The American Journal of Engineering and Technology
ISSN 2689-0984 | Open Access

TYPE Original Research
PAGE NO. 348-355

Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

SUBMITED 01 August 2025
ACCEPTED 15 August 2025
PUBLISHED 31 August 2025
VOLUME Vol.07 Issue 08 2025

CITATION

Dr. Marie Thompson. (2025). Sustainable Plant-Based Fibres and Nonwoven Systems: Mechanical, Processing, and Environmental Perspectives for Textile and Technical Applications. The American Journal of Engineering and Technology, 7(8), 348–355. Retrieved from https://theamericanjournals.com/index.php/tajet/article/view/7062

Sustainable Plant-Based Fibres and Nonwoven Systems: Mechanical, Processing, and Environmental Perspectives for Textile and Technical Applications

Dr. Marie Thompson
Faculty of Materials Science, University of Lisbon

Abstract

Background: The growing demand for sustainable and environmentally friendly materials has intensified interest in plant-based fibres and their integration into nonwoven systems for textile and technical applications (Ali & Sarw, 2010). Plant fibres such as flax, hemp, alfa, and bamboo present favorable mechanical and environmental attributes, yet their variability, processing challenges, and performance in nonwoven structures demand rigorous multidisciplinary investigation (Hearle & Morton, 2008; Smole et al., 2013). This research synthesizes theoretical foundations, testing standards, material selection frameworks, and processing considerations to produce an integrated understanding of plant-fibre-based nonwovens and their application potential (Kalebek & Babaarslan, 2016; Turbak, 1993).

Methods: The study adopts a comprehensive, literature-grounded methodological synthesis: critical appraisal of standardized testing protocols for fibres (ASTM, 1962–1964; BIS, 1971), mechanistic interpretation of fibre structure–property relations (Bledzki et al., 2006; Hearle & Morton, 2008), and comparative analysis of nonwoven formation technologies and blend strategies (Turbak, 1993; Russell, 2006). Emphasis is placed on mapping fibre selection criteria to nonwoven process windows, elucidating the influence of fibre geometry, surface chemistry, and treatment on mechanical and functional performance (Ghali et al., 2014; Albrecht et al., 2006).

Results: Synthesis indicates that controlled fibre morphology (length, fineness, lumen structure) and pre-processing (retting, mechanical extraction, refining) are decisive for nonwoven web cohesion and performance (Bledzki et al., 2006; Hearle & Morton, 2008). Blending plant fibres with thermoplastic or natural binders improves web integrity but requires optimization of fibre–binder interactions to preserve biodegradability and desired mechanical properties (Ghali et al., 2014; Kalebek & Babaarslan, 2016). Standardized test metrics (breaking load, elongation, conditioning) provide reproducible comparators, yet must be contextualized for anisotropic, heterogeneous plant-fibre assemblies (ASTM, 1962–1964; BIS, 1971; Booth, 1968).

Conclusion: Plant-fibre nonwovens are viable alternatives for a broad set of textile and technical applications when design is informed by fibre-selection frameworks, rigorous conditioning and testing, process–material coupling, and explicit environmental assessments (Smole et al., 2013; Russell, 2006). Future research must prioritize scalable pre-processing routes that reduce variability, binder chemistries that balance performance and sustainability, and standardization of testing regimes tailored to plant-based nonwovens. This integrative perspective advances the technical readiness of sustainable nonwoven systems and delineates key research pathways for industrial adoption.

Keywords: Plant fibres, nonwovens, sustainable textiles, fibre selection, mechanical properties, processing, environmental assessment

INTRODUCTION

The intersection of sustainability imperatives and material science innovation has placed plant-based fibres at the forefront of contemporary textile research (Ali & Sarw, 2010). Historically, natural fibres have been integral to textile manufacture due to their renewability, biodegradability, and favorable mechanical-to-weight ratios (Hearle & Morton, 2008). Modern environmental concerns, regulatory pressures, and consumer preferences for eco-friendly products have intensified scrutiny on synthetic-dominant supply chains and renewed interest in bio-derived alternatives (Smole et al., 2013). Nevertheless, the translation of plant fibres from traditional uses to engineered nonwoven and technical applications requires a systematic appraisal of fibre characteristics, extraction and conditioning processes, web formation techniques, binder strategies, and testing paradigms (Turbak, 1993; Russell, 2006).

Plant fibres are heterogeneous biological materials whose performance derives from hierarchical structures spanning cell wall chemistry, microfibril orientation, lumen configuration, and macroscopic geometry (Hearle & Morton, 2008). This inherent heterogeneity presents both opportunity and challenge: sophisticated design can exploit toughness, low density, and insulation characteristics; yet variable mechanical properties and processing sensitivity demand tailored treatments and robust quality control (Bledzki et al., 2006). Nonwoven technologies offer flexible routes to assemble fibres into sheets or three-dimensional architectures without spinning into yarns. The nonwoven sector encompasses diverse production methods — carding, airlaid, wetlaid, spunbonding, and thermobonding — each imposing distinct constraints on fibre length, stiffness, surface friction, and bonding mechanisms (Turbak, 1993; Albrecht et al., 2006). Consequently, a rigorous mapping between fibre selection criteria and nonwoven process windows is essential for engineering repeatable, high-performance plant-fibre products (Kalebek & Babaarslan, 2016).

Standardized testing and conditioning play a pivotal role in characterizing fibres and assemblies. Fundamental fibre tests — breaking load, elongation, tenacity, and modulus — have well-established protocols whose consistent application enables comparative assessment across studies and industrial contexts (ASTM, 1962–1964; Booth, 1968). However, translating single-fibre metrics to nonwoven sheet performance requires careful interpretation because the web's mechanical behavior emerges from fibre–fibre interactions, bonding types, and anisotropy introduced by web formation processes (BIS, 1971; Hearle & Morton, 2008). Moreover, assessment of environmental performance — biodegradability, life-cycle impacts, and end-of-life pathways — must be integrated into material selection and process design to ensure net benefits relative to incumbent materials (Smole et al., 2013).

This article synthesizes cross-disciplinary knowledge to propose an actionable framework for selecting plant fibres and designing nonwoven assemblies for textile and technical applications. We ground our analysis in material science principles, established testing standards, and comparative processing literature, aiming to provide researchers and practitioners with a comprehensive resource that elaborates on theory, practical constraints, and research frontiers. The anticipated contribution is threefold: (1) a clarified fibre-selection matrix grounded in structural and functional metrics; (2) an integrative description of nonwoven process–material coupling emphasizing binder strategies compatible with sustainability goals; and (3) an identification of critical gaps in standardization, pre-processing scalability, and environmental performance assessment that constrain broader industrial uptake.

METHODOLOGY

The methodology underpinning this work is an integrative literature synthesis and conceptual modeling exercise guided strictly by the supplied references. Our approach is descriptive and theoretical rather than experimental; it translates experimental findings, standards, and theoretical treatises into a cohesive analytical narrative. This section outlines the method used to evaluate fibre selection criteria, interpret standardized tests, and map fibre attributes to nonwoven process requirements.

Literature Synthesis Framework: We first categorized the references into thematic clusters: fibre structural characterization and testing (Hearle & Morton, 2008; ASTM, 1962–1964; BIS, 1971; Booth, 1968), plant fibre processing and extraction (Bledzki et al., 2006; Debnath et al., n.d.; Smole et al., 2013), nonwoven processes and design (Turbak, 1993; Russell, 2006; Albrecht et al., 2006; Kalebek & Babaarslan, 2016), and blend/performance studies (Ghali et al., 2014; Ghali et al., 2014). Each cluster was analyzed for the core assumptions, experimental evidence, and theoretical propositions relevant to material selection and processing. We then synthesized these clusters into a unified set of design rules and interpretive models.

Testing and Conditioning Interpretation: Given the centrality of standardized testing to material qualification, we examined the historical ASTM test methods for breaking load and elongation (ASTM, 1962–1964) and conditioning protocols (BIS, 1971; Booth, 1968). The objective was to interpret how single-fibre and assembly-level tests should be applied and extrapolated to nonwoven sheets. We considered how humidity, temperature, and specimen preparation influence measured properties and proposed contextualized testing regimes that reflect nonwoven anisotropy and heterogeneity.

Fibre–Process Mapping: Using process descriptions from nonwovens handbooks and theoretical works, we developed a mapping matrix that aligns fibre attributes — such as length, diameter (fineness), stiffness, surface chemistry, and moisture affinity — with nonwoven formation technologies (carding, airlaid, wetlaid, thermal bonding, needle punching). For each fibre attribute, we described mechanistic implications for web formation, bonding efficacy, and final mechanical and functional performance. Where possible, we integrated experimental findings on blending ratios and performance (Ghali et al., 2014) to substantiate recommendations.

Binder and Blend Strategy Analysis: Binder selection is core to web integrity. We reviewed literature on natural and synthetic binders, emphasizing the trade-offs between mechanical performance and environmental impact (Russell, 2006; Albrecht et al., 2006). The methodology included conceptual evaluation of binder–fibre adhesion mechanisms and how they interact with fibre surface treatments, such as alkali treatment or enzymatic retting (Bledzki et al., 2006; Smole et al., 2013).

Environmental and Lifecycle Considerations: Although experimental life-cycle analysis data was not directly supplied, we synthesized principles from sustainability literature to propose how biodegradability, recyclability, and energy inputs during pre-processing could be assessed and optimized in plant-fibre nonwoven systems (Ali & Sarw, 2010; Smole et al., 2013). The methodology identifies metrics and testing pathways that future empirical studies should adopt to quantify environmental outcomes.

Quality Assurance and Variability Management: The methodology concludes with a prescriptive section on quality assurance and process controls informed by the standards and technical literature, articulating how to manage biological variability inherent in plant fibres through standardized conditioning, grading, and statistical process control (ASTM, 1962–1964; BIS, 1971).

This theoretical-methodological synthesis aims to provide a robust roadmap for empirical research and industrial translation, rooting recommendations in established standards and peer-reviewed analyses.

RESULTS

The results presented are derived from the integrative synthesis of the supplied literature. They represent conceptual findings, derived frameworks, and interpretive analyses rather than new experimental measurements. The following subsections distill the key outcomes: fibre-selection criteria, the influence of pre-processing on functional performance, process–material coupling for nonwovens, binder strategies, and testing and standardization recommendations.

Fibre-Selection Criteria: A multi-parameter matrix of selection criteria emerges from the analysis. Core parameters include intrinsic tensile strength and modulus, fibre length distribution, fineness (linear density), microfibril angle, surface chemistry, moisture sorption characteristics, and thermal stability (Hearle & Morton, 2008; Bledzki et al., 2006). For nonwoven systems, fibre length and stiffness are particularly pivotal: shorter, more flexible fibres are amenable to forming homogeneous airlaid or wetlaid webs, whereas longer, stiffer fibres provide mechanical reinforcement and are useful in carded and mechanically bonded nonwovens (Turbak, 1993; Kalebek & Babaarslan, 2016). The analysis confirms that a rational selection strategy must prioritize length distribution matching to the chosen web-forming method and account for fibre frictional properties that determine carding and web stability (Turbak, 1993).

Impact of Pre-Processing: Pre-processing steps such as retting, mechanical extraction, decortication, and refining have profound impacts on fibre integrity, surface condition, and variability (Bledzki et al., 2006; Smole et al., 2013). The literature indicates that mild chemical or enzymatic retting can enhance fibre separation while preserving tensile properties, whereas harsh mechanical extraction without conditioning often introduces defects that lower tenacity and increase variability (Bledzki et al., 2006). Conditioning protocols (humidity and temperature stabilization) prior to mechanical testing are essential to reduce scatter and facilitate meaningful comparisons (ASTM, 1962–1964; BIS, 1971).

Process–Material Coupling for Nonwovens: Distinct nonwoven technologies impose defining constraints on fibre morphology. Wetlaid processes function similarly to paper-making and favor short to medium fibres with hydrophilic characteristics and low kink; the ability to disperse fibres homogeneously in aqueous suspensions is crucial (Russell, 2006). Airlaid and carded processes require fibres with sufficient stiffness and frictional properties for fiber opening and web formation; fibres with high lumen content or very low density may create volumetric webs with high porosity but require effective bonding to achieve mechanical cohesion (Turbak, 1993; Kalebek & Babaarslan, 2016). Thermal bonding demands fibres with thermoplastic constituents or the inclusion of thermoplastic binder fibres; plant fibres lack inherent thermoplasticity and therefore rely on added thermoplastic fibres or particulate binders to form thermobonded structures (Albrecht et al., 2006).

Binder Strategies and Blending: The literature suggests three primary binder approaches: polymeric thermoplastic fibres (e.g., bicomponent fibres), aqueous polymer binders (latex or natural polymer emulsions), and mechanical entanglement (needle punching). Each approach presents trade-offs. Thermoplastic binders yield robust mechanical performance but raise concerns about recyclability and the fossil-derived carbon footprint when petrochemical polymers are used (Albrecht et al., 2006). Natural binders—starches, proteins, or bio-based polyesters—can improve biodegradability but often require crosslinking or formulation strategies to attain water resistance and mechanical durability (Russell, 2006; Ghali et al., 2014). Needle-punched entanglement preserves fibre purity and biodegradability but relies on fibre morphology that promotes mechanical interlocking and may demand higher areal weight to achieve target strengths (Kalebek & Babaarslan, 2016).

Mechanical and Functional Performance Predictions: By combining fibre property distributions with process constraints, the synthesis predicts that plant-fibre nonwovens can achieve tensile strengths and stiffness suitable for many technical applications (insulation, geotextiles, filtration substrates) when appropriate bonding strategies and fibre blends are employed (Smole et al., 2013; Ghali et al., 2014). However, for high-demand structural applications, hybridization with synthetic reinforcement or high-performance natural fibres remains necessary. The literature supports a pragmatic classification of applications by performance tiers: low-load service (insulation, acoustic), moderate-load technical (geotextiles, padding), and high-load structural reinforcement requiring hybridization (Bledzki et al., 2006; Russell, 2006).

Standards and Testing Adaptation: Examination of ASTM and BIS standards reveals a robust set of procedures for single-fibre testing and textile conditioning (ASTM, 1962–1964; BIS, 1971). The synthesis recommends adopting these protocols as baseline metrics for plant-fibre qualification but supplementing them with assembly-level tests (tensile, tear, burst) and conditioning procedures that reflect the anisotropy and porosity of nonwoven webs (Booth, 1968; Hearle & Morton, 2008). The literature emphasizes the need for inter-laboratory round-robin studies to develop repeatable nonwoven-specific protocols for plant-based materials (Russell, 2006).

Environmental Implications and Lifecycle Considerations: Although full lifecycle assessments (LCAs) are context-dependent, the literature indicates that plant-fibre nonwovens can offer lower embodied energy and improved end-of-life scenarios relative to synthetic alternatives when cultivation, processing, and binder choices are optimized (Ali & Sarw, 2010; Smole et al., 2013). The balance between mechanical performance and environmental footprint often hinges on pre-processing intensity and binder chemistry; minimal chemical processing and bio-based binders or mechanical bonding routes typically yield better environmental outcomes (Ali & Sarw, 2010; Albrecht et al., 2006).

Quality Control and Variability: Biological variability in plant fibres is a recurring theme. The literature recommends implementing grading systems based on length classes, fineness thresholds, and defect indices, coupled with statistical process control in industrial production to mitigate variability effects (ASTM, 1962–1964; Bledzki et al., 2006). Conditioning prior to web formation and testing was found to be critical to reduce variability in mechanical response and to facilitate reproducible bonding behavior.

DISCUSSION

The preceding synthesis underscores the promise and complexity of integrating plant fibres into engineered nonwoven systems. In this discussion, we elaborate on theoretical implications, practical constraints, counter-arguments, and prioritized research directions, grounding each major claim in the literature.

Theoretical Implications: The hierarchical structure of plant fibres implies that macroscale web performance emerges from interactions spanning molecular composition to macroscopic geometry (Hearle & Morton, 2008). Microfibril angle and cellulose crystallinity influence stiffness and tensile behavior, while lumen size and cross-sectional shape determine fibre bending stiffness and interlocking potential (Bledzki et al., 2006). The theoretical implication is that effective nonwoven design cannot rely solely on averaged metrics like tenacity or fineness; rather, it must incorporate distributional descriptors (variance in length, shape irregularity indices) because nonwoven cohesion and failure mechanisms are highly sensitive to the tails of these distributions (Turbak, 1993). This shifts the design paradigm toward probabilistic models and robust optimization that explicitly address heterogeneity.

Practical Constraints — Pre-processing and Scalability: While mild retting and mechanical refining preserve fibre integrity, scaling such processes for industrial throughput without introducing contamination, excessive water use, or chemical effluents is challenging (Bledzki et al., 2006; Smole et al., 2013). A counter-argument arises from proponents of mechanical decortication and dry processing, who claim reductions in water footprint and chemical usage. However, purely mechanical routes often produce fibrillation and surface damage that reduce tensile properties and increase variability (Bledzki et al., 2006). Future process development must reconcile throughput with gentle handling—approaches such as enzymatic retting with closed-loop water systems or combined mechanical-enzymatic processes represent promising pathways but require life-cycle optimization to confirm net environmental benefits (Smole et al., 2013).

Binder Strategies: Trade-offs and Innovations: The binder question exemplifies the core sustainability–performance trade-off. Thermoplastic binders (e.g., polypropylene bicomponent fibres) deliver robust bonding and process flexibility but compromise biodegradability and recyclability (Albrecht et al., 2006). Conversely, natural binders such as starch or proteins enhance biodegradability but struggle with moisture sensitivity and may demand crosslinking agents that introduce their own environmental or health considerations (Russell, 2006; Ghali et al., 2014). An important direction, therefore, is the development of bio-based polyesters or novel reactive binders that can provide water resistance and mechanical durability while remaining industrially compostable or chemically recyclable (Albrecht et al., 2006). The literature suggests hybrid strategies—partial thermoplastic content combined with biodegradable matrices—to strike intermediate balance points, but these solutions need careful end-of-life planning to avoid contaminating recycling streams (Russell, 2006).

Testing, Standards, and the Need for Nonwoven-Specific Protocols: The adaptation of ASTM and BIS fibre tests as baseline qualification tools is prudent, but application to nonwovens necessitates additional protocol development (ASTM, 1962–1964; BIS, 1971). The counter-argument occasionally posed is that existing textile tests suffice; however, because nonwovens are often more porous, anisotropic, and bonding-dependent, the mechanics of failure and deformation diverge from woven or knitted textiles (Hearle & Morton, 2008; Booth, 1968). Thus, a sustained program of standard development—encompassing sample preparation, conditioning regimes specific to areal density and porosity, and new failure mode descriptions—is warranted. Inter-laboratory studies should be prioritized to generate statistically robust normative data and to ensure that test outcomes correspond to in-service performance.

Environmental Assessment Nuances: While life-cycle thinking molds the sustainability narrative, the literature cautions against simplistic conclusions that plant fibres are always superior environmentally (Ali & Sarw, 2010; Smole et al., 2013). For instance, fibre cultivation practices (fertilizer and pesticide use), energy-intensive retting, or long-distance transport can offset benefits. A nuanced approach requires cradle-to-grave LCAs that disaggregate cultivation, processing, transportation, manufacturing, use, and end-of-life stages. Additionally, co-product valorization (e.g., using plant residues for energy or as feedstocks) can materially influence comparative outcomes. This complexity implies that policy and procurement decisions need context-specific LCA data rather than generic assumptions.

Application-Specific Design Recommendations: The literature supports a classification framework aligning application categories with material and process choices. For insulation and acoustic materials, high-porosity webs with minimal binder content and emphasis on thermal and sound-damping properties are appropriate; plant fibres naturally excel here due to low thermal conductivity and high porosity possibilities (Smole et al., 2013). For filtration or geotechnical uses, more demanding mechanical properties and dimensional stability necessitate controlled blending with sturdy binder systems or reinforcement fibres (Russell, 2006). For structural reinforcement in composite matrices, plant fibres may be effective as hybrid reinforcement, but single-material plant-fibre components generally do not meet high-strength thresholds without significant treatment or composite synergy (Bledzki et al., 2006). These recommendations underscore that performance must be matched to application requirements via transparent property mapping.

Research Gaps and Priority Directions: The synthesis identifies several critical research gaps: (1) scalable, low-impact pre-processing routes that minimize property degradation and environmental footprint; (2) binder chemistries that reconcile durability, water resistance, and end-of-life compatibility; (3) standardized, nonwoven-specific testing protocols for plant-based assemblies; (4) probabilistic models that incorporate distributional fibre properties into performance predictions; and (5) comprehensive cradle-to-grave LCAs that include agricultural variability and co-product flows (Ali & Sarw, 2010; Bledzki et al., 2006; Russell, 2006). Addressing these gaps requires interdisciplinary collaboration spanning agronomy, chemical engineering, materials science, and standards bodies.

Limitations of the Current Synthesis: The principal limitation of this work is its reliance on secondary literature and standards without presenting primary experimental data. While the synthesis collates and interprets established knowledge, empirical validation of the proposed frameworks under diverse industrial conditions is necessary. Additionally, some references provided in the source list lacked complete bibliographic details (e.g., Debnath et al.), limiting the depth of direct citation for certain procedural assertions. Nevertheless, the synthesis adheres to rigorous interpretation of available standards and authoritative texts to propose actionable recommendations.

Ethical and Socioeconomic Considerations: Beyond technical metrics, the transition to plant-fibre nonwovens carries socioeconomic implications. Crop selection influences land use and livelihoods; shifting value chains to fibre production may offer rural employment opportunities but also raises concerns about competition with food crops and monocropping risks (Smole et al., 2013). Ethical sourcing and integrated agroecological practices should therefore complement material innovation to ensure socially responsible scaling.

CONCLUSION

This integrative analysis, grounded in standards and seminal literature, advances a coherent framework for deploying plant-based fibres within nonwoven textile and technical applications. Key conclusions are:

● Effective fibre selection requires multidimensional criteria that extend beyond mean tensile properties to include length distributions, surface chemistry, and moisture interaction characteristics, and these must be matched to the chosen nonwoven process (Hearle & Morton, 2008; Kalebek & Babaarslan, 2016).

● Pre-processing protocols critically determine the balance between preserving mechanical integrity and achieving process-ready fibre separation; enzymatic or mild chemical retting combined with controlled mechanical refining offers promising trade-offs (Bledzki et al., 2006; Smole et al., 2013).

● Binder strategies present a central sustainability–performance trade-off; research into bio-based reactive binders and hybrid systems is necessary to deliver both mechanical competence and environmentally preferable end-of-life pathways (Albrecht et al., 2006; Russell, 2006).

● Standardized testing, drawing from ASTM and BIS methods, must be adapted and extended to nonwoven-specific contexts to produce reproducible and application-relevant performance assessments (ASTM, 1962–1964; BIS, 1971; Booth, 1968).

● Life-cycle assessments that account for cultivation practices, processing energy, and binder chemistries are essential to substantiate environmental claims and to inform policy and procurement decisions (Ali & Sarw, 2010; Smole et al., 2013).

To realize the potential of plant-fibre nonwovens, coordinated research agendas should prioritize scalable, low-impact processing technologies; binder development emphasizing biodegradability and recyclability; standardization efforts for testing and grading; and socio-environmental analyses that situate material decisions within broader sustainability landscapes. The path forward integrates agronomy, chemistry, materials engineering, and standards development to transition plant-fibre nonwovens from promising laboratory constructs to reliable industrial solutions.

REFERENCES

1. Ali, M. A., and Sarw, M. I. (2010). M.Sc Thesis "Sustainable and Environmental Friendly Fibers in Textile Fashion - A Study of Organic Cotton and Bamboo Fibers". University of Borås.
2. ASTM Test Methods (1962-1964). Breaking load and elongation of textile fibres.
3. BIS Test method (1971). Conditioning of Textiles. Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.
4. Bledzki, A. K., Faruk, O., and Sperber, V. E. (2006). Macromolecular Materials and Engineering, 291, 449–457.
5. Booth, J. E. (1968). Principle of Textile Testing. Third Edition. New Butt, London.
6. Debnath, S., Basu, G., Mustafa, I., Mishra, L., Das, R., and Karmakar, S. Flax fiber extraction to spinning — A holistic approach. (Date not provided).
7. Kalebek, N. A., and Babaarslan, O. (2016). Fiber Selection for the Production of Nonwovens. In Nonwoven Fabrics. Intech.
8. Turbak, A. F. (1993). Nonwovens: Theory, Process, Performance, and Testing. Tappi Press.
9. Russell, S. J. (Ed.). (2006). Handbook of Nonwovens. Woodhead Publishing.
10. Albrecht, W., Fuchs, H., and Kittelmann, W. (Eds.). (2006). Nonwoven Fabrics: Raw Materials, Manufacture, Applications, Characteristics, Testing Processes. John Wiley & Sons.
11. International Journal of Applied Engineering Research (2018). ISSN 0973-4562, Volume 13, Number 21, pp. 14903–14907.
12. Chandra, R., Bansal, R., and Lulla, K. (2025). Benchmarking techniques for real-time evaluation of LLMs in production systems. International Journal of Engineering, Science and Information Technology, 5(3), 363–372.
13. Hearle, J. W., and Morton, W. E. (2008). Physical Properties of Textile Fibres. Elsevier.
14. Ghali, L., Halimi, M. T., Hassen, M. B., and Sakli, F. (2014). Effect of blending ratio of fibers on the properties of nonwoven fabrics based on Alfa fibers. Advances in Materials Physics and Chemistry, 4(06), 116.
15. Smole, M. S., Hribernik, S., Kleinschek, K. S., and Kreže, T. (2013). Plant fibres for textile and technical applications. In Advances in Agrophysical Research. InTech.

16. International Journal of Applied Engineering Research ISSN 0973-4562 Volume 13, Number 21 (2018) pp. 14903–14907. © Research India Publications.