



OPEN ACCESS

SUBMITTED 01 November 2025

ACCEPTED 15 November 2025

PUBLISHED 30 November 2025

VOLUME Vol.07 Issue 11 2025

CITATION

Peter A. Montgomery. (2025). Modernizing Enterprise Web Platforms: An Evolutionary Analysis of ASP.NET to ASP.NET Core Transition Strategies. The American Journal of Engineering and Technology, 7(11), 227–234. Retrieved from <https://theamericanjournals.com/index.php/tajet/article/view/7383>

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 License.

Modernizing Enterprise Web Platforms: An Evolutionary Analysis of ASP.NET to ASP.NET Core Transition Strategies

Peter A. Montgomery

University of Melbourne, Australia

Abstract: The sustained reliance on legacy web application frameworks represents one of the most persistent structural challenges confronting contemporary software-intensive organizations. Among these frameworks, ASP.NET has played a foundational role in enterprise application development for more than two decades, enabling large-scale, mission-critical systems across public and private sectors. However, the accelerating demands for cloud-native deployment, cross-platform operability, scalability, and continuous delivery have progressively exposed the architectural limitations inherent in traditional ASP.NET implementations. This research article presents an extensive and theoretically grounded examination of the evolutionary transition from ASP.NET to ASP.NET Core as a paradigmatic instance of legacy system modernization within broader software evolution discourse. Anchored in established theories of software evolution and modernization, the study integrates insights from service-oriented architecture migration, microservices decomposition, agile transformation, risk management, and organizational change literature to construct a holistic analytical framework for understanding ASP.NET Core adoption trajectories. Central to this investigation is the recognition that ASP.NET Core is not merely a technological upgrade but a profound reconfiguration of development philosophy, tooling ecosystems, deployment strategies, and organizational competencies. Drawing extensively on contemporary scholarly work, including the detailed evolutionary analysis of ASP.NET technologies articulated by Valiveti (2025), this article situates ASP.NET Core within a lineage of adaptive responses to

environmental pressures, technological discontinuities, and shifting stakeholder expectations. The research adopts a qualitative, interpretive methodology grounded in comparative literature synthesis and conceptual analysis, enabling a deep exploration of approaches.

Keywords: Legacy system modernization, ASP.NET Core migration, software evolution, web application architecture, enterprise systems, cloud-native development.

Introduction

Over Legacy software systems constitute a paradoxical cornerstone of modern digital infrastructure, simultaneously embodying organizational stability and technological inertia. Within the domain of web application development, ASP.NET has historically occupied a dominant position, particularly in enterprise environments requiring robustness, security, and integration with Microsoft-centric ecosystems. Developed during an era when monolithic architectures and tightly coupled server environments were normative, ASP.NET enabled organizations to construct durable systems that continue to underpin critical operations decades after their initial deployment (Yeh & Austin, 1986). Yet, as software environments have evolved toward distributed, cloud-based, and platform-agnostic paradigms, the structural assumptions embedded within traditional ASP.NET architectures have increasingly constrained adaptability and innovation (Harrison & Jackson, 2022).

The theoretical foundations of software evolution posit that systems must continually adapt to remain viable within changing technological and organizational contexts. Early conceptualizations of software evolution emphasized the inevitability of change and the co-evolution of software with its environment, highlighting the risks of architectural rigidity and unmanaged complexity (Zaidman et al., 2010). These foundational insights remain highly relevant in the context of ASP.NET modernization, where accumulated technical debt, obsolete dependencies, and architectural entanglements impede responsiveness to contemporary demands such as elastic scalability and continuous deployment (Johnson & Davis, 2020). Consequently, modernization initiatives have emerged as strategic imperatives rather than optional enhancements, reframing legacy systems as candidates for evolutionary transformation rather than wholesale

replacement (Almonaies et al., 2010).

ASP.NET Core represents a significant inflection point within this evolutionary trajectory. Unlike incremental updates to the traditional ASP.NET framework, ASP.NET Core was conceived as a cross-platform, modular, and open-source reimagining of the web application stack, explicitly designed to address limitations related to performance, deployment flexibility, and cloud readiness (Valiveti, 2025). This architectural reorientation aligns closely with broader shifts toward microservices, containerization, and DevOps practices, positioning ASP.NET Core as both a technological and methodological departure from its predecessor. The transition thus embodies a broader pattern of legacy system evolution in which technological change necessitates corresponding transformations in development processes, organizational structures, and skill sets (Fisher & Gill, 2020).

Despite the growing body of practitioner-oriented guidance on ASP.NET Core migration, there remains a relative paucity of deeply theorized academic analyses that situate this transition within established software evolution frameworks. Existing studies on legacy system modernization often address migration at an abstract level, focusing on general principles of service orientation, cloud adoption, or microservices decomposition without sustained attention to specific technological ecosystems (Wolfart et al., 2021). Conversely, technology-specific discussions frequently emphasize tooling and implementation tactics while under-theorizing the broader evolutionary implications. This disconnect underscores a critical literature gap: the need for integrative scholarship that bridges granular technological analysis with macro-level evolutionary theory (Harris & Turner, 2020).

The present article seeks to address this gap by offering a comprehensive, publication-ready research analysis of ASP.NET to ASP.NET Core migration as an exemplar of contemporary legacy system evolution. Drawing on a diverse corpus of scholarly references, the study contextualizes ASP.NET Core within historical software evolution paradigms, critically examines migration strategies and tools, and interrogates the organizational and performance outcomes associated with modernization initiatives. The analysis is informed by the recognition that legacy systems are socio-technical constructs whose evolution implicates not only codebases but also human expertise, institutional

routines, and strategic priorities (Blackwell & Freeman, 2021).

In articulating the problem space, it is essential to acknowledge the persistent tension between stability and change that characterizes legacy system management. Organizations often hesitate to modernize mission-critical ASP.NET applications due to perceived risks, cost uncertainties, and the potential for operational disruption (Jha, 2014). Predictive risk management frameworks have sought to mitigate these concerns by providing structured approaches to migration planning and execution, yet their effectiveness is contingent upon accurate system understanding and stakeholder alignment (Johnson & Davis, 2020). ASP.NET Core migration intensifies these challenges by introducing fundamentally different runtime behaviors, dependency management models, and deployment pipelines, thereby amplifying both perceived and actual risks (Evans & Matthews, 2021).

At the same time, the opportunities afforded by ASP.NET Core are substantial. Empirical and conceptual studies suggest that modernization can yield significant improvements in organizational efficiency, system scalability, and long-term profitability when executed within a coherent strategic framework (Blackwell & Freeman, 2021). From a theoretical perspective, such outcomes reinforce the argument that software evolution is not merely reactive but can be strategically orchestrated to enhance competitive advantage (Yeh & Austin, 1986). The migration to ASP.NET Core thus serves as a fertile case through which to examine how legacy systems can be re-envisioned as platforms for innovation rather than obstacles to progress (Valiveti, 2025).

This introduction establishes the conceptual and scholarly context for the analysis that follows. It underscores the relevance of ASP.NET Core migration within ongoing debates on software evolution, legacy modernization, and digital transformation, while delineating the specific contribution of this study to existing literature. By integrating theoretical depth with detailed examination of migration strategies and implications, the article aims to advance both academic understanding and practical insight into one of the most consequential evolutionary transitions in contemporary web application development (Harrison & Jackson, 2022).

Methodology

The methodological approach adopted in this study is rooted in qualitative, interpretive research traditions commonly employed within software engineering and information systems scholarship to examine complex socio-technical phenomena. Given the absence of primary empirical data collection, the research relies on an extensive, critical synthesis of peer-reviewed academic literature addressing legacy system evolution, modernization strategies, and ASP.NET Core migration dynamics. This approach aligns with established methodological practices for theory-building and conceptual integration in software evolution research, where the objective is not statistical generalization but analytical depth and theoretical coherence (Zaidman et al., 2010).

The first methodological pillar of the study involves systematic literature identification and selection. References were drawn exclusively from the provided corpus, encompassing seminal theoretical works on software evolution, contemporary analyses of legacy system migration, and specialized studies addressing ASP.NET technologies and modernization frameworks. The inclusion criteria prioritized scholarly relevance, conceptual rigor, and direct applicability to the research focus on ASP.NET to ASP.NET Core migration. By constraining the dataset to this curated reference set, the study ensures conceptual consistency while enabling deep engagement with each source (Harris & Turner, 2020).

Following identification, the literature was subjected to thematic coding and comparative analysis. Key themes such as architectural transformation, migration strategy typologies, risk management, performance and scalability outcomes, and organizational impacts were iteratively extracted and refined. This thematic structure facilitated cross-referencing between general legacy modernization theories and ASP.NET-specific insights, enabling the identification of convergences, divergences, and gaps within the literature (Almonaies et al., 2010). The iterative nature of this process reflects qualitative research best practices, wherein analytical categories evolve through sustained engagement with the data rather than being imposed a priori (Jha, 2014).

A central methodological consideration involved situating ASP.NET Core migration within longitudinal software evolution frameworks. Rather than treating modernization as a discrete project, the analysis adopts a process-oriented lens that emphasizes continuity,

adaptation, and co-evolution with organizational contexts. This orientation draws explicitly on classical software evolution paradigms, which conceptualize systems as living entities shaped by ongoing interactions between technical artifacts and their environments (Yeh & Austin, 1986). Such a lens is particularly salient for ASP.NET Core, whose design philosophy reflects an explicit break from monolithic, platform-bound architectures toward modular, cloud-aligned ecosystems (Valiveti, 2025).

The methodology also incorporates critical evaluation of migration strategies documented in the literature, including incremental refactoring, parallel system development, and service extraction approaches. These strategies are assessed not only in terms of technical feasibility but also with respect to organizational readiness, risk exposure, and long-term sustainability (Wolfart et al., 2021). By triangulating perspectives from agile adoption studies, predictive risk management research, and performance scalability analyses, the methodology enables a nuanced appraisal of the trade-offs inherent in different migration pathways (Fisher & Gill, 2020).

Methodological limitations are acknowledged as an integral component of scholarly rigor. The exclusive reliance on secondary literature precludes direct observation of migration outcomes in specific organizational contexts, potentially limiting the granularity of insights regarding implementation challenges and stakeholder dynamics. Furthermore, the focus on ASP.NET technologies, while analytically valuable, may constrain the generalizability of findings to other web frameworks or programming ecosystems (Evans & Matthews, 2021). Nevertheless, the depth of theoretical integration achieved through this methodology offers substantial value for advancing conceptual understanding and informing future empirical research.

By articulating a transparent and theoretically grounded methodological framework, this study seeks to ensure analytical credibility and reproducibility. The chosen approach is particularly well-suited to the research objective of producing an extensive, publication-ready analysis that synthesizes diverse strands of software evolution scholarship into a coherent narrative centered on ASP.NET Core migration (Valiveti, 2025).

Results

The results of this qualitative synthesis are presented as

an interpretive articulation of key findings emerging from the analyzed literature. Rather than enumerating discrete empirical metrics, the results emphasize patterns, relationships, and conceptual insights that collectively illuminate the dynamics of ASP.NET to ASP.NET Core migration within legacy system evolution contexts. Across the literature, a consistent finding is the recognition of ASP.NET Core as a structural and philosophical departure from traditional ASP.NET, with profound implications for system architecture, development practices, and organizational alignment (Valiveti, 2025).

One prominent result concerns the architectural transformation enabled by ASP.NET Core. The literature consistently underscores the shift from monolithic, tightly coupled designs toward modular, middleware-centric architectures that facilitate composability and service orientation. This transition aligns closely with established modernization frameworks advocating for incremental decomposition of legacy systems into loosely coupled components (Almonaies et al., 2010). ASP.NET Core's lightweight runtime and dependency injection mechanisms are repeatedly identified as enablers of this transformation, supporting both microservices adoption and cloud-native deployment models (Wolfart et al., 2021).

Another significant finding relates to performance and scalability outcomes. Studies focusing on cloud migration and performance optimization report that ASP.NET Core applications exhibit improved throughput, reduced latency, and more efficient resource utilization compared to their legacy counterparts, particularly in containerized environments (Evans & Matthews, 2021). These performance gains are attributed not only to runtime optimizations but also to architectural simplification and the elimination of legacy dependencies. However, the literature cautions that such benefits are contingent upon thoughtful migration planning and may be offset by transitional inefficiencies if modernization is poorly executed (Johnson & Davis, 2020).

Organizational impacts constitute a further salient result. Modernization initiatives involving ASP.NET Core are frequently associated with enhanced development agility, improved deployment frequency, and stronger alignment between development and operations teams. Agile adoption studies highlight the synergistic relationship between ASP.NET Core's tooling ecosystem

and iterative development practices, suggesting that technological modernization can catalyze broader process transformation (Fisher & Gill, 2020). At the same time, the literature documents challenges related to skill acquisition, cultural resistance, and the cognitive burden imposed by new architectural paradigms (Blackwell & Freeman, 2021).

Risk management emerges as a cross-cutting theme in the results. Predictive risk frameworks emphasize the importance of early system assessment, dependency analysis, and stakeholder engagement to mitigate migration-related uncertainties. ASP.NET Core migration is characterized as a high-impact, medium-to-high-risk endeavor, particularly for large, mission-critical systems with extensive legacy integration points (Johnson & Davis, 2020). Nonetheless, the literature suggests that structured, phased approaches can substantially reduce risk exposure while preserving operational continuity (Harris & Turner, 2020).

Collectively, these results reinforce the conceptualization of ASP.NET Core migration as an evolutionary process embedded within broader socio-technical systems. The findings provide a foundation for the subsequent discussion, which interrogates these patterns through deeper theoretical analysis and comparative scholarly debate (Yeh & Austin, 1986).

Discussion

The interpretive findings articulated in the preceding section invite a deeper theoretical interrogation of ASP.NET to ASP.NET Core migration as a manifestation of long-term software evolution rather than a narrowly scoped technological upgrade. Within classical and contemporary software engineering scholarship, evolution is framed as an unavoidable consequence of environmental change, organizational learning, and technological discontinuity, a framing that is particularly salient when examining enterprise web platforms with multi-decade lifespans (Yeh & Austin, 1986). The discussion that follows situates the observed patterns within this evolutionary paradigm, critically engaging with scholarly debates on legacy modernization, architectural transformation, and socio-technical change, while also acknowledging tensions, counter-arguments, and unresolved challenges.

At a foundational level, ASP.NET Core migration exemplifies the core proposition of software evolution theory: systems must adapt or risk obsolescence. Early evolutionary models emphasized that software

complexity tends to increase unless deliberate efforts are made to restructure and simplify systems over time (Zaidman et al., 2010). Traditional ASP.NET applications, particularly those developed prior to the widespread adoption of modular design principles, often exhibit precisely this form of unmanaged complexity. Layered dependencies, tightly coupled components, and implicit assumptions about hosting environments collectively constrain adaptability. The emergence of ASP.NET Core can therefore be interpreted as a response to accumulated evolutionary pressure, offering a re-architected platform that explicitly prioritizes modularity, configurability, and environmental independence (Valiveti, 2025).

However, scholarly discourse cautions against overly deterministic interpretations of technological evolution. While ASP.NET Core provides architectural affordances that facilitate modernization, the literature consistently emphasizes that technology alone does not guarantee successful evolution. Organizational context, governance structures, and human expertise play decisive roles in shaping outcomes (Blackwell & Freeman, 2021). From this perspective, ASP.NET Core migration represents a socio-technical transition in which new architectural possibilities must be negotiated within existing institutional constraints. Agile adoption studies illustrate that teams accustomed to waterfall-oriented ASP.NET development often struggle to internalize the iterative, DevOps-aligned practices that ASP.NET Core ecosystems implicitly encourage (Fisher & Gill, 2020).

A key area of scholarly debate concerns the appropriate granularity and pacing of migration. Incremental evolution advocates argue that legacy systems should be modernized through small, controlled refactorings that minimize disruption and preserve business continuity (Almonaies et al., 2010). In contrast, proponents of more radical transformation contend that partial migration risks perpetuating architectural inconsistency and technical debt, particularly when legacy and modern components coexist for extended periods (Wolfart et al., 2021). The literature on ASP.NET Core migration reflects this tension. While phased approaches are widely recommended for risk mitigation, several authors caution that prolonged hybrid states can erode the performance and maintainability benefits that motivate modernization in the first place (Evans & Matthews, 2021).

Performance considerations further complicate this debate. Empirical analyses suggest that ASP.NET Core's lightweight runtime and asynchronous processing model can deliver substantial efficiency gains, especially in cloud-native deployments (Valiveti, 2025). Yet these gains are not automatic. Legacy codebases ported without architectural reconsideration may fail to exploit ASP.NET Core's strengths, resulting in performance profiles that differ little from their predecessors. This observation aligns with broader software evolution research emphasizing that structural refactoring, rather than superficial technology replacement, is essential for realizing evolutionary benefits (Yeh & Austin, 1986).

Risk management literature provides another lens through which to interpret the findings. Predictive risk frameworks underscore the importance of anticipatory analysis and continuous monitoring throughout the migration lifecycle (Johnson & Davis, 2020). ASP.NET Core migration introduces specific risk vectors, including dependency incompatibilities, security configuration changes, and altered deployment pipelines. While tooling support and migration guides can mitigate these risks, the literature emphasizes that effective risk management is inherently contextual, requiring deep system knowledge and cross-functional collaboration (Harris & Turner, 2020). This reinforces the argument that modernization should be treated as an ongoing capability rather than a one-time project.

The discussion also intersects with service-oriented and microservices-oriented modernization paradigms. ASP.NET Core's alignment with microservices architectures has been widely noted, particularly its support for lightweight services and container orchestration (Wolfart et al., 2021). From a theoretical standpoint, this alignment reflects a broader shift from monolithic to distributed system evolution, a shift that redistributes complexity from internal codebases to inter-service communication and operational infrastructure. Critics argue that while microservices can enhance scalability and resilience, they also introduce new forms of operational complexity that may exceed the capacity of organizations transitioning from traditional ASP.NET environments (Harrison & Jackson, 2022). The literature thus cautions against uncritical adoption of microservices as an evolutionary panacea.

Organizational efficiency and economic outcomes constitute an additional dimension of analysis. Modernization is frequently justified in terms of cost

reduction, productivity gains, and competitive advantage (Blackwell & Freeman, 2021). While the literature reports positive correlations between modernization and organizational performance, it also highlights the uneven distribution of benefits. Organizations that invest in training, cultural change, and governance reform are more likely to realize sustained returns from ASP.NET Core migration, whereas those that focus narrowly on technical conversion may experience short-term disruption without commensurate long-term gains (Jha, 2014). This finding resonates with evolutionary theories that emphasize the co-adaptation of technical and social systems.

From a critical perspective, it is important to acknowledge limitations and counter-arguments within the scholarly discourse. Some authors question whether migration to ASP.NET Core is always justified, particularly for stable legacy systems that meet current business requirements and face minimal scalability pressures (Evans & Matthews, 2021). In such cases, the risks and costs of migration may outweigh anticipated benefits. This critique aligns with conservative evolution strategies that prioritize selective modernization over comprehensive transformation (Almonaies et al., 2010). The literature thus advocates for context-sensitive decision-making grounded in rigorous system assessment rather than technological enthusiasm.

The theoretical implications of this discussion extend beyond the specific case of ASP.NET technologies. By framing ASP.NET Core migration as an evolutionary process, the analysis contributes to broader debates on how legacy systems can adapt to discontinuous technological change without sacrificing stability. It suggests that successful evolution requires not only architectural innovation but also institutional learning, strategic foresight, and sustained investment in human capital (Yeh & Austin, 1986). Future research could build on this conceptual foundation by empirically examining longitudinal migration trajectories across diverse organizational contexts, thereby enriching the theoretical models that currently rely heavily on conceptual synthesis.

In sum, the discussion underscores that ASP.NET to ASP.NET Core migration is emblematic of contemporary software evolution challenges. It illustrates how technological innovation, organizational dynamics, and theoretical paradigms intersect to shape modernization

outcomes. By engaging critically with the literature, this study advances a nuanced understanding of modernization as a complex, multi-dimensional phenomenon rather than a purely technical endeavor (Valiveti, 2025).

Conclusion

This research article has presented an extensive, theoretically grounded analysis of ASP.NET to ASP.NET Core migration as a paradigmatic case of legacy system evolution in contemporary software engineering. Drawing exclusively on the provided scholarly references, the study has demonstrated that ASP.NET Core represents not merely an updated framework but a fundamental reconfiguration of architectural assumptions, development practices, and organizational capabilities. Situated within long-standing software evolution theories, the migration process emerges as a continuous, socio-technical transformation shaped by historical constraints and future-oriented imperatives (Yeh & Austin, 1986).

The analysis has highlighted that successful modernization depends on aligning technical strategies with organizational readiness, risk management practices, and evolutionary learning processes. While ASP.NET Core offers significant potential benefits in terms of scalability, performance, and agility, these benefits are neither automatic nor uniformly realized. Instead, they are contingent upon deliberate architectural refactoring, process adaptation, and sustained investment in human expertise (Valiveti, 2025). By synthesizing insights from legacy modernization, agile adoption, and microservices literature, the article contributes a holistic perspective that bridges conceptual theory and practical relevance.

In concluding, this study reinforces the view that legacy systems should be understood as evolving entities rather than static artifacts. ASP.NET Core migration exemplifies how thoughtful evolution can extend system longevity while enabling alignment with emerging technological paradigms. Future research is encouraged to empirically validate the conceptual insights presented here and to explore how evolving frameworks continue to reshape the boundaries of software evolution in an increasingly cloud-native world.

References

1. Evans, L., & Matthews, H. (2021). Scalability and performance issues in legacy system migration to cloud platforms. *Journal of Cloud Computing Technology*, 13(2), 56–69.
2. Yeh, R. T., & Austin, T. A. (1986). Software evolution: forging a paradigm. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, SE-12(6), 689–708.
3. Almonaies, A. A., Cordy, J. R., & Dean, T. R. (2010). Legacy system evolution towards service-oriented architecture. *International Workshop on SOA Migration and Evolution*, 53–62.
4. Wolfart, D., Assuncao, W. K. G., da Silva, I. F., Domingos, D. C. P., Schmeing, E., Villaca, G. L. D., & Paza, D. N. (2021). Modernizing legacy systems with microservices: A roadmap. *Proceedings of the Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering Conference*, 149–159.
5. Blackwell, S., & Freeman, D. (2021). The impact of modernization on organizational efficiency and profitability. *Journal of Business Efficiency*, 28(1), 99–113.
6. Harris, K., & Turner, L. (2020). A framework for legacy system migration in large-scale enterprises. *Journal of Enterprise Systems*, 19(2), 63–77.
7. Valiveti, S. S. S. (2025). Evolution of ASP.NET to ASP.NET Core: Tools, strategies, and implementation approaches. *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Information Technology, Electronics and Intelligent Communication Systems*, 1–7.
8. Fisher, A., & Gill, S. (2020). Agile adoption in legacy system migrations: Benefits and challenges. *Journal of Software Engineering*, 19(4), 105–119.
9. Johnson, G., & Davis, J. (2020). Predictive risk management in legacy system migration. *Journal of Risk Analysis and Management*, 11(3), 84–98.
10. Harrison, K., & Jackson, S. (2022). Modernizing IT infrastructures: Combining legacy systems with modern solutions. *Journal of Digital Transformation*, 16(1), 45–60.
11. Jha, M. (2014). Building a systematic legacy system modernization approach. Doctoral dissertation, UNSW Sydney.
12. Zaidman, A., Pinzger, M., & van Deursen, A. (2010). Software evolution. Software Engineering Research Group, Delft University of Technology.

- 13.** Vinay, T. R., & Chikkamannu, A. A. (2016). A methodology for migration of software from single-core to multi-core machine. International Conference on Computational Systems and Information Systems for Sustainable Solutions, 367–369.
- 14.** Yadav, S. K., Khare, A., & Kavita, C. (2020). ASK approach: A pre-migration approach for legacy application migration to cloud. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, 1042, 15–27.