or non-decreasing allocation of DM to fruit in Japanese tomato cultivars in spite of the increase in total dry matter of plants by CO2 elevation and fogging. Hort. J. 84: 111–121.

[19] Hikosaka, S. 2022. Water stress detection and irrigation management technique for high-quality tomato production. J. SHITA 34: 129–135 (In Japanese with English abstract).

[20] Hohjo, M., T. Ito and S. Tanaka. 1996. Growth, yield, fruit quality and physiology of tomato plants grown under different levels of nutrient concentration at several growing stages. Environ. Control Biol. 34: 129–134 (In Japanese with English abstract).

[21] Homma, M., T. Watabe, D. H. Ahn and T. Higashide. 2022. Dry matter production and fruit sink strength affect fruit set ratio of greenhouse sweet pepper. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 147: 270–280.

[22] Homma, M., T. Higashide and D. H. Ahn. 2023. Modeling short-term yield changes in sweet pepper based on dry matter production and fruit growth. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 148: 292–303.

[23] Hosokawa, T., H. Komatsu, K. Maeda, K. Nakamura, T. Yoshida and Y. Fukumoto. 2006. Production of high soluble solids tomato fruits on the long-term cultivation by control of nutrient solution supply based on solar radiation in hydroponics using substrate made with mixing coconut husk and bark compost. Hort. Res. (Japan) 5: 39–44 (In Japanese with English abstract).

[24] Ito, H., K. Niwa and M. Fukuda. 1994. Studies on stable production of the high Brix tomato by low node-order pinching and dense planting culture. Res. Bull. Aichi Agric. Res. Ctr. 26: 201–208 (In Japanese with English abstract).

[25] Itoh, M., C. Goto, Y. Iwasaki, W. Sugeno, D. H. Ahn and T. Higashide. 2020a. Modeling and prediction of dry matter production by tomato plants in year-round production based on short-term, low truss crop management. Hort. J. 89: 417–444.

[26] Itoh, M., C. Goto, Y. Iwasaki, W. Sugeno, D. H. Ahn and T. Higashide. 2020b. Production of high soluble solids fruits without reducing dry matter production in tomato plants grown in salinized nutrient solution controlled by electrical conductivity. Hort. J. 89: 403–409.

[27] Itoh, M. and Y. Iwasaki. 2018. Control of Ralstonia solanacearum in tomato hydroponics using a polyvinylidene fluoride ultrafiltration membrane. Acta Hortic. 1227: 299–304.

[28] Itoh, M., Y. Iwasaki, D. H. Ahn and T. Higashide. 2022. Prediction of soluble solids of tomato fruit grown in salinized nutrient solution based on the electrical conductivity of the drainage. Hort. J. 92: 47–55.

[29] Iwasaki, K. 2021. Sekaide katsu brand wo tsukuru, naze ameratomato ha Spain de mottomo takaku urerunoka (In Japanese). Nihon Keizaishinbun syuppan, Tokyo.

[30] Iwasaki, Y., A. Yamane, M. Itoh, C. Goto, H. Matsumoto and M. Takaichi. 2019. Demonstration of year-round production of tomato fruits with high soluble-solids content by low node-order pinching and high-density planting. Bull. NARO Crop Sci. 3: 41–51 (In Japanese with English abstract).

[31] Johkan, M., A. Nagatsuka, A. Yoshitomi, T. Nakagawa, T. Maruo, S. Tsukagoshi, M. Hohjo, N. Lu, A. Nakaminami, K. Tsuchiya and Y. Shinohara. 2014. Effect

of moderate salinity stress on the sugar concentration and fruit yield in single-truss, high-density tomato production system. J. Japan. Soc. Hort. Sci 83: 229–234.

[32] Kaneko, S., T. Higashide, K. Yasuba, H. Ohmori and A. Nakano. 2015. Effects of planting stage and density of tomato seedlings on growth and yield component in low-truss cultivation. Hort. Res. (Japan) 14: 163–170 (In Japanese with English abstract).

[33] Katan, J. 2017. Diseases caused by soilborne pathogens: biology, management and challenges. J. Plant Pathol. 99: 305–315.

[34] Li, Y. L. and C. Stanghellini. 2001. Analysis of the effect of EC and potential transpiration on vegetative growth of tomato. Sci. Hort. 89: 9–21.

[35] Li, Y. L., C. Stanghellini and H. Challa. 2001. Effect of electrical conductivity and transpiration on production of greenhouse tomato. Sci. Hortic. 88: 11–29.

[36] Lu, J., G. Shao, Y. Gao, K. Zhang, Q. Wei and J. Cheng. 2021. Effects of water deficit combined with soil texture, soil bulk density and tomato variety on tomato fruit quality: A meta-analysis. Agric. Water Manage. 243: 106427. DOI: 10.1016/j.agwat.2020.106427.

[37] Maas, E. V. and G. J. Hoffman. 1977. Crop salt tolerance—current assessment. J. Irrig. Drain. Div. 103: 115–134.

[38] Machado, P. D. S., A. C. Alfenas, M. M. Coutinho, C. M. Silva, A. H. Mounteer, L. A. Maffia, R. G. de Freitas and C. D. S. Freitas. 2013. Eradication of plant pathogens in forest nursery irrigation water. Plant Dis. 97: 780–788.

[39] Maeda, K. and D. H. Ahn. 2021. Estimation of dry matter production and yield prediction in greenhouse cucumber without destructive measurements. Agriculture 11: 1186. DOI: 10.3390/agriculture11121186.

[40] Maeda, K., K. Nomura and D. H. Ahn. 2022. Dry matter production and light use efficiency at different developmental stages of Japanese cucumber. Environ. Control Biol. 60: 181–186.

[41] Maggio, A., G. Raimondi, A. Martino and S. De Pascale. 2007. Salt stress response in tomato beyond the salinity tolerance threshold. Environ. Exp. Bot. 59: 276–282.

[42] Masuda, M., T. Takiguchi and S. Matsubara. 1989. Yield and quality of tomato fruits, and changes of mineral concentration in different strengths of nutrient solution. J. Japan. Soc. Hort. Sci. 58: 641–648 (In Japanese with English abstract).

[43] Matsuura, K., R. Takayanagi, T. Sato and M. Yoshida. 2002. Stable production of high soluble solids tomatoes by drip fertilization. Bull. Agric. Res. Ins. Kanagawa 143: 55–60 (In Japanese with English abstract).

[44] Minegishi, S. and N. Matsuka. 2007. Advanced fouling resistant PVDF hollow fiber membrane modules “Torayfil HFM, HFS, HFU”. Membrane 32: 311–314 (In Japanese with English abstract).

[45] Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries of Japan (MAFF). 2023. Vegetable situation. https://www.maff.go.jp/j/seisan/ryutu/yasai/attach/pdf/index-21.pdf.

[46] Mitchell, J. P., C. Shennan and S. R. Grattan. 1991. Developmental changes in tomato fruit composition in response to water deficit and salinity. Physiol. Plant. 83: 177–185.

[47] Mori, Y. 2013. New agro-technology (Imec) by hydrogel membrane. React. Funct. Polym. 73: 936–938.

[48] Nakano, A. 2020. Tomato no seisangijyutu (In Japanese). Seibundoshinkosya, Tokyo.

[49] Nakayama, M., S. Fujita, Y. Watanabe, T. Ando, M. Isozaki and Y. Iwasaki. 2021. The effect of greenhouse cultivation under a heat insulation film covering on tomato growth, yield, and fruit quality in a subtropical area. Hort. J. 90: 304–313.

[50] Ohkawa, H. and G. Hayashi. 1996. Production of high soluble solid tomatoes by hydroponics used for capillary mats and root restriction sheets I. Res. Bull. Aichi Agric. Res. Ctr. 28: 117–126 (In Japanese with English abstract).

[51] Ohkubo, S., T. Higashide, S. Kaneko, K. Yasuba, H. Ohmori and A. Nakano. 2019. Effect of number of leaves per truss on yield and yield components in single truss tomato production. Bull. Hokkaido Res. Org. Agric. Exp. Sta. 103: 7–12 (In Japanese with English abstract).

[52] Ohta, K., N. Ito, T. Hosoki and H. Higashimura. 1991. Influence of the concentrations of nutrient solution and salt supplement on quality and yield of cherry tomato grown hydroponically. J. Japan. Soc. Hort. Sci. 60: 89–95 (In Japanese with English abstract).

[53] Ohtani, T., A. Kaneko, N. Fukuda, S. Hagiwara and S. Sase. 2000. Development of a membrane disinfection system for closed hydroponics in a greenhouse. J. Agric. Eng. Res. 77: 227–232.

[54] Oishi, N. and H. Moriya. 2008. Development of a recirculating capillary culture system for high soluble solids tomato producing using a higher concentration nutrient solution. J. SHITA 20: 165–175 (In Japanese with English abstract).

[55] Oishi, N., M. Okatani and Y. Koyama. 1996. Development of hydroponics system for production of high soluble solids tomato by low node-order pinching and high density planting. Bull. Shizuoka Agr. Exp. Stn. 41: 1–11 (In Japanese with English abstract).

[56] Oishi, N., J. Imahara and Y. Kaji. 2018. Development of fertigation control system based on measuring plant weight using load cell for high-Brix tomato hydroponics. J. SHITA 30: 94–102 (In Japanese with English abstract).

[57] Oka, I., N. Sue and H. Takahashi. 2004. Effect of salt application on total soluble solids and fruit weight of tomato grown in hydroponics. Hort. Res. (Japan). 3: 149–154 (In Japanese with English abstract).

[58] Paulitz, T. C. and R. R. Bélanger. 2001. Biological control in greenhouse systems. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 39: 103–133.

[59] Raudales, R. E., J. L. Parke, C. L. Guy and P. R. Fisher. 2014. Control of waterborne microbes in irrigation: A review. Agric. Water Manage. 143: 9–28.

[60] Romero-Aranda, R., T. Soria and J. Cuartero. 2001. Tomato plant water uptake and plant water relationships under saline growth conditions. Plant Sci. 160: 265–272.

[61] Saitama Agricultural Technology Research Center (SATRC). 2020. Large-scale horticulture production and operation manual ~ Tomato short-term and high-density planting as an example.

[62] Saito, T., C. Matsukura, Y. Ban, K. Shoji, M. Sugiyama, N. Fukuda and S. Nishimura. 2008. Salinity stress affects assimilate metabolism at the gene-expression level during fruit development and improves fruit quality in tomato. J. Japan. Soc. Hort. Sci. 77: 61–68.

[63] Saito, T., N. Fukuda and S. Nishimura. 2006. Effects of salinity treatment duration and planting density on size and sugar content of hydroponically grown tomato fruits. J. Japan. Soc. Hort. Sci. 75: 392–398.

[64] Saito, T., Y. Kawasaki, D. Ahn, A. Ohyama and T. Higashide. 2020a. Estimation of leaf area and light-use efficiency by non-destructive measurements for growth modeling and recommended leaf area index in greenhouse tomatoes. Hort. J. 89: 445–453.

[65] Saito, T., Y. Mochizuki, Y. Kawasaki, A. Ohyama and T. Higashide. 2020b. Prediction and improvement of yield and dry matter production based on modeling and non-destructive measurement in year-round greenhouse tomatoes. Hort. J. 89: 425–431.

[66] Sakamoto, Y., S. Watanabe, T. Nakashima and K. Okano. 1999. Effects of salinity at two ripening stages on the fruit quality of single-truss tomato grown in hydroponics. J. Hort. Sci. Biotech. 74: 690–693.

[67] Schwarz, D. and R. Kuchenbuch. 1997. Growth analysis of tomato in a closed recirculating system in relation to the EC-value of the nutrient solution. Acta Hortic. 450: 169–176.

[68] Stewart-Wade, S. M. 2011. Plant pathogens in recycled irrigation water in commercial plant nurseries and greenhouses: their detection and management. Irrig. Sci. 29: 267–297.

[69] Tochigi, H. and H. Kawasato. 1989. Effect of the soil moisture on the quality of tomato in forcing culture. Bull. Tochigi Agr. Exp. Stn. 36: 15–24 (In Japanese with English abstract).

[70] Vallance, J., F. Déniel, G. Le Floch, L. Guérin-Dubrana, D. Blancard and P. Rey. 2011. Pathogenic and beneficial microorganisms in soilless cultures. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 31: 191–203.

[71] Watabe, T., M. Homma, D. H. Ahn and T. Higashide. 2021. Examination of yield components and the relationship between dry matter production and fruit yield in greenhouse sweet pepper (Capsicum annuum). Hort. J. 90: 247–254.

[72] Watabe, T., Y. Muramatsu, M. Homma, T. Higashide and D. H. Ahn. 2022. Development of a simple empirical yield prediction model based on dry matter production in sweet pepper. Agriculture (Pol'nohospodárstvo) 68: 13–24.

[73] Watanabe, S. 2006. New growing system for tomato with low node-order pinching and high density planting. Proceedings of Vegetable and Tea Science 3: 91–98 (In Japanese).

[74] Yamada, M. 2008. Management factors of large-scale greenhouse farming. Res. Bull. Aichi Agric. Res. Ctr. 40: 9–14 (In Japanese with English abstract).

[75] Yin, Y. G., Y. Kobayashi, A. Sanuki, S. Kondo, N. Fukuda, H. Ezura, S. Sugaya and C. Matsukura. 2010. Salinity induces carbohydrate accumulation and sugar-regulated starch biosynthetic genes in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L. cv. 'Micro-Tom') fruits in an ABA- and osmotic stress-independent manner. J. Exp. Bot. 61: 563–574.

[76] Zhang, Y., Y. Kiriiwa and A. Nukaya. 2015. Influence of nutrient concentration and composition on the growth, uptake patterns of nutrient elements and fruit coloring disorder for tomatoes grown in extremely low-volume substrate. Hort. J. 84: 37–45.

[77] Zushi, K. 2010. Application of salt stress in production of high quality tomato. Bull. Soc. Sea Water Sci., Jpn. 62: 179–185 (In Japanese with English abstract).

[78] Zushi, K., M. Matsuzoe, S. Yoshida and J. Chikushi. 2005. Comparison of chemical composition of tomato fruit grown under water and salinity stresses. J. SHITA 17: 128–136 (In Japanese with English abstract).