



#### OPEN ACCESS

SUBMITTED 10 September 2025

ACCEPTED 28 October 2025

PUBLISHED 04 November 2025

VOLUME Vol.07 Issue 11 2025

#### CITATION

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 License.

# A Digital Information Management Framework For Port Facility Maintenance: Integrating BIM, Event-Driven Processing, And The Cobie Standard

**Maya Larasati**

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Bandung, Indonesia

**Nguyen Van Duc**

Faculty of Construction Management, Vietnam National University Ho Chi Minh City, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

**Abstract:** Purpose: This research addresses the persistent challenge of inefficient information handover and fragmented maintenance data management in complex port facilities by proposing an integrated digital framework. The study focuses on leveraging Building Information Modeling (BIM) for geometric context, the Construction Operations Building Information Exchange (COBie) standard for structured asset data, and an event-driven architecture for dynamic data processing.

Design/Methodology/Approach: A design science approach was utilized to develop a novel three-tier framework. The methodology involved customizing the COBie schema to accommodate unique marine and mechanical port asset data, followed by architecting an event-driven mechanism based on microservices. An illustrative use case was employed to model the flow of inspection and sensor data, from event generation to the automatic update of structured COBie records, thus streamlining maintenance workflows.

Findings: The developed framework successfully provides a structured, interoperable solution for port facility management. Key findings include a tailored COBie implementation guide for non-building port assets and the demonstration that the event-driven mechanism is associated with a significant reduction in the time-to-work-order initiation compared to conventional, manual processes. This approach transforms static BIM/COBie information into a living, dynamic data stream.

**Originality/Value:** This work pioneers the integration of an event-driven data processing methodology with BIM and the COBie standard specifically for the critical domain of large-scale port infrastructure maintenance, offering a pathway toward a fully realized Digital Twin of port assets.

**Keywords:** Building Information Modeling, COBie Standard, Port Facility Management, Event-Driven Architecture, Digital Twin, Asset Maintenance, Information Handover.

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Contextualizing Port Infrastructure and Maintenance Complexity

Port facilities represent one of the most critical and complex components of the global logistics and trade ecosystem. Their operational continuity is paramount to international commerce. These facilities, encompassing quay walls, jetties, piers, specialized cargo handling equipment like gantry cranes, and extensive utility networks, are subjected to some of the most demanding environmental and operational stresses. The constant exposure to saltwater, cyclical loading from vessels and cargo, and corrosive atmospheric conditions accelerate material degradation and necessitate rigorous, ongoing maintenance programs.

The maintenance management of such complex, high-value assets has traditionally been hampered by fragmented information systems. Essential asset data—including design specifications, warranty information, maintenance histories, and operational parameters—is often scattered across disparate document repositories, proprietary databases, and legacy systems. This document-centric approach is associated with significant delays in accessing necessary information during an outage or planned maintenance activity, directly affecting operational efficiency and safety. In particular, the complexity of marine structures and specialized port machinery, which are fundamentally different from conventional buildings, presents a unique challenge for standardized information management. Without a consolidated, structured approach, effective lifecycle management, particularly during the transition from construction/refurbishment to operations, is nearly impossible.

**Literature Gap:** While the benefits of advanced information technology have been widely studied in vertical construction, there remains an insufficient body

of work that explores standardized, data-centric information handover and management specifically tailored for complex port asset maintenance. Existing models often treat port facilities merely as a collection of buildings and paved areas, overlooking the unique requirements of marine-exposed, heavy-duty mechanical, and civil infrastructure. This gap highlights the urgent need for a bespoke framework capable of managing the life cycle information of these specialized assets dynamically.

### 1.2. The Emergence of BIM for Infrastructure Asset Management

Building Information Modeling (BIM) offers a promising paradigm shift from document-based management to a unified, data-rich model. BIM is fundamentally a comprehensive digital representation of a facility's physical and functional characteristics, serving as a shared knowledge resource for information about an asset from its earliest conceptual stage through demolition. For infrastructure, the value of BIM extends far beyond geometric visualization, acting as a crucial information repository for maintenance activities. The model can embed critical asset data—such as component identification, manufacturer details, installation dates, and inspection requirements—directly within the 3D context.

The transition of BIM from a design and construction tool to a facility management (FM) enabler is a key area of research. Successful FM relies on the ability to access, update, and leverage the project information created during the initial phases. The geometric model provides the necessary spatial context for maintenance workers, allowing them to precisely locate an asset or component that requires attention. Furthermore, the parametric nature of BIM allows the data associated with an object to be updated as maintenance activities occur, creating an 'as-maintained' record. The utilization of 3D graphic models has been explored for managing bridges, establishing a precedent for its application to similar large-scale civil structures such as those found in a port environment. Studies focusing on BIM guidelines for model-based infrastructure management emphasize the need for a structured approach to defining and collecting the relevant information for O&M.

### 1.3. Standardized Data Handover: The Role of COBie

The primary bottleneck in leveraging BIM for FM is often the information handover process. While BIM models are rich in data, the sheer volume and proprietary

nature of some BIM file formats can hinder efficient information transfer to the facility owner's management systems. This is where the Construction Operations Building Information Exchange (COBie) standard becomes critical.

COBie is an international, non-proprietary information exchange framework designed to capture and transfer essential FM data from design and construction to operations. Developed by the buildingSMART alliance, it is typically delivered as a standardized spreadsheet (e.g., in a simple tabular format), making it accessible to non-BIM users and easily integrable into Computerized Maintenance Management Systems (CMMS). COBie focuses on the specific data required for facility operations, such as asset names, equipment lists, component manufacturers, warranties, spare parts, and preventative maintenance schedules. It explicitly strips away the complex, unnecessary geometric data, leaving only the operational information required by the facility manager.

The efficacy of COBie as an information exchange framework has been acknowledged in various contexts, establishing it as a key component in the digital transition of facility management. The standard facilitates the structured organization of asset data, which is essential for systematic maintenance planning and execution. However, the standard was initially developed with a heavy emphasis on vertical construction. Extending its applicability and ensuring a robust data modeling guide for specialized, non-building infrastructure like port facilities—which include complex electro-mechanical systems and unique marine assets—requires careful adaptation and customization. Research has sought to define conceptual frameworks to manage BIM/COBie asset data, but a practical application focused on the unique constraints of port infrastructure is still emerging.

#### 1.4. The Need for Dynamic Data Processing (Event-Driven)

While BIM and COBie address the structure and standardization of static asset data at the handover phase, they fall short in supporting the dynamic and real-time nature of modern maintenance operations. Maintenance data in a port facility is generated continuously and often spontaneously: sensors on a gantry crane report anomalous vibration data; UAVs capture high-resolution imagery revealing a new crack in a quay wall; a maintenance technician completes a

repair and updates a work order status. The volume and velocity of this data necessitate a processing architecture that can handle these changes as they occur—as events—rather than relying on manual, periodic updates to a static BIM model or COBie spreadsheet.

The current limitation lies in the manual process of connecting real-world events to the structured asset data. A typical maintenance workflow involves: event occurrence  $\rightarrow$  human inspection  $\rightarrow$  manual data recording  $\rightarrow$  manual data entry into a CMMS  $\rightarrow$  manual update of the asset register. This chain is prone to errors and significant delays.

The introduction of an event-driven architecture (EDA) offers a solution. EDA is a software design pattern where the system's behavior is driven by the production, detection, and consumption of events. In the context of port maintenance, this means:

1. **Event Generation:** A sensor reading exceeding a threshold, a successful image processing run identifying corrosion, or a technician submitting a completed digital form.
2. **Event Processing:** The system automatically ingests, validates, and routes the event data.
3. **Action Triggering:** Automated actions, such as updating the associated COBie record with the new condition state, initiating a work order, or dispatching an alert, are immediately triggered.

This approach transforms the BIM/COBie data repository from a static database into a living Digital Twin that is instantaneously updated by real-world occurrences.

#### 1.5. Research Objectives and Scope

The overarching goal of this research is to bridge the gap between static, structured asset information (BIM/COBie) and the dynamic requirements of operational port facility maintenance through an event-driven mechanism.

The specific objectives are:

- **Objective 1:** To design a conceptual framework integrating BIM, the COBie standard, and event-driven architecture to facilitate interoperable and dynamic data management for port facility maintenance.
- **Objective 2:** To develop a detailed methodology

for customizing and mapping specific marine and mechanical port asset data to the COBie schema, addressing the standard's building-centric limitations.

- Objective 3: To validate the proposed event-driven mechanism's capacity to streamline and automate maintenance workflow efficiency in a representative port scenario.

The scope of the study is centered on the information processing layer between the physical asset and the Facility Management system, specifically addressing the flow, structuring, and updating of asset data that drives O&M decisions.

## 2. METHODS

### 2.1. Research Design and Approach

This study employs a Design Science Research (DSR) methodology. DSR is particularly suited for addressing organizational or technical problems through the creation of innovative, purposeful artifacts. In this context, the artifact is the proposed Digital Information Management Framework—a conceptual, integrated architecture for BIM-COBie-Event processing in port FM. The methodology follows three iterative cycles: Relevance (defining the problem context in port FM), Rigor (leveraging foundational knowledge in BIM, COBie, and EDA), and Design (creating and evaluating the artifact).

The research is qualitative and exploratory, focusing on the conceptual design and validation of the information architecture rather than a full-scale physical implementation. The primary focus is the logical design of the data management system.

### 2.2. BIM Model Preparation and Scope Definition

To ensure the framework's relevance, a representative set of high-value port assets was selected for digital modeling and scoping. These include a section of a reinforced concrete quay wall, a mooring dolphin, and a rail-mounted gantry crane (RMG). The selection covers a range of asset types: civil infrastructure (quay wall), marine structure (dolphin), and electro-mechanical equipment (crane).

The Level of Information Need (LOIN) was defined strictly for O&M purposes. For instance, the quay wall model must include geometric dimensions, material composition, pile-cap connection details, and embedded sensor locations. The RMG model requires component breakdowns (e.g., motors, gearboxes,

brakes), serial numbers, and access points for inspection. The BIM model acts as the single source of truth for geometry and spatial relationships, providing the unique identifiers necessary for linking to the COBie data structure. A core output of this phase is the establishment of the naming convention and classification system (e.g., using Uniclass or OmniClass) that will form the basis of the COBie Facility, System, and Component sheets.

### 2.3. COBie Schema Customization for Port Assets

The standard COBie schema, while robust, often lacks the necessary fidelity to capture the nuances of specialized marine and mechanical port infrastructure. The primary methodological challenge was adapting the existing building-centric standard to accommodate unique Port Asset Requirements (PARs).

The customization methodology involved three steps:

1. PAR Identification: Detailed analysis of standard port maintenance and regulatory documents, focusing on data points essential for regulatory compliance, safety inspection, and predictive maintenance. Examples of PARs identified include:

- Civil/Marine: Tidal exposure level, cathodic protection status, last diving inspection date, scour depth.
- Mechanical: Load test certificate date, lubrication schedule, hours-in-service (run-time), vibration baseline readings.

2. COBie Worksheet Mapping: The PARs were mapped to the most appropriate COBie worksheets (e.g., Type, Component, System, Attribute, and Job). For data that did not fit naturally into existing COBie fields, the Attribute worksheet was used to create custom, but standardized, key-value pairs. This maintains compliance with the core COBie structure while extending its applicability. For example, 'Cathodic Protection Status' was added as a custom attribute to the Quay Wall component type.

3. Data Modeling Guide Development: A COBie Implementation Guide for Port Assets was developed, detailing the specific conventions, required fields, and acceptable value ranges for key port components. This guide ensures data consistency during the information handover and subsequent operational phase.

### 2.4. Event-Driven Data Processing Mechanism Architecture

The core of the proposed artifact is the Event-Driven Data Processing Mechanism, structured as a layered architecture to ensure scalability and decoupling of services. The architecture is conceptualized across three layers: Data Source, Processing, and Application.

#### Core Method: Event-Driven Architecture (EDA)

The mechanism is based on the principle of a Message Broker or Event Queue (e.g., conceptualized as a Kafka or RabbitMQ system). This ensures that data producers (sources) and data consumers (processors) do not need to know about each other, allowing for flexible system scaling.

The maintenance workflow is modeled around three critical event types:

1. **Sensor Event:** Raw data from embedded sensors (e.g., strain gauges, vibration sensors, corrosion monitors).
2. **Inspection Event:** Structured data from routine or non-routine human/UAV inspections (e.g., corrosion grading, crack size, wear-and-tear observations), often input via a mobile application.
3. **CMMS Event:** Status updates from the Computerized Maintenance Management System (e.g., 'Work Order Closed', 'Part Replaced').

#### Architecture Components:

- **Ingestion Service (Data Producer):** Responsible for receiving raw data from the diverse sources and transforming it into a standardized Event Message Format (EMF). The EMF must minimally contain a Timestamp, an AssetID (linked to BIM/COBie's unique identifier), an EventType, and the Payload (the actual data).
- **Event Router/Broker:** The central component that queues and distributes the EMF to the appropriate

microservice based on the EventType.

- **Data Validation and Processor Service (Data Consumer):** A critical microservice that:
  - **Validates:** Checks the payload against established quality rules (e.g., data format, range checks).
  - **Correlates:** Uses the AssetID to retrieve the current BIM and COBie asset state.
  - **Processes:** Applies business logic (e.g., "If vibration reading exceeds threshold A for 5 minutes, generate an 'Urgent Alert' event").
  - **Transforms:** Maps the new processed data directly to the required COBie worksheet and field (e.g., a corrosion reading updates the custom 'Corrosion Grade' attribute in the COBie Component sheet).
- **COBie/BIM Database Updater:** The final layer that ensures the underlying structured asset data (the COBie records) is immediately updated, maintaining the as-maintained status.

#### 2.5. Validation Strategy (Illustrative Scenario)

To demonstrate the framework's efficacy (Objective 3), an illustrative scenario focused on a critical and recurring port event was developed: Quay Wall Structural Degradation Detection.

**Scenario:** A UAV-based photogrammetry and image processing system conducts a scheduled survey of the quay wall. The automated image processing algorithm detects a significant increase in the observed area of concrete spalling compared to the last survey, indicating accelerated structural degradation.

**Validation Metrics:** The primary metric for validation is the Time-to-Work-Order (TTWO) Initiation, comparing the proposed event-driven system against the conventional manual process.

Step	Conventional (Manual) Process	Event-Driven (Proposed) System
<b>Detection</b>	UAV data stored on local drive.	UAV processing generates <b>Inspection Event</b> .
<b>Analysis</b>	Human inspector manually reviews imagery, writes a	Ingestion Service standardizes EMF, sends to Router (Avg. 5

	report (Avg. 4 hours).	minutes).
<b>Data Handover</b>	Report physically delivered, or emailed to FM office.	Router sends EMF to Data Validation/Processor Service.
<b>Processing</b>	FM clerk manually enters data into CMMS/Asset Register.	Processor Service validates, correlates, and applies business logic (e.g., <i>Corrosion Grade &gt; 4</i> requires Level 2 Work Order).
<b>Action</b>	FM manager reviews data, manually creates and assigns Work Order.	Processor Service triggers <b>CMMS Event</b> to automatically generate and assign Level 2 Work Order.
<b>TTWO Initiation</b>	<b>Estimated: 8–24 hours</b>	<b>Estimated: 15–30 minutes</b>

The illustrative scenario projects a substantial efficiency gain by automating the data analysis and action triggering phases, fulfilling the framework's objective to streamline maintenance workflows.

### 3. RESULTS

#### 3.1. Customized Port-Specific COBie Implementation Guide

A critical deliverable of this research is the structured customization of the COBie standard to manage the life cycle of specialized port assets. The core COBie worksheets (Facility, Type, Component, System) remain the primary structure. However, the Attribute and Job worksheets were leveraged extensively to capture the unique information required for port FM.

COBie Worksheet	Field/Attribute	Port-Specific Application/Value	Rationale
<b>Component</b>	TagNumber	Unique identifier (e.g., RMG-CRANE-03-HOIST-MTR-A)	Provides immediate traceability to BIM model and physical location.
<b>Component</b>	AssetID	Cross-reference to specific COBie <i>Type</i> and <i>System</i> .	Essential for data linking in the event-driven architecture.
<b>Attribute</b>	CorrosionGrade	Numeric value (1–5) based on NDT/Inspection results.	Direct integration of essential structural health monitoring data.

<b>Attribute</b>	TidalExposureLevel	Categorical (e.g., Submerged, Intertidal, Atmospheric).	Defines the appropriate maintenance strategy and material selection.
<b>Attribute</b>	RunTimeHours	Integer value from Gantry Crane PLC/Sensor.	Critical for predicting component fatigue and scheduling maintenance.
<b>Job</b>	Frequency	Defined by regulatory compliance (e.g., Annual Load Test).	Structured schedule for mandatory maintenance events.
<b>Type</b>	LoadCapacity	Maximum safe working load (SWL) of the asset.	Core operational and safety data for civil and mechanical assets.

The guide mandates that every physical asset in the BIM model must have a corresponding entry in the COBie Component sheet, and that all sensor and inspection data must be mapped to a custom Attribute. This structured approach ensures that the BIM model remains the geometric locator, while COBie becomes the structured, query-ready relational database for all non-geometric operational data. This dual-model approach significantly enhances the data consistency and interoperability required for integration with other port IT systems.

### 3.2. The Integrated Digital Information Management Framework

The proposed framework, named the Port Asset Dynamic Lifecycle Management (PAD-LM) Framework, successfully integrates the three core technologies. The structure is characterized by a three-tier architecture:

#### Tier 1: Data Source Layer

This layer comprises all systems and technologies that generate raw maintenance data. It is characterized by its heterogeneity, including:

- **BIM Model:** Static source for asset identifiers, geometry, and initial specification data.
- **IoT/Sensors:** Continuous data streams (e.g.,

vibration, temperature, strain).

- **Inspection Systems:** Structured outputs from UAV photogrammetry, Non-Destructive Testing (NDT) reports, and mobile field inspection applications.
- **Legacy Systems:** Existing databases that hold historic maintenance logs and warranty information.

#### Tier 2: Event-Driven Processing Layer

This is the core of the innovation, where raw data is transformed into structured, actionable information. The central Event Router isolates the data producers from the consumers. The Processing Services are implemented as decoupled microservices, each responsible for a specific function:

- **Sensor Data Handler:** Processes high-frequency data, performs baseline comparisons, and generates a Threshold Event if deviations occur.
- **Inspection Data Mapper:** Takes semi-structured inspection reports and maps them directly to the necessary COBie Attribute updates (e.g., mapping a text description of 'severe corrosion' to CorrosionGrade = 5).
- **Interoperability Module:** Maintains the crucial link between the BIM element identifier (Globally Unique Identifier or GUID) and the COBie AssetID,

ensuring that any update to the COBie data can be instantly located within the 3D visual context of the BIM model.

### Tier 3: Application Layer

This layer consumes the structured, processed data from the COBie repository to enable decision-making and action. Key components include:

- **CMMS Integration:** Automatically ingests new work orders and asset condition updates triggered by the Processing Layer.
- **Visualization Platform:** Overlays the COBie condition data onto the BIM model, effectively creating a simple Digital Twin interface (e.g., color-coding a quay wall section red if its CorrosionGrade attribute is 5).
- **Reporting & Analytics:** Leverages the consistently structured COBie data for long-term trend analysis and capital expenditure planning.

The entire architecture is predicated on the idea that the COBie data structure provides the syntactic interoperability, while the Event-Driven architecture ensures the semantic and temporal relevance of the data.

#### 3.2.1. Deep Dive into the Event-Driven Processing Layer Logic

The Event-Driven Processing Layer represents the intellectual core of the PAD-LM Framework, functioning as the autonomous decision-making engine that governs the asset's digital representation. This layer is engineered not merely to move data but to process, validate, enrich, and translate raw physical-world events into structured maintenance actions within the context of the COBie standard. The use of a microservices architecture is paramount here, as it ensures that the system is resilient, scalable, and adaptable to the continuously evolving landscape of port sensor technologies and maintenance protocols.

The operation of this layer can be best understood by dissecting the functional logic of its primary components: the Ingestion Service and the array of Data Validation and Processor Services (DVPS).

#### The Ingestion Service: Harmonizing Heterogeneity

The port environment is characterized by a wide array of data sources, each with its own protocol, data format, and transmission frequency. For instance, data from a structural health monitoring (SHM) system on a quay

wall might arrive via a high-frequency MQTT stream, while a technician's inspection report is submitted as a low-frequency, human-validated JSON object from a mobile application.

The primary function of the Ingestion Service is to act as a harmonization gateway. It is responsible for the crucial initial step of transforming all disparate source formats into the mandatory Event Message Format (EMF) before they are routed. The design of the EMF must be strictly defined and rigorously enforced. A robust EMF structure for the PAD-LM framework includes:

1. **EventID (Unique Identifier):** Predicts traceability and ensures idempotency (the event is processed only once).
2. **AssetID (COBie Linkage):** The crucial link to the BIM/COBie data (e.g., a specific component's AssetID or GUID).
3. **SourceSystem:** Identifies the origin (e.g., 'UAV-Vision System', 'RMG-PLC', 'Field-Tech-App').
4. **Timestamp (ISO 8601):** Essential for sequencing and calculating time-based metrics.
5. **EventType:** A high-level categorization (e.g., 'Sensor\_Vibration\_Alert', 'Inspection\_Report\_Completion', 'CMMS\_Status\_Change').
6. **Payload (Structured Data):** A standardized key-value object containing the actual raw data (e.g., {'Reading': 2.3g, 'Unit': 'g', 'Threshold\_Exceeded': 'True'}).

The Ingestion Service must also handle the initial data quality check for basic integrity, ensuring mandatory fields like AssetID are present and syntactically correct before queuing the event. If an event fails this initial check, it is directed to a dedicated Dead Letter Queue (DLQ) for manual reconciliation, which is designed to prevent corrupted data from entering the main processing stream.

#### The Data Validation and Processor Service (DVPS): Contextual Intelligence

Once an EMF is standardized and queued by the Event Router, it is consumed by one or more DVPS microservices. These services are where the business logic and semantic transformation occur, providing the contextual intelligence necessary for maintenance decision-making.

The logic within each DVPS involves a critical three-step process: Context Retrieval, Logic Execution, and COBie Transformation.

#### Step 1: Context Retrieval.

The DVPS uses the EMF's AssetID to query the central COBie repository and the BIM database. It retrieves all relevant static and current contextual data for the asset. For example, for a Sensor\_Vibration\_Alert, the DVPS retrieves:

- The asset's Type (e.g., Motor, Model X).
- The manufacturer's Warranty status (from the COBie Component and Attribute sheets).
- The asset's current RunTimeHours and last documented ConditionState.
- The asset's geographic location (from BIM's spatial data).

This retrieved context is crucial; a vibration alert for a motor still under warranty might trigger a different action (e.g., a service request to the manufacturer) than an identical alert for a motor past its predicted lifespan.

#### Step 2: Logic Execution.

This step involves applying the pre-defined maintenance protocols and business rules to the event payload, enriched by the contextual data. The rules are implemented as modular code blocks that are easily updated by maintenance engineers. Examples of these critical port-specific rules include:

- Rule Set for Structural Integrity (Quay Wall):
  - IF (EventType is 'Inspection\_Report\_Completion')
  - AND (Payload.CorrosionGrade >= 4)
  - AND (Context.TidalExposureLevel is 'Intertidal')
  - THEN generate a High-Priority Work Order (Type: Urgent Repair) and set COBie Attribute.ConditionState to 'Critical'.
  - ELSE IF (Payload.CorrosionGrade = 3) THEN set COBie Attribute.ConditionState to 'Needs Monitoring' and schedule next inspection to be 50% sooner than standard Job.Frequency.
- Rule Set for Mechanical Asset Health (Gantry Crane):
  - IF (EventType is 'Sensor\_Temperature\_High')
  - AND (Context.RunTimeHours > 15,000)

- THEN trigger a High-Priority Work Order (Type: Oil Change/Inspection) and update COBie Job.LastPerformedDate for Oil Change to current timestamp.

This execution phase is the heart of the system's shift to Condition-Based Maintenance (CBM).

#### Step 3: COBie Transformation and Action Triggering.

The final step is the output of the DVPS. Once the logic is executed, the service performs two distinct but related actions:

1. COBie Database Update: It generates a minimal, validated update instruction to the COBie repository. This update strictly adheres to the COBie schema, ensuring data integrity. For example, it might instruct the system to update only the Attribute.ConditionState and the Job.NextDueDate fields associated with the asset's AssetID.
2. Action Event Generation: It generates a new, high-level Action Event (e.g., WorkOrderRequest, AlertNotification). This new event is sent back to the Event Router for routing to the Application Layer (Tier 3), which includes the CMMS. This separation ensures the COBie record is updated regardless of the success of the external action, maintaining an accurate source of truth.

#### 3.2.2. The Interoperability Module and BIM Synchronization

A critical technical feature of the Processing Layer is the Interoperability Module. This module is not a standalone microservice but a foundational library utilized by all DVPSs to ensure the integrity of the COBie-BIM linkage. The challenge in linking the two models is managing their respective identification methods: BIM uses the Globally Unique Identifier (GUID) for its elements, while COBie relies on simpler, non-proprietary fields like AssetID and TagNumber.

The Interoperability Module maintains a persistent, high-speed lookup table that is designed to guarantee a one-to-one mapping between the COBie AssetID and the BIM element GUID. This synchronization ensures:

- Visual Contextualization: When a DVPS updates a COBie record (e.g., CorrosionGrade is set to 5), the Visualization Platform (Tier 3) can instantly use the mapped GUID to highlight and color-code the corresponding 3D object in the BIM model (e.g., turning a section of the quay wall red).

- **Data Integrity Check:** During the initial handover, the module validates that every BIM element intended for O&M has a corresponding entry in the COBie Component worksheet, enforcing the mandated LOIN.

This explicit focus on synchronization prevents a common pitfall in BIM-FM integration where the 3D model and the underlying asset data gradually drift out of sync, rendering the BIM visualization useless for maintenance purposes. The PAD-LM framework's design dictates that the COBie schema is the authoritative source for operational state data, but the BIM GUID is the authoritative source for spatial and geometric data.

### 3.2.3. Resiliency and Scalability through Decoupling

The selection of an Event-Driven, microservices-based architecture fundamentally addresses the requirements for resiliency and scalability in a demanding port environment.

- **Resiliency:** The core concept of a central Event Router (Queue) ensures temporal decoupling. If the CMMS (Application Layer) is temporarily offline for maintenance, the DVPSs continue to process events and update the COBie repository. The Action Events generated for the CMMS are simply queued until the system comes back online. This guarantees that asset condition data is never lost and the COBie record remains accurate, even during application outages.
- **Scalability:** The port environment generates data that can spike dramatically—for example, during a high-frequency sensor test or after a major storm requiring extensive inspections. A monolithic system would buckle under this load. In the PAD-LM framework, the DVPSs are independent. If the Sensor Data Handler service is overwhelmed by a surge of sensor events, additional instances of that specific microservice can be automatically instantiated and scaled up (horizontal scaling) without affecting the operation of the less-stressed Inspection Data Mapper service. This elasticity is a non-negotiable requirement for critical infrastructure IT systems.

The meticulous design of the Event-Driven Processing Layer thus elevates the framework beyond a simple data bridge, transforming it into an intelligent, autonomous system capable of supporting true Condition-Based

Maintenance for specialized, complex port infrastructure.

### 3.3. Event-Driven Workflow Model (The 'Data Funnel')

The workflow model, or the 'Data Funnel', illustrates the seamless transition of information from the physical asset to the digital management system.

1. **Event Generation:** A maintenance event occurs (e.g., a Vibration Event from a gantry crane motor exceeding 2g).
2. **Ingestion & Standardization:** The raw sensor data is packaged into the standard EMF (AssetID, Timestamp, Payload).
3. **Routing:** The Event Router passes the EMF to the dedicated Sensor Data Handler microservice.
4. **Business Logic Application:** The Handler retrieves the motor's current status and specification (e.g., Type, Warranty) from the COBie database. It executes the logic: If Vibration > 2g AND RunTimeHours > 10,000, then...
5. **COBie Update:** The Handler updates two COBie attributes: the motor's ConditionState (from 'Good' to 'At Risk') and the LastInspectionDate.
6. **Action Trigger:** The Handler generates a new, high-priority Action Event (Type: WorkOrderRequest, Priority: High).
7. **CMMS Integration:** The Action Event is routed to the CMMS Integration component, which automatically creates a work order, assigns a technician, and links it back to the motor's AssetID.

The key breakthrough is the automated COBie Update (Step 5). By directly linking real-world events to the structured COBie data, the system bypasses the manual data entry process entirely, ensuring the asset register is always up-to-date with the latest condition data.

### 3.4. Efficiency Metrics from Scenario Analysis

The scenario analysis focusing on the Quay Wall Structural Degradation Detection provided clear evidence of the framework's efficiency. While the analysis is conceptual, based on expert elicitation and process mapping, the results are indicative of a substantial improvement in responsiveness.

Metric	Conventional Process	PAD-LM Framework (Event-Driven)	Implied Improvement

<b>Time-to-Data Standardisation</b>	High (manual reconciliation of reports)	Low (automated EMF standardization)	Significant
<b>Time-to-Work-Order (TTWO) Initiation</b>	8–24 hours	15–30 minutes	94–98% Reduction
<b>Data Consistency/Completeness</b>	Low (error-prone manual entry)	High (direct COBie schema mapping)	Substantial
<b>Proactivity Level</b>	Reactive/Scheduled	Proactive/Condition-based	Paradigm Shift

The projected TTWO reduction is the most compelling result. In a high-throughput, capital-intensive environment like a port, a saving of 8 to 24 hours in initiating a critical structural repair is highly associated with the prevention of catastrophic failure, minimization of operational downtime, and potential avoidance of millions of dollars in losses. The automated mapping to the COBie structure also predicts a significant improvement in the accuracy and completeness of the asset history, which is essential for future capital investment decisions.

## 4. DISCUSSION

### 4.1. The Critical Role of Standardization in Port FM

The successful implementation of the PAD-LM framework strongly affirms the critical role of data standardization in large-scale infrastructure management. By adopting the COBie standard, which is fundamentally built upon principles of facility management and asset lifecycle, the framework imposes a necessary discipline on the collection and structuring of inherently heterogeneous port maintenance data. The findings demonstrate that COBie, despite its origins in vertical construction, is a versatile template. Its strength is associated not only with its prescribed fields but also with its flexible Attribute worksheet, which allows for crucial customization.

**Interpretation:** The ability to map complex, non-building-centric data—such as corrosion readings or hours-in-service—into COBie's custom Attribute schema

validates the standard's utility for infrastructure. This approach addresses the data fragmentation problem by establishing a single, universally query-able format for operational information, regardless of the source system. The challenges, however, are noteworthy: the initial labor required for BIM-to-COBie mapping and the development of the custom guide for port assets are non-trivial. This process requires a specialized understanding of both the COBie schema and the port operator's maintenance protocols, suggesting the need for specialized roles during the information handover phase. This complexity is, however, an investment that is recouped rapidly through the operational phase's efficiency gains.

### 4.2. Paradigm Shift: From Static Models to Dynamic Data Streams

The integration of the event-driven architecture with the static BIM and COBie models represents a fundamental paradigm shift in port facility management. Traditionally, BIM and COBie have been viewed as handover artifacts—valuable at the beginning of the O&M phase but often becoming quickly outdated. The PAD-LM framework addresses this temporal deficiency.

**Analysis:** The event-driven approach ensures that the BIM/COBie asset register is a dynamic, living entity. When a sensor reports a critical change, the associated COBie record is updated instantly, shifting the maintenance philosophy from reactive (fixing a failure) or time-based (scheduled regardless of condition) to truly condition-based and proactive. The continuous

stream of updates creates a comprehensive, timestamped audit trail of the asset's health. This dynamic fidelity is what transforms the digital model into a functional Digital Twin—a real-time, virtual representation that can be used for simulation, prediction, and optimization. This capacity for real-time data ingestion is paramount for the massive electro-mechanical systems in a port, where component failures can lead to significant profit loss. The separation of concerns facilitated by the microservices ensures that the data processing logic (e.g., when to trigger a work order) can be updated independently of the data source (sensor) or the data repository (COBie).

#### 4.3. Implications for Interoperability and Long-Term Sustainability

The framework's reliance on open standards (BIM's underlying principles, COBie's non-proprietary spreadsheet format) has profound implications for long-term sustainability and interoperability within the port environment. Port systems are inherently heterogeneous, often comprising Terminal Operating Systems (TOS), Geographic Information Systems (GIS), and various proprietary CMMS platforms.

Discussion: By centralizing the structured asset data in the COBie format, the PAD-LM framework acts as a powerful data hub. Any application that needs operational data can query the COBie repository, and any system that generates condition data can feed the Event Router. This de-risks the IT infrastructure by minimizing vendor lock-in and allowing port authorities to gradually upgrade or replace peripheral systems without disrupting the core asset information flow. Furthermore, the longevity of port assets (often decades) demands a data format that is not tied to a specific software version. COBie's simple, tabular structure virtually guarantees its accessibility and usability for the asset's entire life cycle. The integration with GIS, for instance, allows the spatial visualization of asset health across a wide operational area by simply linking the COBie AssetID to a GIS feature, a capability widely researched in the context of large-scale infrastructure.

#### 4.4. Limitations and Future Research

While the PAD-LM framework presents a robust conceptual solution, certain limitations must be acknowledged.

- **Conceptual Validation:** The validation of the

event-driven mechanism was based on an illustrative scenario and expert process mapping. A full-scale prototype deployment and field testing are required to rigorously quantify the efficiency gains and test the scalability of the microservices architecture under real-world port data load.

- **Initial Data Burden:** The framework requires a significant upfront investment in data modeling, particularly in the accurate creation of the 'as-built' BIM model and its detailed, comprehensive mapping to the customized COBie schema. The accuracy of the maintenance data throughout the asset's life is directly dependent on the quality of this initial handover data.
- **Sensor Reliance:** The system's proactive capabilities are heavily reliant on the coverage, quality, and reliability of the sensor network deployed across the port. Poor data quality or frequent sensor failures is associated with the generation of 'noise' events, potentially undermining the system's ability to trigger accurate actions.

Future Work: Subsequent research should focus on:

1. **Prototype Development:** Building a live, testbed implementation of the Event Router and key Processing Services to capture real sensor data from a select set of port assets.
2. **Financial and Resource Integration:** Expanding the framework to include financial modules, which would predict system capability to automatically generate capital expenditure forecasts based on real-time asset condition (e.g., 'If corrosion grade is 5, reserve X budget for repair in Q3').
3. **Machine Learning for Prediction:** Leveraging the high-quality, structured COBie data stream to train predictive maintenance models. The system could move beyond reactive alerting (a threshold being exceeded) to predictive alerting (forecasting when a threshold will be exceeded based on current degradation rates).

Discussion Limitation: A key limitation impacting the real-world utility of the framework is its dependence on mandated adoption. Unless organizations such as port authorities or governmental regulatory bodies internationally mandate the use of COBie for infrastructure handover, the framework will remain a conceptual ideal rather than an industry standard.

#### References

1. Jofré-Briceño, C.; Rivera, F.M.-L.; Atencio, E.;

- Herrera, R.F. Implementation of Facility Management for Port Infrastructure through the Use of UAVs, Photogrammetry and BIM. *Sensors* 2021, 21, 6686.
2. Won, J.S.; Cho, G.H.; Ju, K.B. Development method of BIM data modeling guide for facility management: Focusing on building mechanical system. *Korean J. Air Cond. Refrig. Eng.* 2013, 25, 216–224.
  3. Yu, J.H.; Lee, S.G. COBIE: Information Exchange Framework for Facility Management. *Constr. Eng. Manag.* 2012, 13, 54–58.
  4. Parate, H., Kishore Bandela, & Paniteja Madala. (2025). Quantity Take-Off Strategies: Reducing Errors in Roadway Construction Estimation. *Journal of Mechanical, Civil and Industrial Engineering*, 6(3), 01-09. <https://doi.org/10.32996/jmcie.2025.6.3.1>
  5. Alnaggar, A.; Pitt, M. Towards a conceptual framework to manage BIM/COBie asset data using a standard project management methodology. *J. Facil. Manag.* 2019, 17, 175–187.
  6. Yu, G.; Wang, Y.; Hu, M. Research on information model of urban infrastructures intelligent O&M based on lifecycle. In *Life-Cycle Civil Engineering: Innovation, Theory and Practice*; Airon, C., Xin, R., Dan, M.F., Eds.; CRC Press: London, UK, 2021; pp. 1515–1520.
  7. An, H.K.; Yoo, J.H.; Lee, S.K.; Jang, H.S.; Son, B.S. Information Requirements Analysis for BIM-Based Facility Management System. *J. Archit. Inst. Korea Plan. Des.* 2012, 11, 133–142.
  8. East, E.W.; Nisbet, N.; Liebich, T. Facility Management Handover Model View. *J. Comput. Civ. Eng.* 2013, 27, 61–67.
  9. Motawa, I.; Almarshad, A. A knowledge-based BIM system for building maintenance. *Autom. Constr.* 2013, 29, 173–182.
  10. Becerik-Gerber, B.; Jazizadeh, F.; Li, N.; Calis, G. Application areas and data requirements for BIM-enabled facilities management. *J. Constr. Eng. Manag.* 2012, 138, 431–442.
  11. Lee, S.K.; Yu, J.H.; An, H.K. Improvement of information collection system in design and construction phases for efficient facility management. *J. Archit. Inst. Korea Plan. Des.* 2012, 28, 33–42.
  12. Moon, S.-W.; Kim, S.-D.; Park, M.-K. Application of a 3D Graphic Model for Bridge Maintenance. *Korean J. Constr. Eng. Manag.* 2011, 12, 64–71.
  13. Kim, B.G.; Kim, J.W.; Ji, S.G.; Seo, J.W. A study on BIM guidelines for model-based infrastructure management. *J. KIBIM* 2012, 2, 10–16.
  14. Seo, K.-W.; Kwon, T.-H.; Lee, S.-H. COBie Based Maintenance Document Generation of Railway Track. *J. Comput. Struct. Eng. Inst. Korea* 2017, 30, 307–312.
  15. Moon, H.S.; Won, J.S.; Shin, J.Y. Development of IFC Standard for Securing Interoperability of BIM Data for Port Facilities. *J. KIBIM* 2020, 10, 9–22.
  16. Vinod Kumar Enugala. (2025). "BIM-to-Field" Inspection Workflows for Zero Paper Sites. *Utilitas Mathematica*, 122(2), 372–404. Retrieved from <https://utilitasmathematica.com/index.php/Index/article/view/2711>
  17. Yalcinkaya, M.; Singh, V. Visual COBie for facilities management: A BIM integrated, visual search and information management platform for COBie extension. *Facilities* 2019, 37, 502–524.
  18. East, B.; Carrasquillo-Mangual, M. The COBie Guide, a Commentary to the NBIMS-US COBie Standard. 2012. Available online: [https://www.bimpedia.eu/static/nodes/1010/COBie\\_Guide\\_-\\_Public\\_Release\\_3.pdf](https://www.bimpedia.eu/static/nodes/1010/COBie_Guide_-_Public_Release_3.pdf) (accessed on 11 December 2021).
  19. East, W. bSa Construction Operations Building Information Exchange (COBie): Means and Methods; The National Institute of Building Sciences: Washington, DC, USA, 2012.
  20. Kumar, V.; Teo, E.A.L. Perceived benefits and issues associated with COBie datasheet handling in the construction industry. *Facilities* 2021, 39, 321–349.
  21. Valdepeñas, P.; Pérez, M.D.E.; Henche, C.; Rodríguez-Escribano, R.; Fernández, G.; López-Gutiérrez, J.-S. Application of the BIM Method in the Management of the Maintenance in Port Infrastructures. *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.* 2020, 8, 981.
  22. Sai Nikhil Donthi. (2025). Improvised Failure Detection for Centrifugal Pumps Using Delta and Python: How Effectively IoT Sensors Data Can Be Processed and Stored for Monitoring to Avoid Latency in Reporting. *Frontiers in Emerging Computer Science and Information Technology*,

2(10), 24–37.  
<https://doi.org/10.64917/fecsit/Volume02Issue10-03>

**23.** Richardson, K. A technology trifecta: LiDAR, BIM,

and GIS converge to bring business efficiencies to milwaukee metropolitan sewerage district. LiDAR Mag. ESRI 2012, 2. Available online: <https://lidarmag.com/issue/volume-02-issue-06/> (accessed on 11 December 2021).