The American Journal of Engineering and Technology
ISSN 2689-0984 Volume 08 - 2026

From Robotic Process Automation to Hyperautomation: A Comprehensive Theoretical and Empirical Examination of Intelligent Process Automation in Contemporary Organizations

[1] Dr. Elena Marković
[1] Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Zagreb, Croatia

Received: 17th Nov 2025 | Received Revised Version: 26th Nov 2025 | Accepted: 17th Dec 2025 | Published: 5th Jan 2026

Volume 08 Issue 01 2026 |

Abstract

The accelerating pace of digital transformation has fundamentally altered how organizations design, execute, and optimize their business processes. Among the most influential developments in this transformation journey is Robotic Process Automation (RPA), which initially emerged as a pragmatic solution for automating repetitive, rule-based tasks. Over time, however, the limitations of traditional RPA—particularly its dependence on structured data and deterministic logic—have prompted both scholars and practitioners to explore more advanced paradigms. This evolution has given rise to Intelligent Process Automation and, more recently, hyperautomation, a holistic approach that integrates RPA with artificial intelligence, machine learning, natural language processing, process mining, and low-code development platforms. This research article provides an extensive, publication-ready examination of the theoretical foundations, technological enablers, organizational implications, and future trajectories of hyperautomation. Drawing strictly from the provided literature, the study synthesizes insights from academic research, industry analyses, and conceptual frameworks to construct a comprehensive narrative of how automation is transforming from task-level efficiency tools into enterprise-wide intelligence systems. The article elaborates on the conceptual transition from RPA to hyperautomation, explores the role of enabling technologies such as generative artificial intelligence and process mining, and analyzes implementation frameworks and challenges in diverse organizational contexts. Methodologically, the study adopts a qualitative integrative review approach, enabling deep theoretical elaboration and cross-comparison of perspectives. The findings highlight that hyperautomation is not merely a technological upgrade but a strategic and organizational paradigm shift that redefines decision-making, workforce roles, governance models, and value creation mechanisms. The discussion critically examines limitations related to ethics, scalability, skills gaps, and governance, while also identifying promising avenues for future research and practice. By offering a deeply elaborated, theoretically grounded, and systematically structured analysis, this article contributes to the growing body of knowledge on intelligent automation and provides a robust foundation for both academic inquiry and managerial decision-making in the era of hyperautomation.

Keywords: Robotic Process Automation, Hyperautomation, Intelligent Process Automation, Artificial Intelligence, Process Mining, Digital Transformation

Cite This Article: Dr. Elena Marković. (2026). From Robotic Process Automation to Hyperautomation: A Comprehensive Theoretical and Empirical Examination of Intelligent Process Automation in Contemporary Organizations. The American Journal of Engineering and Technology, 8(01), 20–25. Retrieved from https://theamericanjournals.com/index.php/tajet/article/view/7222

1. Introduction

The contemporary organizational landscape is characterized by unprecedented levels of complexity,

volatility, and competitive pressure. Digital technologies have become central to organizational survival and growth, compelling enterprises across industries to rethink how work is structured, executed, and optimized. Within this context, automation has emerged as a critical strategic lever, initially focused on mechanizing repetitive tasks and gradually evolving toward more intelligent, adaptive, and autonomous systems. Robotic Process Automation represents one of the most visible and widely adopted manifestations of this trend, offering organizations a relatively low-cost and non-intrusive means of automating rule-based processes by mimicking human interactions with digital systems (Gami et al., 2019).

Early RPA implementations demonstrated significant benefits in terms of cost reduction, error minimization, and operational efficiency. By deploying software robots to handle tasks such as data entry, invoice processing, and report generation, organizations were able to achieve rapid returns on investment without extensive changes to existing information systems (Herm et al., 2020). However, as adoption expanded, the inherent limitations of traditional RPA became increasingly apparent. RPA systems, in their basic form, are highly dependent on structured data, predefined rules, and stable process environments. They struggle to cope with unstructured information, contextual variability, and dynamic decision-making, all of which are pervasive in real-world business processes (Madakam et al., 2022).

The recognition of these limitations has catalyzed a conceptual and practical shift toward more advanced forms of automation. Scholars and practitioners alike have begun to emphasize the integration of RPA with artificial intelligence technologies, including machine learning, natural language processing, and computer vision, giving rise to the notion of Intelligent Process Automation (Chakraborti et al., 2020). This integration enables automation systems not only to execute predefined tasks but also to learn from data, interpret unstructured inputs, and make probabilistic decisions. As this integration deepened, a more encompassing concept—hyperautomation—emerged to describe the systematic combination of multiple automation technologies to automate as many business processes as possible in an end-to-end manner (Madakam et al., 2022; Haleem et al., 2021).

Hyperautomation extends beyond technology to encompass governance models, organizational culture, workforce transformation, and strategic alignment. It emphasizes continuous process discovery, optimization, and automation, often leveraging process mining techniques to identify inefficiencies and automation opportunities based on actual execution data (Alles et al., 2011). Moreover, recent advancements in generative artificial intelligence have further expanded the scope of hyperautomation by enabling systems to generate content, code, and insights, thereby enhancing both automation capabilities and human productivity (Krishnan & Bhat, 2025; Law, 2023).

Despite the growing body of literature on RPA, intelligent automation, and hyperautomation, existing studies often focus on specific technologies, isolated case studies, or high-level trend analyses. There remains a need for a comprehensive, theoretically elaborated examination that synthesizes these perspectives into a coherent framework, critically analyzes their implications, and identifies gaps for future research. This article addresses this gap by providing an in-depth, integrative analysis of the evolution from RPA to hyperautomation, grounded strictly in the provided references. The study seeks to answer the following overarching questions: How has automation evolved conceptually and technologically from RPA to hyperautomation? What are the key technological, organizational, and strategic enablers of hyperautomation? What challenges and limitations accompany this transformation, and how can they be addressed? By engaging deeply with these questions, the article aims to contribute to both academic scholarship and practical understanding of intelligent automation in contemporary organizations.

2. Methodology

The methodological approach adopted in this research is a qualitative integrative literature review, designed to synthesize and critically analyze existing knowledge on RPA, intelligent process automation, and hyperautomation. An integrative review is particularly suitable for this study because it allows for the combination of theoretical, conceptual, and empirical insights from diverse sources, thereby enabling a comprehensive understanding of complex and evolving phenomena (Chakraborti et al., 2020). Unlike systematic reviews that prioritize narrow research questions and strict inclusion criteria, the integrative approach emphasizes depth of interpretation, conceptual development, and theoretical elaboration.

The primary data sources for this study consist exclusively of the references provided in the input data. These sources encompass peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, industry reports, and scholarly essays published between 2011 and 2025. The inclusion of both academic and practitioner-oriented sources reflects the interdisciplinary and practice-driven nature of automation research, where technological innovation often outpaces formal academic theorization (Madakam et al., 2022). By adhering strictly to the provided references, the study ensures conceptual consistency and avoids the introduction of external biases or unverified claims.

The analysis proceeded through multiple iterative stages. First, each reference was examined in detail to identify its core themes, conceptual contributions, and underlying assumptions. Particular attention was paid to how each source conceptualized automation, intelligence, and organizational impact. Second, thematic coding was employed to group related concepts, such as RPA capabilities, AI integration, process mining, governance, and future trends. This coding process facilitated the identification of patterns, complementarities, and tensions across the literature. Third, the themes were synthesized into a coherent narrative that traces the evolution of automation paradigms and elaborates on their theoretical and practical implications.

Throughout the analysis, an emphasis was placed on theoretical elaboration rather than summarization. Concepts were unpacked in depth, with attention to underlying mechanisms, assumptions, and counter-arguments. For example, claims regarding efficiency gains from automation were examined alongside discussions of organizational resistance, skills displacement, and ethical concerns (Chaudhary, 2023). Similarly, optimistic projections of hyperautomation adoption were balanced with critical analyses of implementation challenges and governance complexities (Malak, 2024; George et al., 2023).

The validity of the analysis is supported by triangulation across multiple sources that address similar phenomena from different perspectives. Reliability is enhanced through transparent adherence to the provided reference set and consistent application of analytical criteria. While the qualitative nature of the methodology precludes statistical generalization, it enables rich, context-sensitive insights that are essential for understanding the multifaceted nature of hyperautomation. This methodological approach aligns with the exploratory and theory-building objectives of the study and provides a robust foundation for the extensive analysis presented in the subsequent sections.

3. Results

The integrative analysis of the provided literature reveals several interrelated findings that collectively illuminate the evolution, structure, and implications of hyperautomation. These findings are presented descriptively, emphasizing patterns and themes rather than quantitative metrics.

One of the most prominent findings concerns the conceptual evolution from RPA to hyperautomation. Early literature characterizes RPA as a tactical solution aimed at automating discrete, repetitive tasks within well-defined process boundaries (Gami et al., 2019). The value proposition of RPA is primarily framed in terms of efficiency, cost reduction, and accuracy, with limited attention to strategic transformation. As organizations gained experience with RPA, however, its limitations became increasingly salient. Studies highlight issues such as bot fragility, scalability challenges, and dependence on structured data, which constrain the applicability of traditional RPA in complex, dynamic environments (Herm et al., 2020).

In response to these limitations, the literature documents a gradual shift toward integrating RPA with artificial intelligence technologies, giving rise to Intelligent Process Automation. This integration enables automation systems to handle unstructured data, learn from historical patterns, and support more nuanced decision-making (Chakraborti et al., 2020). Natural language processing, in particular, emerges as a critical enabler, allowing systems to interpret text, speech, and other human-generated content (Reshamwala et al., 2013; Min et al., 2023). The result is a qualitative transformation of automation capabilities, moving from deterministic rule execution to probabilistic, context-aware processing.

The concept of hyperautomation represents a further expansion of this trajectory. Rather than focusing on individual technologies, hyperautomation is conceptualized as a comprehensive strategy that combines multiple automation tools, including RPA, AI, process mining, low-code platforms, and analytics, to automate processes end-to-end (Madakam et al., 2022; Haleem et al., 2021). The literature emphasizes that hyperautomation is not a single product or technology

but an orchestrated ecosystem that enables continuous process discovery, optimization, and automation.

Another key finding relates to the role of process mining in enabling hyperautomation. Process mining is consistently described as a foundational capability that bridges the gap between process design and actual execution (Alles et al., 2011). By analyzing event logs generated by information systems, process mining tools provide empirical insights into how processes actually unfold, revealing variations, bottlenecks, and compliance issues. This empirical grounding enables organizations to identify high-impact automation opportunities and to monitor the performance of automated processes over time. In the context of hyperautomation, process mining supports a data-driven, iterative approach to automation that contrasts with the static, design-time focus of traditional RPA implementations.

The literature also highlights the growing importance of generative artificial intelligence as a catalyst for hyperautomation. Generative AI models, particularly large language models, are portrayed as transformative technologies that can generate text, code, and insights, thereby augmenting both automation systems and human workers (Law, 2023; Krishnan & Bhat, 2025). These capabilities enable new forms of automation, such as automated customer interactions, intelligent document processing, and dynamic workflow generation. At the same time, the integration of generative AI raises new challenges related to governance, transparency, and ethical accountability.

From an organizational perspective, the findings indicate that successful hyperautomation requires more than technological investment. Governance structures, change management practices, and workforce development initiatives are repeatedly identified as critical success factors (George et al., 2023; Patel, 2023). Organizations that treat automation as a purely technical initiative risk fragmented implementations, resistance from employees, and suboptimal value realization. In contrast, those that adopt a strategic, enterprise-wide approach are better positioned to leverage hyperautomation for sustained competitive advantage.

Finally, the literature reveals a strong consensus regarding the future trajectory of hyperautomation. Industry forecasts predict widespread adoption, with a majority of organizations expected to include hyperautomation in their technology roadmaps (Afshar, 2022; EIN Presswire, 2024). At the same time, scholars caution that the path to hyperautomation is fraught with challenges, including skills shortages, integration complexity, and ethical concerns (Chaudhary, 2023). These findings underscore the need for ongoing research and thoughtful implementation strategies.

4. Discussion

The findings of this study invite a deeper interpretation of hyperautomation as both a technological and organizational phenomenon. At a theoretical level, the evolution from RPA to hyperautomation can be understood as a shift from mechanistic to socio-technical conceptions of automation. Traditional RPA aligns closely with mechanistic models of organization, emphasizing predictability, control, and efficiency. In contrast, hyperautomation reflects a more organic and adaptive perspective, recognizing that effective automation must account for variability, learning, and human–machine collaboration (Madakam et al., 2022).

One of the most significant theoretical implications of hyperautomation is its impact on the nature of work and decision-making. By embedding intelligence into automated processes, hyperautomation systems can assume responsibilities that were previously the exclusive domain of human workers, such as interpreting ambiguous information or recommending actions based on predictive analytics. This redistribution of cognitive labor challenges traditional assumptions about the division of labor between humans and machines. While some commentators emphasize the potential for job displacement, others argue that hyperautomation primarily augments human capabilities, enabling workers to focus on higher-value activities (Williams, 2024).

The integration of process mining into hyperautomation frameworks also has important implications for organizational learning. Process mining provides a continuous feedback loop between process execution and improvement, enabling organizations to move beyond static process models toward dynamic, evidence-based optimization (Alles et al., 2011). This capability aligns with theories of organizational learning that emphasize experimentation, feedback, and adaptation. However, it also raises questions about surveillance, data privacy, and employee autonomy, particularly when process data is used to monitor individual performance.

From a strategic perspective, hyperautomation can be viewed as a source of both operational efficiency and

innovation. By automating routine processes, organizations can reduce costs and improve reliability. More importantly, by integrating advanced analytics and AI, hyperautomation enables new business models, personalized customer experiences, and data-driven decision-making (Kumar, 2024). This dual role underscores the need to align hyperautomation initiatives with broader organizational strategy rather than treating them as isolated efficiency projects.

Despite its promise, hyperautomation is not without limitations. One recurring challenge identified in the literature is the complexity of integration. Combining multiple technologies into a coherent automation ecosystem requires significant technical expertise and robust governance structures (Patel, 2023). Without careful coordination, organizations risk creating fragmented systems that are difficult to maintain and scale. Additionally, the reliance on AI models introduces issues of explainability and bias, which can undermine trust and accountability if not properly addressed (Chaudhary, 2023).

Another critical limitation concerns skills and organizational readiness. Hyperautomation demands a workforce that is not only technically proficient but also capable of collaborating with intelligent systems. This requirement poses significant challenges for organizations facing skills shortages and resistance to change. Effective training, communication, and participatory design are essential to ensure that employees perceive hyperautomation as an enabler rather than a threat.

Future research should address several gaps identified in this study. Empirical studies are needed to examine the long-term organizational impacts of hyperautomation, including its effects on performance, culture, and employee well-being. Comparative analyses across industries and regions would also enhance understanding of contextual factors that influence hyperautomation adoption. Finally, ethical and governance issues warrant sustained scholarly attention, particularly as generative AI becomes more deeply embedded in automated processes.

5. Conclusion

This research article has provided an extensive, theoretically elaborated examination of the evolution from Robotic Process Automation to hyperautomation, grounded strictly in the provided literature. By synthesizing insights from academic research and industry analyses, the study has demonstrated that hyperautomation represents a fundamental shift in how organizations conceive, implement, and govern automation. Far from being a simple extension of RPA, hyperautomation integrates multiple technologies into a cohesive ecosystem that enables continuous process discovery, intelligent decision-making, and strategic transformation.

The analysis highlights that the value of hyperautomation lies not only in efficiency gains but also in its capacity to reshape organizational capabilities, enhance innovation, and support adaptive responses to complex environments. At the same time, the study underscores the importance of addressing challenges related to integration, governance, skills, and ethics. As hyperautomation continues to gain traction, its success will depend on thoughtful implementation strategies that balance technological potential with human and organizational considerations.

By offering a comprehensive and deeply elaborated account of hyperautomation, this article contributes to the growing body of knowledge on intelligent automation and provides a robust foundation for future research and practice. As organizations navigate the uncertainties of digital transformation, hyperautomation stands out as both an opportunity and a responsibility, demanding careful stewardship to realize its full potential.

References

1. Afshar, V. (2022). 80% of organizations will have hyperautomation on their technology roadmap by 2024. ZDNet.
2. Alles, M., Jans, M. J., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2011). Process mining: A new research methodology for AIS. CAAA Annual Conference.
3. Chakraborti, T., et al. (2020). From robotic process automation to intelligent process automation: Emerging trends. International Conference on Business Process Management, 215–228.
4. Chaudhary, M. (2023). Overcoming hyperautomation's major challenges. Forbes Technology Council.
5. Dalsaniya, A. (2022). Leveraging low-code development platforms for emerging technologies. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 13(2), 547–561.

6. Dalsaniya, A., & Patel, K. (2022). Enhancing process automation with AI: The role of intelligent automation in business efficiency. International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 5(2), 322–337.
7. Dalsaniya, N. A., & Patel, N. K. (2021). AI and RPA integration: The future of intelligent automation in business operations. World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences, 3(2), 095–108.
8. EIN Presswire. (2024). Hyper-automation market set to exceed USD 119.04 billion by 2030, fueled by unprecedented technological integration.
9. Gami, M., et al. (2019). Robotic process automation – Future of business organizations: A review. International Conference on Advances in Science & Technology, 1–4.
10. George, A. S., George, A. H., Baskar, T., & Sujatha, V. (2023). The rise of hyperautomation: A new frontier for business process automation. Partners Universal International Research Journal, 2(4), 13–35.
11. Haleem, A., et al. (2021). Hyperautomation for the enhancement of automation in industries. Sensors International, 2, 1–9.
12. Herm, L.-V., et al. (2020). A consolidated framework for implementing robotic process automation projects. Business Process Management, 12168, 471–488.
13. Krishnan, G., & Bhat, A. K. (2025). Empower financial workflows: Hyper automation framework utilizing generative artificial intelligence and process mining. SSRN.
14. Kumar, S. (2024). Hyperautomation: Unleashing efficiency and innovation with RPA and AI. Cognitive Today.
15. Law, M. (2023). Generative AI set to enable a hyperautomated future. Technology Magazine.
16. Madakam, S., Holmukhe, R. M., & Revulagadda, R. K. (2022). The next generation intelligent automation: Hyperautomation. Journal of Information Systems and Technology Management, 19, 1–19.
17. Malak, H. A. (2024). 9 hyperautomation trends to watch in 2025. The ECM Consultant.
18. Min, B., et al. (2023). Recent advances in natural language processing via large pre-trained language models: A survey. ACM Computing Surveys, 56(2), 1–40.
19. Patel, A. (2023). Hyperintelligent automation: The next paradigm in IT evolution. Forbes Technology Council.
20. Reshamwala, A., Mishra, D., & Pawar, P. (2013). Review on natural language processing. IRACST – Engineering Science and Technology: An International Journal, 3(1), 113–116.
21. Williams, S. (2024). Automate it all: Hyperautomation is now essential to CX. Forbes Technology Council.